

Jonathan Ramachandran

SAVIOUR OF THE WORLD



Anonymous
CHRISTIAN

**SAVIOUR
OF THE
WORLD** 

Anonymous 
CHRISTIAN

Saviour Of The World

Saviour Of The World

**“We have Seen and Testify that the Father has sent
the Son as Saviour of the World.” (1 John 4:14)**

Jonathan Ramachandran

Table of Contents

No rights reserved

You may reproduce the content of this book at your own conscience before God except for monetary values

“Freely you have received, freely give!” – Lord Jesus Christ

Not for sale

01	A Quote From Clement of Rome & Sadhu Sundar Singh	page 1
02	Aion – Aionion: Literal One Translation	page 5
03	Aion – Aionion The Idiom Excuse	page 31
04	Aion – Aionion: A Proof That The Plural Aionion (noun) Cannot Mean Eternal	page 39
05	Aion-Aionion: Olam Does Not Mean Eternal	page 47
06	All Things & The Will Of God	page 69
07	All Things Are Possible When One Believes	page 73
08	Anonymous Christian	page 75
09	Antichrist	page 77
10	Assemblies of God	page 79
11	Believe	page 81
12	Beyond Judgment	page 87
13	Blasphemy Of The Holy Spirit	page 89
14	Christian Universalist Quotes Compilation (from various historical scholars)	page 97
15	Christlike	page 107
16	Correct Bible Translation: Two Universalists	page 109
17	Creed	page 111
18	Easy Question: Why Do Bad Things Happen To Good People?	page 113
19	End Of The World (Fight For Freedom)	page 115
20	Enemies	page 121
21	Facts, Logic & Reason	page 125
22	Fight For My Family	page 127
23	Finding God: Secret Of Having The Holy Spirit's Anointing	page 131
24	Free	page 133
25	Fruits - Good Versus Bad	page 135
26	Garden Of Eden	page 137
27	God Of This Age	page 139
28	God's Will	page 145
29	Gospel For All	page 149
30	Gospel To The Dead (The Engineering Of It)	page 153
31	Hard Sayings - Women	page 155
32	He Is Risen	page 159
33	His-Story	page 163
34	How Many People Will Be Saved In The End?	page 165
35	How To Be A Wise Man?	page 167

Table of Contents

36	Immortal Soul	page 169
37	In Christ	page 171
38	Isaiah's Note On Oppression & Deceit	page 173
39	Josephus (A Near Jewish Contemporary Of Christ)	page 175
40	Just War For Cowards	page 183
41	Last Day	page 187
42	Last Lights	page 197
43	Lazarus & The Rich Man: HELL	page 199
44	Legend	page 207
45	Literal & Logical Apologetics	page 209
46	Lord Jesus Is The Beautiful Reason	page 213
47	Love - Greatest	page 215
48	Loyalty	page 219
49	Malaysia My Home - Jalur Gemilang	page 221
50	Marry - Remarry	page 223
51	Money (Mammon)	page 227
52	Mother's Love	page 229
53	Neo-Platonism	page 233
54	Noun - Adjective Agreement	page 235
55	Only Ones	page 237
56	OT VS NT	page 241
57	Pearls To Swine	page 253
58	Perfect Fruits (Matthew 5:48)	page 255
59	Prisoners	page 259
60	Prosperity	page 261
61	Protests & Demonstrations	page 263
62	Punishment Of Men (aionion kolasin)	page 265
63	Reality - Violence Or Non-Violence	page 271
64	Rebuttal	page 273
65	Reconciliation Of The World	page 275
66	Replacement Theology - The Error	page 279
67	Respect God (A Simple Note)	page 281
68	Respect Other Religions	page 283
69	Secret (Mystery) Of His Will	page 285
70	Secret To Loving God	page 289

Table of Contents

71	Silence	page 291
72	Some Notes On The Other AC (AntiChrist, Not Anonymous Christian)	page 293
73	Son	page 295
74	Specially (Malista)	page 297
75	Test	page 299
76	The End Of Satan	page 307
77	The Secret Or Mystery Of Christ	page 341
78	The Sign: Gospel To Be Preached To All - The Inhabited Earth	page 343
79	The Truth Regarding Hell By The Vision of Sadhu Sundar Singh	page 345
80	The Unchangeable God: Everlasting Chains	page 349
81	Time & Judgment	page 357
82	Tithe - Cursing	page 365
83	Titus	page 369
84	Toughest Questions	page 371
85	Translation: Which Is Correct? An Idiomatic Or Literal Translation Of The Bible	page 375
86	Trinity Truth	page 377
87	True Zionism	page 381
88	Truth Is One	page 385
89	Unbelief	page 387
90	Unbelievable Truth	page 391
91	Unitarian Universalism	page 393
92	Unquenchable Fire	page 395
93	What Is The Gospel (Good News)?	page 401
94	What Is Truth?	page 403
95	Which Is Of Satan?	page 405
96	Who Are The Fallen Angels Or The Fallen Sons Of God?	page 407
97	Who Is Lord Jesus?	page 411
98	Why Is God Angry? The One Purpose Of God's Anger (Wrath)	page 413
99	Wicked	page 417
100	Worldly Wisdom	page 419
Appendix: What Happens At Death?		page 421

Saviour Of The World

chapter 1

A Quote From Clement of Rome & Sadhu Sundar Singh

An eternal hell supporting Christian historian wrote:

Clement of Rome (c.40-97) said nothing about hell itself in those of his writings which have been preserved, but he did state, "After we leave this world we are no longer able to confess sin, and to turn from it."

This is interesting. Note that even their own historians have to confess that they could not find any writings of Clement of Rome which talks about hell and certainly not about the eternity of hell.

It is an irony since according to them, the only reason the gospel must contain the concept of eternal hell is that only then it makes sense to preach the gospel. So Clement of Rome himself didn't find eternal hell the basis for preaching the gospel since if so, he must have certainly mentioned it frequently without fail so that the churches which he wrote to may be fully aware of this warning.

Since he didn't write about eternal hell, the quote from him (above) only implies safely that there is some sort of punishment after one's unrepentant death for a limited time in a hell. The quote above does not prove eternal hell at all.

About 1800 years later we find another exemplary Christian of our time, namely, Sadhu Sundar Singh who also made a similar quote to

the one above. In public addresses on the topic of judgment, the Sadhu made the following statement (in his example) regarding a man:

“And yet I have nothing ready to offer God in the next world...Yes, there is a certainty that many things will come back, but there is no certainty that the opportunity for repentance will come again.”

This is interesting. Note that just like Clement of Rome, Sadhu Sundar Singh's writings almost do not even hint on eternal hell at all especially during his later years before his mysterious disappearance.

Some of his early preaching in Tibet did contain the word eternal hell. But as the Spirit of God led him deeper into Truth, he came to know the Reconciliation of All things through Christ the Lord and that the literal meaning of the word used in New Testament Scripture was either age or ages but not eternal when used to describe the Judgment Sentences.

However, the Sadhu always preferred to hide this secret on account of being wise since many cannot handle or are unable to comprehend such Pearl of (Universal Redemption which is bought with) Great Price through the Blood of Lord Jesus Himself!

In the case of Sundar Singh, there are plenty of quotes which proves his universalism instead (both for men and even the fallen creatures – Satan and his cohorts – even though the sadhu prefers to say “don't ask about them” – probably because it does not concern man or that the timing of its revelation was not right).

Note also that the quote by Sundar Singh above clearly talks about the afterlife indicated by the phrase next world. So we must understand without contradiction that the Sadhu means that in the world to come (more accurate biblically, in the age to come), ‘there is no opportunity for repentance’ implying only that punishments are certain to be rendered according to one's works but not that these punishments are eternal since in many other places the sadhu is clearly a universalist Christian by his quotes.

Hence you can see how the eternal hell camp with their shallow understanding of what is actually written often pull things out of context claiming certain Christians to have believed in the eternity of hell when the very quote used to prove it does not say so.

Thus we must be honest and very careful about how we interpret a person's quotes in order not to accidentally slander them to believe something they didn't say nor imply at all.

You may find the proof that Sadhu Sundar Singh wrote the quotes above (as well as other universalist quotes) on the account written by someone who knew the sadhu personally (and may have been an eternal hell believer himself – Dr A J Appasamy* – A Bishop with the Church of South India) who in the reprint of a book originally published somewhere around 1921 states all these clearly.

**Dr AJ Appasamy is a well-educated man in this field having earned a Degree from Harvard University (U.S.A.) and a doctorate (PhD) from Oxford University (U.K.) – back then itself!*

chapter 2

Aion – Aionion: Literal One Translation

This is an important topic (literal one translation) since by ignoring it, Christians have been misled to believe that there is no more hope for the lost after this life and that only an eternal hell awaits them (the lost) with no possibility of redemption. Everlasting punishment and annihilation has also created a very serious wrong impression about Who our God and Lord really is, The Great Saviour.

Let it be said that this is not a ‘cult’ teaching (Christ centred-universalism a.k.a. True Gospel) for it was the belief amongst the mainstream (majority Christians) for up to almost the first five hundred years. Something is usually true only in the beginning and later it gets perverted by unlearned (untaught) and unstable men as even apostle Peter testifies to this at the end of his epistle:

“and consider that the longsuffering of our Lord is salvation – as also our beloved brother Paul, according to the wisdom given to him, has written to you,

As also in all his epistles, speaking in them of these things, in which are some things hard to understand, which untaught and unstable people twist to their own destruction, as they do also the rest of the Scriptures.

You therefore, beloved, since you know this beforehand, beware lest you also fall from your own steadfastness, being led away with the error of the wicked;

But grow in the grace and knowledge of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. To Him be the glory both now and to the day of the age. Amen.”
(2 Peter 3:15 – 18)

Note that apostle Peter was very humble (in the sense) because he acknowledged that apostle Paul had been given a deeper wisdom by God. Apostle Peter never argued or spoke to Christ saying : “I walked with You, Lord Jesus, and how come You reveal to Paul who was never with You when You were on the earth.

Furthermore, I am the ‘head apostle’ and how come You reveal to Paul and not to me since am I not the authority of doctrine and the church? Isn’t it unfair?”

I’m not saying that Peter felt this way but rather am just explaining what kind of thoughts may have come to me if I was in Peter’s shoes. This in no way undermines Peter or Paul for it is not a comparison (for there are no competitions in Christianity) but rather each one (according to the gifts given to him), may edify one another bringing about the Unity in the Body of Christ.

Some may say that much learning has driven me mad; I would choose to say (and it is true) that for almost 10 years, I was mad and mislead but not anymore. By His grace, I see dimly. Now, probably is the only time in my life where I’ve begun to be (and am) sane.

Can a madman write all these? As even apostle Paul received this charge against him by Festus:

“Now as he (Paul) thus made his defense, Festus said with a loud voice, “Paul, you are beside yourself! Much learning is driving you mad!” But he (Paul) said, “I am not mad, most noble Festus, but speak the words of Truth and Reason.” (Acts 26:24 – 25)

Note that Paul implies that the Truth he defends is with ‘reason’ (logical thinking in accordance to the Word of God). Most Christians are fed the error-filled lie that ‘God’s Word is not logical. How do we know who is right? Hear both points of view, pray, do more research by yourself and decide in the end.

A little background: The Old Testament (OT) was written in Hebrew and a little Aramaic. The New Testament (NT) was originally written (and preserved) in Greek.

The Hebrew word almost always translated as ‘eternal’ or ‘everlasting’ in the OT is ‘olam’ and according to the earliest Greek translation of the OT (called the Septuagint), it is equivalent to the root word ‘aion or eon (in Greek) and its derivations’ (both noun and adjective), transliterated, such as ‘aionas, aionon etc’.

Septuagint (meaning seventy) was translated by outside scholarship into Greek and was done by almost 70 scholars (some argue that it may have been 69).

You don’t have to be a ‘Hebrew or Greek scholar’ just to study a couple of words and phrases to determine its meaning. This answers the query that one has no need to be a Greek scholar or to be an authority in Greek to understand these things. Three techniques are often used by linguists to determine the meaning of a word: etymology (how the word was derived), lexicography (lexicon-dictionary written by scholars in that language; such ones are called lexicographers) and usage (how the word was applied and used at that point of time).

All scholars (from all camps) would agree that the best (amongst the three methods) is to determine the meaning of a word by its ‘usage’.

‘Literal’ Bible translations (using correct tenses and emphasizing literal meaning to a word rather than ‘what the scholar thinks it means’) are also available. A few good ones (not perfect) but very accurate are such as: Young’s literal Translation (YLT), Jonathan Mitchell’s translation and also Rotherham’s Emphasized Bible by Joseph Bryant Rotherham; all scholars in their own right.

These bibles correctly employ the words age, ages, day, time, times, etc. according to their singular-plural and also maintain the phrases such as ‘day of age’, ‘age of age’, ‘age of ages’, ‘ages of ages’...

It would be interesting to note that if I’m not mistaken, even John Kohlenberger III (the infamous authority in these languages for the mainstream who amongst his works is the NIV strongest concordance - it’s kind of like - he did for NIV what James Strong did for the KJV) also writes a forward for the Rotherham’s Emphasized Bible by Joseph Bryant Rotherham. This indicates even they (of the current mainstream) acknowledge these works to be accurate in ‘literal meaning’.

‘Transliterated’ means trying to write the pronunciation of a Greek word not using Greek alphabets but rather using say, roman alphabets.

For the Greek words, it suffices to just consider just three things to determine its meaning quite accurately: whether it is a Noun, Adjective and Singular (or Plural). You can easily ask and check with your nearest Greek expert in a Bible school of your locality whether or not these words are thus described.

Alternately, you can also buy books (from both point of views - age(s) versus eternal), buy interlinears (Greek bibles with English parallels with it) and also read up on the internet (don’t worry - even those who

try to scare you by saying ‘don’t believe the internet – it’s all lies’ by themselves, not only use the internet for themselves but also have their church websites, blogs and teachings posted there).

You read, pray and then decide for yourselves what is right.

For an example, let us consider a classic fallacy. Even they (champions of free-will, often stating that the reason hell is eternal is that because God won’t be able to influence the creature’s will to submit to Him causing an eternity of punishment) also contradict themselves say, in interpreting the classic verse, *‘Every knee shall bow and confess that Jesus is Lord’ (Philippians 2:9-11)*. According to them, such a confession from those disobedient ones (in an eternal hell) is ‘forced’.

For if it were a willing confession on the creature’s part, amen, salvation has come to it being a ‘true’ confession since it cannot be done without the Holy Spirit (1 Corinthians 12:3). The presence of the Holy Spirit itself signifies either the beginning of Salvation for that creature or that it (salvation) has already been obtained.

Hence they conclude that it must be a ‘forced’ confession but this statement in itself contradicts their hypothesis about ‘God’ that He cannot and won’t be able to influence the creature’s will to submit to Him (in other words He is powerless) and/or that a creature can resist His will eternally (the creature is able to do an all-powerful rebellion against God for all eternity using its free-will).

In simple words, they say that God will never force anyone to submit to Him because He respects their free-will but there in Philippians 2:9-11, we find that their ‘concept of God’ forcing those enemies (included in every knee) to make a forced submissive act (confessing that Jesus is Lord) and this supposedly brings ‘glory’ to the Father. This logic (reason) they can accept as ‘divine wisdom’ but the true universalistic explanation they consider it demonic since for them the devil can

trick (by using arguments that highly exalt God - even logically) with reason.

Scripture testifies to the opposite - all made subject to Him

The subjection described in (1 Corinthians 15:25 & 1 Corinthians 15:28) is not by 'force' but by **Love** exhibited through **Reconciliation** (Psalm 66:3, 2 Corinthians 5:19 & Colossians 1:20 – which is the key to unlock the Mystery of Christ). For Reconciliation is a product of Love, not force. This is the purpose of the ages as we shall shortly see.

Let's go back to the word aion/aionon. I do not agree with even some of the Universalists who also apply a duality in explaining the meaning of that Greek word.

For an example, let us consider a pair of classic scriptures (Matthew 25:46, and 1 Timothy 6:16) and I will illustrate all three views of interpretation of it (the 'eternal' view, the 'some dualist universalist view' and 'the one universalist view') separately:

First view : Eternal Hell view

[Mt 25:46]: "Then they will go away to eternal punishment, but the righteous to eternal life."

The Greek words "kolasin aiOnion" and "zOEn aiOnion" are rendered "eternal punishment" and "eternal life" But "aiOnion" in both case is falsely claimed to mean 'eonian' = for an eon, an age. Punishment and life not eternal but limited to an age.

So if all of the above false claims were true, then the following must be considered true and God is merely mortal not eternal, he is just a god with a limited finite existence:

[Heb 9:12]: "Nor by the blood of goats and calves, but by His own blood, entered once for all into the holies, having obtained eternal... redemption for us."

....."aionian"

Would God redeem us for just a few ages? (Then what?) "aionian" here must mean "eternal" to make sense because Christ entered, (sacrificed Himself), once for all and for all time! Why would His sacrifice be for anything less than for eternity - all time? Is He not God?

[Compare 1 Ti 6:16]: "Who [God] only hath immortality dwelling in the

....."athanasia"

light which no man can approach unto; Whom no man hath seen, nor can see; to Whom be honour and power everlasting. Amen.

"aionion"

In order for this passage to make sense and be admissible as the word of God the words "athanasia", and "aionin" must not contradict one another in this passage so as to provide a nonsensical meaning. "Athanasia" is correctly translated even by the New World translators and the Concordant Bible translators as "immortality" which implies an everlasting existence.

Therefore the word "aionion" which applies to God's honour and power must be translated "everlasting" in order to make any sense. Why would His power be only limited for an age when His essence is immortal, hence everlasting? Is God immortal and everlasting but He loses His power and honour, they are only temporary?? If so, the context does not support this contradiction at all!

“Who God only hath immortality.....to Whom behonour and power for an age - or for everlasting???” If God is immortal then His honour and power is likewise immortal - everlasting.

**Note : I actually cut and paste this argument from a traditional eternal hell scholar’s view. I did not add or edit anything. It is as you see... lest I be falsely accused of ‘changing words here and there’ to suit my claim.*

Second view : Some Dualist universalist view

[Matthew 25:46]

A common objection is that (even Augustine of Hippo argued similarly):

“Then eternal life is not endless, for the same Greek adjective qualifies life and punishment.”

This does not follow, for the word is used in Greek in different senses in the same sentence; as Hab. iii:6 (Habakkuk 3:6). *“And the everlasting mountains were scattered--his ways are everlasting.”* Suppose we apply the popular argument here. The mountains and God must be of equal duration, for the same word is applied to both. Both are temporal or both are endless. But the mountains are expressly stated to be temporal --they “were scattered,” --therefore God is not eternal. Or God is eternal and therefore the mountains must be. But they cannot be, for they were scattered. The argument does not hold water. The aiónion mountains are all to be destroyed. Hence, the word may denote both limited and unlimited duration in the same passage, the different meanings to be determined by the subject treated.

[1 Timothy 6:16], *“Who only hath immortality (athanasian).”*

Now these words are applied to God and the soul’s happiness. They are words that in the Bible are never applied to punishment, or to anything perishable. They would have been affixed to punishment had the Bible intended to teach endless punishment. And certainly they show the error of those who declare that the indefinite word aiónion is all the word, or the strongest word in the Bible declarative of the endlessness of the life beyond the grave. A little more study of the subject would prevent such reckless statements and would show that the happy, endless life does not depend at all on the pet word of the partialist critics.

**Note that it may be added here that these universalists may argue the interpretation in two ways for the second part of the verse in [1 Timothy 6:16]:*

First group: ‘everlasting (aionion) power’ because they would argue that the subject to which it is applied to is God, and therefore the power is ‘everlasting’ not because of the adjective that describes it (aionion) in itself means everlasting (because in its literal meaning is ‘age’) but rather due to the ‘fact’ in ‘Greek/Hebrew’ language that the meaning can be taken from the ‘subject’ to which it is applied. Since God is immortal, hence His power is eternal.

Second group: ‘age-during power’ because this does not mean that His power lasts only for an age but just highlights it – just like God of Israel does not mean He is not God of all. Also, consider the adjective ‘great’. Now the phrase ‘a great man’ and ‘a great God’ uses the same adjective namely, ‘great’.

But in the former (when applied to man) it is certainly ‘limited greatness’ and not the same as the ‘great’ when it is applied to God in which case the ‘greatness is unlimited (eternal or infinite)’. Note that the literal meaning of great is not infinite.

Third view: One universalist view

I would quote Lee Salisbury's writing on this as I almost 100% agree with his way of interpreting it (The full tract of 'Eternity explained' can be found at www.tentmaker.org):

Aeonios Life---Aeonios Punishment

The most common objection arises with the realization that if aeonios life for the righteous will one day be completed, then aeonios punishment for the unregenerate will also one day be completed. Most prominent support for the traditional doctrine of eternal punishment is in Matthew 25:46 where aeonios punishment is contrasted to aeonios life. "Then they will go away to eternal (aeonios) punishment, but the righteous to eternal(aeonios) life." It is argued that the latter is supposed to mean endless life, hence the former supposedly means endless punishment. If the punishment is limited, the life must also be limited, the duration of each being expressed by the same word. Though the reasoning appears logical, it is based upon the unfortunate mistranslation of the word "aeonios."

Even if the premises for eternal punishment in Matt.25:46 were true, it is clear from Rom.16:25-26, that "aeonios" does not mean "eternal." Here again "aeonios" occurs twice, "According to the revelation of the mystery hidden for long ages past (aeonios), but now revealed and made known through the prophetic writings by the command of the eternal (aeonios) God." "Aeonios" cannot mean "eternal" when it speaks of the gospel's being kept secret "since the world began," (KJV), "for long ages past" (NIV).

If "aeonios" meant "eternal" (perpetual), then the gospel would still be a secret, for there would be no succession of ages before which it was a secret nor after which it could be revealed. The same truth is revealed

in Titus 1:2. "In hope of eternal (aeonios) life, which God, that cannot lie, promised before the world began (before times aeonios)." Though God is certainly eternal, the second use of "aeonios" in Rom.16:26, refers to Him as the God of the ages, just as he is the God of Israel or the God of Abraham.

Uniformity Eliminates Confusion

The fact is "aeonios" wherever it is used in the New Testament has one uniform meaning. When applied to God, He is the aeonios God, or the God of the ages, i.e., the Being who through aeons of time is working out His wonderful plan. The word "aeonios" has the force of belonging to, or in connection with the ages; for example, "aeonios life," "aeonios salvation," "aeonios redemption," "aeonios inheritance," "aeonios fire," and "aeonios punishment" (see John 3:16; Heb.5:9; 9:12,15; Jude 7; Matt.25:46). To suggest that "aeonios" means "endless times" or "endless ages," is not only a contradiction of terms, but nonsensical and confusing. It is equivalent to suggesting an "infinite finite," a "limitless limit," a "something nothing" or a "full vacuum." An age is a span of time, a period of existence. Though seemingly immeasurable to man, nevertheless it is of limited duration.

God's Punishments Have Purpose

In examining "aeonios" as it is applied to punishment, we see that this too pertains to a period of time or age in which God is working out His purposes. The Greek word translated "punishment" is "kolasis," which means "to curtail, retrain, chastise, or prune." Aeonios chastisement would then be a sentence of chastisement with both a beginning and an end, for the purpose of correction. The fact that the sentence of chastisement has an end does not in any way take away from its severity (Rom.11:22).

God has promised judgment to the Gentiles until He sends forth judgment unto victory - Matt.12:18-20. For when God's judgments

are in the earth, the inhabitants of the world will learn righteousness - Isa.26:9. Never are God's chastisements meaningless, as they would be if aeonios punishment were forever. Even those who have not benefited from His judgments while living on this earth, will one day experience His judgments, for "it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment" Heb.9:27. The marvelous truth of the gospel is that God's chastisements are redemptive. Ultimately all the ends of the earth shall know God, for He has sworn by Himself, and the word has gone out of His mouth in righteousness and shall not return void, that unto Him every knee shall bow and every tongue shall swear - Isa.45:22-23; Phil.2:10-11.

If "Aeonios" Meant "Eternal"

Furthermore supposing "aeon" and its adjectival form "aeonios" meant "eternal," consider how illogical the Holy Spirit would appear saying, This grace was given us in Christ Jesus before the beginning of eternity (aeonios)" (2 Tim.1:9) "which God, who does not lie promised before the beginning of eternity (aeonios)" (Tit.1:2); "according to the revelation of the mystery hidden for eternity (aeonios) past" (Rom.16:25); who gave Himself for our sins to rescue us from the present evil eternity (aeon)" (Gal.1:4); "the harvest is the end of this eternity (aeon)" (Matt.13:39); "who have tasted the goodness of the word of God and the powers of the coming eternity (aeon)" Heb.6:5. Suffice it to say that there have been "aeons" in the past, there is the present "aeon," and there are "aeons" to come. These all combined make up TIME, encompassing the whole progressive plan and program of God for the development of His creation. Only "Aidios" Means "Eternal"

The fact is the New Testament has only one word which can truthfully be translated "eternal." This is the Greek word "aidios" which is used only twice. Once it describes the Godhead. "For since the creation of the world God's invisible qualities—His eternal (aidios) power and

divine nature—have been clearly seen" Rom.1:20. The second time it describes the chains which hold rebellious angels until their day of judgment, "these he has kept in darkness; bound with everlasting (aidios) chains for judgment on the great day" Jude 6. These timeless, unchangeable chains will always be a symbol of the severity of God's corrective measures. Apart from these two verses, there is no place in scripture where a word meaning eternal is to be found.

Conclusion

Eternity and its synonyms are grievous mistranslations of the Hebrew and Greek words. Both the Old and the New Testament misuses indicate a biased mind-set which hides God's true nature and purpose. The uniform translation of "aeon" and its adjective form "aeonios" solves many inconsistencies in scripture study. Jesus came to give aeonios life, a quality of relationship with the Father through Himself, a life that is only the earnest of much more to come. The most shameful consequences of this error are the grotesque images which Christianity projects of God, who is really so loving, wise and powerful. God's love nature corresponds with His purpose which required Jesus to hang on a cross, and about which Jesus said, "And I if I be lifted up from the earth, will draw all men unto me" Jn.12:32. His wisdom and majesty dominate the ages through which mankind is drawn toward God's goal of creating man in His own image, "that God may be all in all" 1 Cor.15:28.

Here are some of my personal takes on this discussion to 'enrich it'.

For the second group (some dualist universalist view):
The translation is right but their explanation almost.

The problem with their argument is the analogy with the adjective 'great'. The 'aionion' (used here in 1 Timothy 6:16 is the exact same spelling as the one used in Matthew 25:46) is an adjective and carries a singular meaning.

Note that in the analogy, the adjective ‘great’ in the English language does not have a singular nor a plural feel. There is no such thing when great is used as an adjective, we can say, ‘greats God’. But as a noun (name, place or thing) the same word ‘great’ has a plural for we can say ‘the greats of soccer ...’ (referring to the many soccer players who were great ...). Thus we must be careful when we draw analogies especially in the study of language.

For the second group (some dualist universalist view) and also the eternal hell view, are you sure you got your arguments right? Here’s mine:

Strictly speaking, even though the two occurrences of the same Greek word (aionion) in both Matthew 25:46 and 1 Timothy 6:16 have exactly the same spelling, the ‘feel is different’. For in the former (Matthew 25:46), it is ‘Adjective - Accusative - Singular - Feminine’ while on the latter (1 Timothy 6:16) it is ‘Adjective - Nominative - Singular - Neuter’.

It doesn’t change the meaning of the two words simply because they are of the same spelling but only the ‘feel’ changes. The meaning is implied by the two invariants present (adjective - singular) while the ‘feel’ is implied by the two variables (Accusative/Nominative - Feminine/Neuter). You don’t have to take my word for it and you can ask any local ‘Greek expert’ nearby if this is so. Ask them,

“Can two words of the same spelling mean differently in Greek if they are not a homograph*? Is it true that the meaning is the same but the ‘feel’ is different?”

**Homograph : Two words with the same spelling but different meanings entirely. E.g., ‘saw’; ‘I saw a cat’ and ‘The saw can cut’.*

Note also that we must also be careful of the so called ‘grammar rule’ arguments often given by the ‘eternal hell view adherents’. These rules often self-contradict and they will come up with a ‘new rule’ just to fit the case so that the exact same word in consideration can completely mean ‘something else’ entirely in two passages which they may occur in.

I’ll give you a brief example:

Consider the pair of verses in 1 Corinthians 10:11 and Philippians 4:20 (both written by the same person, apostle Paul under the Holy Spirit) which have the Greek word aionon with not only the same spelling (implying a same meaning) but also the same ‘feel’ namely, in this case, both are ‘Noun - Genitive - Plural - Masculine’.

These exactly same words (aionon – in all sense) were translated thus :

- i. In 1 Corinthians 10:11, it’s translated as: ages, world or worlds.
- ii. In Philippians 4:20, it’s translated as: ever. (not forever or even for ever; You should ask them why this is such for I didn’t do it and neither do I approve it to be a correct translation).

The best excuse (or grammar rule) which they will use is ‘context’; It’s like a magic word (context) because when uttered, you’ll be disillusioned (spellbound) and they can say ‘whatever they want’ and ‘you will end up believing it’. Again I repeat, how can two exactly same words in Greek (aionon) in this case equal in all sense (spelling, feel, and even the same author - apostle Paul) be translated differently: The first time as (ages, world, worlds) in 1 Corinthians 10:11 while the second time as (ever) in Philippians 4:20? Context(?). Maybe you need ‘contacts’. Just kidding.

This is a horrible duality that has skewed the true meaning of the gospel of Jesus Christ. Remember, it may be that if they ‘lied’, then you too ‘lied’ by preaching a ‘lie’ about our Blessed Lord Jesus. ‘Not knowing’

is not a good excuse (especially when you're told and presented with the evidence like this) even as the following Scripture testifies:

*“In flaming fire taking vengeance on those who do not know God, and on those who do not obey the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ”
(2 Thessalonians 1:8)*

Does the phrase ‘do not know God’ (apply to those who have a wrong concept of Him – whom the Lord may declare ‘I never knew you’) while the phrase ‘do not obey the gospel’ (apply to those who were told the truth but still refuse to repent and continued in their old ways - having a wrong concept of Him)? You decide.

Now you can see why I rejected this language rule (also commonly used by Universalists) for it causes confusion and is a duality.

The ‘fact’ (as they claim) in ‘Greek/Hebrew’ language, the meaning can be taken from the ‘subject’ to which it is applied. Since God is Immortal, hence His power is eternal; because according to their ‘language or grammar rule’, aionon here can imply the meaning ‘eternal’ even though its literal meaning here is ages.

They get this idea from philosophers such as Thomas De Quincey, one of the most accurate students of language, and profoundest reasoners and thinkers among English scholars; as Dr John Wesley Hanson records this philosophy in Quincey’s words:

“The exact amount of the duration expressed by an aión depends altogether upon the particular subject which yields the aión. It is, as I have said, a radix, and like an algebraic square-root or cube-root, though governed by the most rigorous laws of limitation, it must vary in obedience to the nature of the particular subject whose radix it forms.”

Notice that Quincey draws an analogy based on some mathematical law. Remember, we must be careful when we do an analogy. In fact, an analogy cannot be used to imply a meaning but rather just used to explain (in parallel) a similar ‘line of logic’. I think I know a little mathematics too.

I’ve to put it so clearly and a little harsh so that you will think twice before accusing me that I’m in error. Even if I’m in error, it is definitely not in the big picture, which is, Christ Saves the World.

Do you know that if you put in the ‘true’ literal meaning for that Greek word (aionon), namely, ‘ages’ in this case, ‘all’ the way, you would find ‘no contradiction’. The Bible will now reveal a more literal and real truth which was hidden from you (and me too – at one point in time).

Alas! Therein the secret: no duality (even when translating).

Let us illustrate with an example: The aionion that occurs in both 1 Corinthians 10:11, and Philippians 4:20 may be translated either based on the ‘duality’ or the ‘oneness’ concept below.

Duality: The word aionon (noun-plural; with exactly the same spelling, feel and authorship) may mean ‘ages’, ‘world’, ‘worlds’ or ‘ever’ in accordance to ‘context’.

Oneness: The word aionon (noun-plural) means ‘ages’ everywhere it occurs in the Bible.

A brief note: To answer the ‘objection’ made by the ‘eternal hell view’ with regards to the aionion (adjective-singular and with the same spelling) which occurs in both (Matthew 25:46 & 1 Timothy 6:16). Their best argument to defend their ‘duality principle in translation’ is that if the literal meaning (of the Greek word aionion) is true, then

‘the life described by our Lord is to the age only (Matthew 25:46) - after which we die? And thus His power also last’s only to the age (1 Timothy 6:16) – after which God is powerless?’

The answer is simple (consider the two points below):

1. The literal meaning (Oneness translation) of these two passages of Scripture and their respective explanations are as follows:

(i) [Matthew 25:46]; “*And the wicked shall go into age-during punishment while the righteous shall go into age-during life.*” (Matthew 25:46)

In the coming age (as it is named ‘men’s correction age’ in this book), the righteous go into a period of life (as their just reward for believing ‘first’) while the rest (wicked) go into a time of punishment (kolasin - as a just reward for their disobedience and sin) whose duration lasts for an age only (hence it is named ‘men’s correction age’ in this book).

Note that they are not saved ‘by hell’ but ‘by Lord Jesus’.

Their punishment in hell is just (to show that this is what they deserve based on their sins – and also to be ‘fair’ to us, Christians who trusted in Him first; for it would be unfair (or partiality with God) if they are just ‘simply saved’ with or without repentance (change of freewill to align itself and submit to God), punishment or belief {in Jesus}).

Nevertheless Lord Jesus’ redemption work on the cross implies that these punishments cannot be ‘eternal or endless’ because ‘He doesn’t deal with us according to our sins’ – the outworking of His Mercy and grace – He chooses to forgive because He is Love (Agape) that never fails (once loves, always loves) – It is not unjust in the part of God when He does this simply because He Has that right (because He paid for all the sin of the whole world {all} – and it is being testified (in its due times, now!) – and most importantly, this is what He (God) wants

(desires, intents) – That is, His Will is to ‘save the world’, ‘not desire the death of even the wicked’, ‘that none should be lost (remain in death) forever’, ‘that Lord Jesus should lose nothing of the ‘all things’ given to Him’; to restore all things – gather all things to reconcile them – and thus fill all things so that God will be ‘all in all’!

Just in case someone feels that God’s Will described in the earlier passage has no Scriptural justification, here it is again (this time with its Scriptural addresses written beside it):

God’s Will is to:

- i. ‘save the world’, [John 1:29, John 3:17, 1 John 2:2]
- ii. ‘not desire the death of even the wicked’, [Ezekiel 18:23,32]
- iii. ‘that none should be lost (remain in death forever) till not found or be brought back from the dead’, [Luke 15:4-5; Luke 15:8-9; Luke 15:24;

Note that the sheep, coin and son was not lost forever (never found) but He searches and waits (timing) till (until) He finds them all; All the parable of the ‘lost’ ends with the ‘lost’ being found and received back even from a death state. It can be applied to this life or even after death for He doesn’t change: He is a Saviour Who will not stop till He saves all for this is His purpose and He is in One Mind and who can stop Him?

- i. ‘that Lord Jesus should lose nothing of the ‘all things’ given to Him’, [John 3:35, John 6:37 – 39]
- ii. to restore all things [Acts 3:20-21]
- iii. gather all things to reconcile them [Ephesians 1:10, Colossians 1:20] and thus, to fill all things [Ephesians 1:23]
- iv. so that God will be ‘all in all’ [1 Corinthians 15:28]

Ask the eternal hell scholars to try explain these universalistic verses (above). You will be astounded by how even their best Greek professor or hermeneutics* professor struggle to find words and end up babbling

meanings that you yourself can clearly see that it does not seem to add up to the literal meaning stated in the Holy Scriptures above.

**hermeneutics = interpretation; especially of Scripture.*

(ii) [1 Timothy 6:16]; “*who alone has immortality, dwelling in an unapproachable light, whom no man has seen or can see, to whom be honour and power age-during. Amen.*” (1 Timothy 6:16)

Oneness – Literal meaning

Here we see that Paul is declaring that God is Immortal and is highlighting His honour and power to the end of this current age because the current age (called the Gospel age in this book - Revelation 14:6) is in force until the prophecies of the book of Revelation is fulfilled till Judgment Day.

After Judgment Day, the next age (called men’s correction age in this book) begins. That is what the passage above really and literally means in Greek.

Further Objection by ‘dualist meaning scholars’:

Some would still argue that it sounds funny for Paul to describe God’s power (might or even dominion) for a particular age. Why doesn’t Paul just declare it to be eternal? This must mean that the age (aionion) here must therefore by rules of language or grammar available to Greek, mean eternal in this instance. Hence we must reject the literal meaning of Scripture here when looked upon the totality of context of Scripture elsewhere.

Would you declare God’s power as age-during or eternal? Rest assured, it can’t be that all our scholars in Greek are wrong in this translation. Those guys who claim literal meaning translation have not studied Greek fully and they are not an authority in Greek at all.

All of us are wrong and those select few who are nothing are right ah? Remember, we are the authority in doctrine who are ordained by God. In fact, our scholars are good tithers, have good prayer lives and are also sensitive to the leading of the Spirit. Tell me, how can we be wrong?

Sounds good eh? Yeah, and they will say that this is the explanation which they feel is right in their spirit as well. My Reply to their ‘further objection’: Are you sure your case is water-tight?

So the all scriptures in the Bible talking about salvation of the world to the reconciliation of all things are all wrong? To start off, they are right in one thing though – what I’m going to share below is not going to be right with their spirit (but not with God’s Spirit). And I think I have God’s Spirit living in me too (1 Corinthians 7:40).

If your chief protestant reformer - Martin Luther - thought the same way that you claimed we should towards the Catholic Church at that time which was both the authority in doctrine and language (Hebrew/Greek and the unrelated Latin) you wouldn’t even have your denomination today.

Don’t get fooled by their earthly logic that even their reformers have contradicted. It may be the case described by the verse below:

“For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine, but according to their own desires, because they have itching ears, they will heap up for themselves teachers; And they will turn their ears away from the truth, and be turned aside to fables.”

(2 Timothy 4:3 – 4)

Note that there are many fables in the world (from other religious thoughts as well) which teach eternal hell. There is no fable in all the world that equates to the one truth which is Jesus, Saviour of the

world. The Story of the Son of God, Lord Jesus, God in Flesh, coming to save the world (kosmon) is not only great, but also legendary and even close to being mythical simply because it is too good to be true!

Hence the Wisdom of God: Lord Jesus Christ Himself! Remember, Paul warned Timothy to keep away from fables even in his first letter to him as the verse below tells us:

“But reject profane and old wives’ fables, and exercise yourself toward godliness” (1 Timothy 4:7)

Note also that in context, just after warning Timothy to keep away from fables, two verses down, Paul states the remarkable universal truth with regards to men that Timothy needs to accept and keep in his mind as an evangelist (2 Timothy 4:5), namely that God saves all men. To quote:

“For this is a faithful saying worthy of all acceptance. For to this end we labour and suffer reproach, because we trust in the living God, who is the saviour of all men, especially of those who believe. These things command and teach.” (1 Timothy 4:9 - 11)

A sharp eye would also note that before warning about the fables, Paul also assured Timothy early in this same epistle that God will save all men, but not at the same time, he says, but rather at different times (plural in Greek – testified in due times), each in his own order one might say. Here is that beautiful assurance:

“For there is one God and one Mediator between God and men, the Man Christ Jesus, Who gave Himself a ransom for all, to be testified in due times.” (1 Timothy 2:5 - 6)

Remember, duality is a form of hypocrisy. This is being double minded.

Sometimes the exact same word would mean one thing in one place and another meaning in a different place where it is found in the Bible. And their excuse: Law of language/grammar in Greek.

Firstly, God does not approve any dual mindedness (e.g., having two or more minds when translating the same word in Greek into two or more different meanings). This is what scripture says about a dualist translator’s mind (double minded):

“I hate the double-minded. But I love Your Law” (Psalm 119:113)

Thus neither will I tolerate double-mindedness and will speak against it because I too love God’s Word. God wants Oneness in your minds. Therefore, change your ways as Scripture exhorts; It’s still not too late:

“Draw near to God and He will draw near to you. Cleanse your hands, you sinners, and purify your hearts, you double-minded.” (James 4:8)

Dualist translators are double minded (because they don’t even render the same meaning to the same word when it occurs at different places - note the literal one meaning fits just fine all the way). The verse below tells us that double minded ones are unstable:

“he is a double-minded man, unstable in all his ways.” (James 1:8)

Remember that at the beginning of this chapter I quoted apostle Peter’s warning (2 Peter 3:16) that unstable people will twist Paul’s writings such as (1 Timothy 6:16) and also the rest of scriptures to their own destruction. See the end of duality?

Dualist translation methods and the excuses (grammar rules, language exceptions, idioms – which are used against what is literally and even plainly written and meant in Scriptures) are just nonsense and based on the wisdom of this world!

Note that they do not have any Scripture to quote against the principle of Oneness Literal Translation. In fact, just take scripture plainly as what it says. Don't try to add to the meaning especially in using worldly language/grammar rules which are not defined by God nor taught by Him.

He (God) always has One meaning and does not have duality in the words which are inspired in Scripture simply because He is not the author of confusion (because dualism – language rules excuses etc. causes considerable confusion) as Scripture itself testifies:

“For God is not the author of confusion but of peace, as in all the churches of the saints.” (1 Corinthians 14:33)

If Paul really wanted to mean ‘eternal power’ here, he could have simply used a phrase that he himself wrote in Romans 1:20:

“For since the creation of the world His invisible attributes are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead, so that they are without excuse,”

Note that the word ‘eternal’ here is translated from the true Greek word to mean ‘endless or everlasting’, namely, *aidios*. Since Paul wrote both passages [1 Timothy 6:16 & Romans 1:20], and he used different words to describe the power (might) of God, it must be that he is highlighting something deeper just as the literal one meaning tells us.

Another explanation is this: If you considered verses 14 to 16 together, you will actually be able to see the real reason why apostle Paul used literally the word *age-during* and not *eternal* to describe God's power (might, dominion) here. Let us see those verses together:

*“that you keep this commandment without spot, blameless until our Lord Jesus Christ's appearing, Which He will manifest in His own time, He who is the blessed and only Potentate, the King of kings and Lord of lords, Who alone has immortality, dwelling in an unapproachable light, whom no man has seen or can see, to whom be honour and **power age-during**. Amen.” (1 Timothy 6:14 - 16)*

Can you see it? I've bolded the clue words.

Plainly, Paul is highlighting the power of the immortal Lord Jesus Christ to the end of this current age which is signified by the exhortation he gives to Timothy to walk blameless until Lord Jesus appears (manifests) in His own time. Note that when Christ returns, the current age ends and that is why Paul is highlighting and assuring Timothy that Christ's honour and power will be there throughout this age as well. In other words, Timothy (and we also) can rest assured knowing that Christ's honour and power will be there even to the end of this age when the Lord returns whenever the time may be. The literal meaning makes perfect sense right?

As usual, you decide what you want to believe.

We know that Paul wasn't sure if the Lord may return in Timothy's lifetime or not. That is why the exhortation to keep the faith is written in such a manner. Since the Lord hasn't returned yet, we carry on keeping this commandment, until the Lord comes, when this current age (the Gospel age) will end, judgment day will be held and the next age (men's correction age) will begin.

Remember, a *phD* (permanent head damage) in Greek is not necessary to unlock the meaning of Scripture. It only helps if we use what we have learnt rightly. The Holy Spirit is the Teacher Who will show us the correct, uniform, peaceful, and one literal meaning of Scripture.

Didn't He promise that,

“But God has chosen the foolish things of the world to put to shame the wise, and God has chosen the weak things of the world to put to shame the things which are mighty.” (1 Corinthians 1:27)

Why? Few verses down we find an answer:

“that no flesh should glory in His presence.” (1 Corinthians 1:29)

Because,

“that as it is written, ‘He who glories, let him glory in the LORD.’” (1 Corinthians 1:31 correlates with Jeremiah 9:24)

chapter 3

Aion – Aionion The Idiom Excuse

As the lost in the world sing the phrases below (once my favourite worldly song – Open your eyes by alter bridge):

“Constantly it burdens me, hard to trust, I can't believe...”

“I lost a faith, I lost a love ...”

“Hard to walk this path alone, hard to know which way to go...” and

“Will they open their eyes and realize we're one?...”

These are words honestly uttered by the lost amongst us. They are scattered and far away from our true blessed Saviour (Lord Jesus) because Christianity has fed the lie of eternal hell!

God is not happy. Our Blessed Lord Jesus walks the world in all the ages, silently, untold, searching for all the lost as they lie in darkness in all the world. He is the King Who came to search...and will search until they're all found - for He knows no failure. He binds their wounds, cures their ills, and restores them back to life from the dead! In His Love (Agape), He will do it and not fail for He is God!

I'm not a shepherd. There is only one Shepherd. He is none other than Lord Jesus Christ, Son of the Living God. As Peter says,

“For you were like sheep going astray, but have now returned to the Shepherd and Overseer of your souls.” (1 Peter 2:25)

Just think. They are exactly like us except that we’re found and they remain lost at the moment. Only the devil stops you from thinking. The true God who gave you a brain wants you to think.

“For He (God) is not the God of the dead but of the living; for all live to Him.” (Luke 20:38)

Lord Jesus Himself told the fact above. Note that all (not some) live to Him. Think again. Believe it or not, almost all translations of the Bible render the occurrences of the phrases below as Forever and ever. The excuse of the Christian Greek professors is this: These are all idioms in Greek language which means forever and ever even though their real literal meanings stated below say otherwise, respectively.

Ask yourself, Can all these be idioms which mean forever and ever? If so, Greek must be the only language in the world where it has not one but so many different idioms which literally mean a limited period of time (that highlights different time periods respectively) but under the language/grammar excuse created by the Christian Greek professors, it all means forever and ever or simply, forever.

Can you see the obvious deceptions and cunningness?

Consider the list of Greek phrases/words (transliterated) below which occur in the Bible together with their respective one literal meaning and also their translations:

(i) Transliterated Greek phrase: aionos ton aionon

- One literal meaning: age of the Ages
- Example of occurrence: Ephesians 3:21.
- αἰῶνος = aionos = age (Noun – Genitive – Singular – Masculine)
- αἰώνων = aionon = Ages (Noun – Genitive – Plural – Masculine)
- τῶν = ton = of (does not mean ‘and’)
- Example of translation (NKJV): forever and ever.

(ii) Transliterated Greek phrase: aiona tou aionos

- One literal meaning: age of the age
- Example of occurrence: Hebrews 1:8.
- αἰῶνα = aiona = age (Noun – Accusative – Singular – Masculine)
- αἰῶνος = aionos = age (Noun – Genitive – Singular – Masculine)
- τοῦ = tou = of (does not mean ‘and’)
- Example of translation (NKJV): forever and ever.

(iii) Transliterated Greek phrase: aiónas ton aiónon

- One literal meaning: ages of the Ages
- Example of occurrences: Philippians 4:20, 2 Timothy 4:18, 1 Peter 4:11, Revelation 7:12, Revelation 10:6, Revelation 11:15, Revelation 19:3, Revelation 20:10, Revelation 22:5.
- αἰῶνας = aionas = ages (Noun - Accusative – Plural – Masculine)
- αἰώνων = aionon = Ages (Noun -Genitive – Plural – Masculine)
- τῶν = ton = of (does not mean ‘and’)
- Example of translation (NKJV): forever and ever.

(iv) Transliterated Greek phrase: eis aiónas aiónon

- One literal meaning: to ages of Ages
- Example of occurrence: Revelation 14:11.
- αἰῶνας = aionas = ages (Noun - Accusative – Plural – Masculine)
- αἰώνων = aionon = Ages (Noun -Genitive – Plural – Masculine)
- εἰς = eis = to (does not mean ‘and’)
- Example of translation (NKJV): forever and ever.

(v) Transliterated Greek phrase: eis pantas tous aiónas

- One literal meaning: to all the ages or to all ages*.
- Example of occurrence: Jude 1:25. (Jude 25)
- εἰς = eis = to (does not mean ‘and’)
- παντὸς = pantas = all (does not mean ‘forever’)
- τοῦς = tous = the (does not mean ‘and’)
- αἰῶνας = aionas = ages (Noun - Accusative – Plural – Masculine)
- Example of translation (NKJV): forever.

*Note that in (v) above, it said that the Greek language does not have the definite article ‘the’ (as it means in English). Therefore, sometimes τοῦς = tous may not be translated at all. Note that it still does not in any way change our one literal meaning. Also, the quantifier ‘all’ before the plural words ‘ages’ itself will indicate by usage that ages cannot mean ‘forever’. Thus ‘all ages’ highlights God’s power and dominion is extent to all the ages meaning He never stopped being ‘God’ at any period of time in the ages that He had made and framed (prepared or fitted together) as testified in the Scriptures found in the book of Hebrews literally. (Hebrews 1:2 and Hebrews 11:3).

Here they are literally:

The first verse states that God made the ages:

“in these last days did speak to us in a Son, whom He appointed heir of all things, through whom also He did make the ages;” (Hebrews 1:2)

The second one states that by faith we understand that the ages have been prepared by God:

“by faith we understand the ages to have been prepared by a saying of God, in regard to the things seen not having come out of things appearing;” (Hebrews 11:3)

So, who said that faith has nothing to do with understanding the concept of ages? (“literally”)

(vi) Transliterated Greek phrase: kai eis hemeran aionos

- One literal meaning: and to the day of the age.
- Example of occurrence: 2 Peter 3:18.
- καὶ = kai = and (finally the Greek word that really means ‘and’)
- εἰς = eis = to (does not mean ‘and’)
- ἡμέραν = hemeran = day (Noun -Accusative -Singular -Feminine)
- αἰῶνος = aionos = age (Noun – Genitive – Singular – Masculine)
- Example of translation (NKJV): forever.

That is why in their interlinears you will sometimes find (depending on the scholar’s level of honesty to state its literal meaning) the literal meaning for the phrases above in italics or being underlined which indicates that under their cunningly invented language or grammar rule excuse, the above are all just idioms.

In other words, whenever you find words (phrases) that are in italics or underlined in the interlinear, it simply means that the scholars are telling you something like this: “Don’t take the literal meaning rendered here but rather treat this phrase as an idiom.” This indicates that they have not stated the real literal one meaning of the words (phrases) above but rather have rendered a lie under their idiom excuse - that all the above mean forever. Let’s see if they will be excused for their created excuses by our Lord on Judgment Day.

For example, in most honest interlinears you will find the phrase which describes the punishment of Satan in Revelation 20:10 to literally mean ages of the Ages (denoting a limited time period) but they will say that it’s an idiom (excuse) and proceed to italicize or underline the phrase and deceive you by telling it now means forever and ever. Remember where all liars go? You are not a liar if you take the Bible to mean literally what it says.

Note that sometimes italicized words (such as ‘and’ are used in the place of ‘of’) which indicates that the word in Greek literally is ‘of’ but based on the idiom excuse they have miraculously transformed the word ‘of’ to be replaced by the word ‘and’.

Since this is done even in the translation of the Book of Revelation, can this be considered as a form of adding or removing from the Word? This warning is clearly given by apostle John himself:

“For I testify to everyone who hears the words of the prophecy of this book: If anyone adds to these things, God will add to him the plagues that are written in this book; and if anyone takes away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part from the Book of Life, from the holy city, and from the things which are written in this book.” (Revelation 22:18 - 19)

The warnings apply to me also. That is why I am writing this clearly to show that by accepting the literal meaning of Scripture it is not a lie whilst manipulating the literal meanings to mean something else based on language/grammar rules derived from the world is a lie.

Will the true and living God accept your Greek or hermeneutic professor’s language/grammar rules or excuses? Are you sure? God wants honesty and not lies in us. Remember the psalmist when he says that God desires truth (not lies) in inward parts? Indeed:

“Behold, You desire truth in the inward parts, and in the hidden part You will make me to know wisdom.” (Psalm 51:6)

I exhort you: don’t be cowardly to belief the truth. If you ignore the truth, you may be one of the unbelieving ones. Also, if you continue to preach a lie about our blessed Saviour, where do liars go?

“But the cowardly, unbelieving, abominable, murderers, sexually immoral, sorcerers, idolaters, and all liars shall have their part in the lake which burns with fire and brimstone, which is the second death.” (Revelation 21:8)

Remember, there are no cowards, unbelievers nor liars in heaven too. All this while it was nice warning the non-believers, right?; and felt good? Be warned, if you don’t change, you may end up in your same condemnation. Do you really trust the translations of the Bibles made by your Greek or Hermeneutic Professors?

Please don’t blame your local pastors because probably even they would not have known about this elaborate scam. It is the teachers (especially those who know Greek such as the professors) who have not been honest in their translations and may come under greater condemnation.

If you are asking, “How can God allow us to be condemned and deceived like this? Don’t worry, God loves us and will definitely bring us into heaven.” Ask also, “Don’t the non-believers who are deceived also deserve the mercy shown to you?” Now you will begin to understand how merciful our God really is. Yes, He will definitely bring you into heaven one day because His name is Love (Agape).

Remember also that don’t be deceived; God is not mocked, for a man reaps what he sows. (Galatians 6:7)

Finally I end with a thought:

“By making the idiom excuse they have made us all idiots.”

chapter 4

Aion – Aionon: A Proof That The Plural Aionon (noun) Cannot Mean Eternal

Going by the principle of oneness based literal translation, (i.e. God had only one meaning to this same word {in spelling} wherever it occurs in the original Greek New Testament), by method of proof by contradiction technique, we can show that only ‘ages’ fits all the places where it occurs in the Bible perfectly without any language or grammar mistake.

If you are wondering: What is proof by contradiction technique and what it has got to do with the Bible?

An answer: Since the translators have introduced their own grammar/language rules which are of this world in order to justify their duality based translation, I too will endeavour to proof with logic using the method of proof found in the field of logic (Mathematics) itself: proof by contradiction technique.

Don’t let the big words scare you. It is understandable in English if you have relatively an average command of the language. You try to use worldly wisdom to refute the literal meaning of Scripture, I will also use worldly wisdom to refute your duality meaning of Scripture.

This logic based method of proof is also used in the study of philosophy – Theology itself and comes under the category of philosophy in the world’s perspective. The idea behind this method of proof is to assume a set of hypothesis and then proceed to derive some facts which would be relatively true to the hypotheses (which is assumed) and finally produce a contradiction amongst the facts or hypotheses contained within the set of hypotheses assumed/derived. A contradiction!

This implies that something in the assumption must not be true. Perhaps it is a way the Scripture below testifies it may be done:

“For the wisdom of this world is foolishness with God. For it is written, He catches the wise in their own craftiness.”
(1 Corinthians 3:19 and correlates with Job 5:13)

Just a note: (Here’s a reason behind this proof)

1. If I can produce this proof logically, imagine the LORD Himself answers you according to your logic, are you sure you can justify the philosophy behind your dualist translations as being honest and more importantly as being right?
2. Be warned, if God does not approve your excuses which you created (language/grammar rules), you are in deep trouble for not only mishandling His word (adding/removing the real literal meaning of Scripture) but also becoming the cause of stumbling and deception to all the lost sheep (congregation of the church or Ekklesia of God) which have been believing a lie about our blessed and Most Loving Saviour. The very fact that you are still not judged by God is because He still loves you too. Therefore, be zealous and repent as how even I repented from these errors some years ago when the Lord corrected me.

As the Lord spoke to the lukewarm* (dualist) church at Laodicea,
“As many as I love, I rebuke and chasten. Therefore be zealous and repent.” (Revelation 3:19)

**Lukewarm literally means neither cold nor hot. This is a dualist position. It can be with regard to any characteristics be it love, faith, holiness, zeal for God, truth, interpretation and translation of Scripture, etc. They are double – minded and unstable in all their ways.*

Enough talk. Let’s do it. I would only give one proper formal mathematical style based proof.

Formal Proof (One Premise or Hypothesis):

God the Holy Spirit had only one meaning to this same word - aionon {in spelling} wherever it occurs (in all places) in the original Greek New Testament, namely its literal meaning, ages.

The word in consideration: aionon

Its invariance: Noun and Plural

Its literal one meaning: ages

Consider the following 13 passages of Scripture (there’s more but this select group would suffice for the proof). I have chosen where the same aionon (in all sense – NGMP means Noun – Genitive – Masculine – Plural) occurs and the example of translations done:

Note that BIB is the Original Bible in Greek while NAS and KJV are examples of translations while INT is their Interlinear

1 Corinthians 2:7 N-GMP

BIB: πρὸ τῶν αἰώνων εἰς δόξαν

NAS: before the ages to our glory;

KJV: ordained before the world unto our

INT: before the ages for glory

1 Corinthians 10:11 N-GMP

BIB: τέλη τῶν αἰώνων κατήντηκεν

NAS: the ends of the ages have come.

KJV: whom the ends of the world are come.

INT: ends of the ages are arrived

Ephesians 3:9 N-GMP

BIB: ἀπὸ τῶν αἰώνων ἐν τῷ

NAS: of the mystery which for ages has been hidden

KJV: from the beginning of the world hath been hid

INT: from the ages in

Ephesians 3:11 N-GMP

BIB: πρόθεσιν τῶν αἰώνων ἦν ἐποίησεν

NAS: [This was] in accordance with the eternal purpose

KJV: According to the eternal purpose which

INT: [the] purpose of the ages which he made

Ephesians 3:21 N-GMP

BIB: αἰῶνος τῶν αἰώνων ἀμήν

NAS: generations forever and ever. Amen.

KJV: ages, world without end. Amen.

INT: age of the ages Amen

Philippians 4:20 N-GMP

BIB: αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων ἀμήν

NAS: [be] the glory forever and ever. Amen.

KJV: for ever and ever. Amen.

INT: ages of the ages Amen

Colossians 1:26 N-GMP

BIB: ἀπὸ τῶν αἰώνων καὶ ἀπὸ

NAS: which has been hidden from the [past] ages and generations,

KJV: hath been hid from ages and from

INT: from the ages and from

1 Timothy 1:17 N-GMP

BIB: βασιλεῖ τῶν αἰώνων ἀφθάρτῳ ἀοράτῳ

NAS: to the King eternal, immortal,

KJV: unto the King eternal, immortal,

INT: [the] King of the ages [the] immortal invisible

Hebrews 9:26 N-GMP

BIB: συντελεία τῶν αἰώνων εἰς ἀθέτησιν

NAS: at the consummation of the ages He has been manifested

KJV: the end of the world hath he appeared

INT: [the] consummation of the ages for [the] putting away

Revelation 11:15 N-GMP

BIB: αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων

NAS: and He will reign forever and ever.

KJV: for ever and ever.

INT: ages of the ages

Revelation 19:3 N-GMP

BIB: αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων

NAS: RISES UP FOREVER AND EVER.

KJV: for ever and ever.

INT: ages of the ages

Revelation 20:10 N-GMP

BIB: αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων

NAS: and night forever and ever.

KJV: for ever and ever.

INT: ages of the ages

Revelation 22:5 N-GMP

BIB: αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων

NAS: forever and ever.

KJV: for ever and ever.

INT: ages of the ages

You can even use your own trusted translation of your Bible. Can you see the confusion caused by their dualist methods of translation? In other words, bear in mind that for all the 13 examples listed above, the same Greek word *aionon* is translated as:

1. ages
2. world
3. age
4. and ever
5. eternal
6. without end

Shocking? Do you know that if you translate ages into all the verses above, you would see no contradictions in language? (The only contradictions they will tell you is against their doctrine but not against the doctrine of God – for they do not have a doctrine on ages).

Now we are ready to apply the proof by contradiction technique. Here's the argument: Because by our one (premise or hypothesis) listed above, (i.e. God intended only one meaning for this same Greek word *aionon*), we find the following contradiction(s):
ages = world = age = and ever = eternal = without end

The above is a contradiction simply because the words translated are not equal in meaning among themselves. You can take any single translation of any of their dualist based translations and you will find your own contradictions respectively. Note that ages (an indefinite period of time which has a beginning and an end) cannot be equal to say eternal (which has at least no end). The time period or thing spoken of in the dualist translations above is entirely different and contradictory. For example, is and ever same meaning as world?

Even the Bible's usage teaches us that ages (a time period) has a beginning (start) and end (finishing) in any of their dualist English Bibles as well.

To see this, just use your own personal Bible:

The exactly same *aionon* (in Greek) or ages having a:

- i. Beginning (implied from the word before the ages) [1 Corinthians 2:7 , Ephesians 3:9].
- ii. End (implied from the words ends, consummation etc.) [1 Corinthians 10:11, Colossians 1:26, Hebrews 9:26]

Thus a contradiction always exists in a dualist translation. Now a contradiction implies either one of these two things:

1. The Premise or Hypothesis is wrong
2. The dualist translations are wrong

I would say the reason for this contradiction is that as point 2 says, the dualist translations are wrong. Do you dare say premise 1 is wrong? That is, God didn't intent one literal meaning? Be warned. You may find yourself going against the inspiration given by the Holy Spirit by agreeing to their choice of words and meanings implied.

QED (which was to be demonstrated – end of proof).

I would say that the reason behind the treason of the Son is lack of reason.

You decide for yourself. Do you still trust the Greek scholars? Are they honest? By agreeing with them, you may find yourself answerable to preaching a wrong concept or doctrine about God which is not true to Scripture. I rest my case.

chapter 5

Aion-Aionion: Olam Does Not Mean Eternal

According to even the Septuagint (the oldest surviving translation of the Old Testament translation into Greek), the Hebrew equivalent of aion-aionion is the word olam.

In other words, the Jewish word olam (transliterated) is translated to be equal to the aion-aionion noun or adjectives (in Greek) together with their derivations. Since the latter (aion-aionion) is easily seen to mean age (singular – one age) literally, thus it is true that even olam means an age only.

In other words, the literal translation of the Old Testament must only contain the word age and not eternal or forever wherever olam occurs. The only possible rendering of a derivation of olam which may mean everlasting is phrases such as ‘olam and beyond’. In other words, only phrases such as ‘olam and beyond’ may be correctly translated as everlasting. The rest of the olam that occurs in the Old Testament must be correctly rendered as ‘age’ based on the one literal meaning principle. Phrases such as ‘olam to olam’ may be correctly rendered as ‘age to age’ literally. That is why even the song El-Shaddai has a part where it goes ‘age to age You’re still the same, by the power of Your name...’ is not translated wrongly as most of the current English translations which render that phrase ‘olam to olam’ incorrectly as ‘from everlasting to everlasting’.

Consider the brief examples below:

- i. Occurrence of olam
 - Example of occurrence: Genesis 21:33
 - Example of wrong translation:

“Then Abraham planted a tamarisk tree in Beersheba, and there called on the name of the LORD, the everlasting God.” (Genesis 21:33)

Note: Translation of olam above is wrongly rendered as everlasting. The same example with correct literal one translation:

“Then Abraham planted a tamarisk tree in Beersheba, and there called on the name of the LORD, the age-during God.” (Genesis 21:33)*

*Note: Some would immediately argue that how can God be age-during only? Doesn't He live forever? This interpretation to the verse above is wrong because the phrase age-during God is not a definition of how long God lives but rather it emphasizes the time period for which God is God. In other words, it is similar to the phrase God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob or to the one which says God of Israel. Got it? Namely, every Christian understands that when the phrase God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob occurs in Scripture, it does not mean that God is only God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob but also the God of all. Also, God of Israel does not mean that God is God of Israel only but also that God is actually the God of all for He created them all and besides, there is no other God than our One True God!

- ii. Occurrence of from olam to olam
 - Example of occurrence: Psalm 41:13, Psalm 90:2
 - Examples of wrong translation:

“Blessed be the LORD God of Israel from everlasting to everlasting! Amen and Amen.” (Psalm 41:13)

“Before the mountains were brought forth, or ever You had formed the earth and the world, even from everlasting to everlasting, You are God.” (Psalm 90:2)

Note: Even the phrase from everlasting to everlasting makes little sense if you take it as a figure of speech. If you take it literally, it makes no sense since everlasting has no beginning nor an end and therefore it does not need the words from and to (until, till) to be added to it.

In fact, the word everlasting need not even be rendered twice (two times) in each of the passages above. The same examples with correct literal one translation:

“Blessed be the LORD God of Israel from age to age! Amen and Amen.” (Psalm 41:13)

“Before the mountains were brought forth, or ever You had formed the earth and the world, even from age to age, You are God.” (Psalm 90:2)

The language, meaning and accuracy is very clear when ‘olam’ is correctly translated into its literal one meaning, ‘age’.

- iii. Occurrence of olam not applied to God
 - Example of occurrence: Daniel 12:2
 - Example of wrong translation:

“And many of those who sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life, some to shame and everlasting contempt.” (Daniel 12:2)

This does not prove eternal hell/everlasting punishment simply because the translation above is wrong and not based on the true literal meaning of the Hebrew word olam which is rendered wrongly as everlasting there.

The same example with correct literal one translation:

“And many of those who sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to age-during life, some to shame and age-during contempt.” (Daniel 12:2)

The Hebrew word ‘olam’ is correctly and literally rendered as ‘age-during’ here.

Did you notice how accurate the Bible is? I mean both the Old Testament and New Testament teach a limited time age-during punishment for men? (compare with Christ’s own words in Matthew 25:46 which echoes the same truth of age-during life/punishment respectively to the righteous/wicked).

- iv. Occurrence of olam as applied to other temporal things which clearly proves that it cannot mean everlasting by itself.
- Example of occurrence: Deuteronomy 15:17
 - Example of wrong translation:

“then you shall take an awl and thrust it through his ear to the door, and he shall be your servant forever. Also to your female servant you shall do likewise.” (Deuteronomy 15:17)

Due to error-filled translations (such as this), the enemies of the faith, especially the atheists have ridiculed the English Bible for teaching absurd things such as ‘everlasting slavery’ (being a slave forever). The same example with correct literal one translation:

“then you shall take an awl and thrust it through his ear to the door, and he shall be your servant age-during. Also to your female servant you shall do likewise.” (Deuteronomy 15:17)

The servant who will serve age-during itself indicates that the slave is not a slave forever. As long as the Mosaic Covenant (as we shall discuss later) holds on to the age, this act (or procedure) of appointing a servant holds up age-during. After the Mosaic Covenant has ended in Christ, all these slavery (age-during based social contracts) have also ceased.

These contracts were held only to the age (to which they were applied), namely the Mosaic Covenant’s age or simply, the Mosaic age as it is called in this book.

- v. Occurrence of olam (age), permanently (wā·‘ed)
- Example of occurrence: Psalm 145:21
 - Example of wrong translation:

“My mouth shall speak the praise of the LORD, and all flesh shall bless His holy name forever and ever.” (Psalm 145:21) The same example with correct literal one translation:

“My mouth shall speak the praise of the LORD, and all flesh shall bless His holy name to the age, permanently.” (Psalm 145:21)

In the above, even the popular literal YLT translation makes a mistake by translating it incorrectly as “to the age & for ever”. The mistake is in the translation of the second part which is supposed to be “permanently” (or always) and not “for ever”.

Thus the verse in Psalm 145:21 is supposed to literally be translated as “to the age, permanently (always)” but not in the sense of “forever” but till the appointed time unto which it’s to hold (most likely to the wicked’s punishment ‘age’ into which it is to ‘hold’).

Don't let the Greek/Hebrew experts trick you by claiming to be an authority. Please allow me to illustrate with the Hebrew word 'olam' which is equivalent to Greek derivations of aion-aionos that it does not mean eternal even in the Old Testament but rather has always meant its literal one meaning, namely, 'age'.

Many contradictions exist in the Old Testament translations when olam is rendered to mean forever, perpetual or everlasting thereby causing many atheists as well as other opponents of the faith to ridicule and to provide contradictions in the Bible.

These seeming contradictions are not true simply because they were built upon a false premise (of translation) namely, olam = forever, perpetual or everlasting. Take this translation error away and there are no contradictions at all in the Bible. In other words, the true literal one meaning of olam which is age (an unrevealed limited period of time) causes no contradictions at all when unequivocally translated thus in all its occurrences in the Bible. (such literal Bible translations exist – Young's Literal Translation (YLT) and Rotherham's literal Emphasized Bible (ROTH) are the better ones in English).

There's probably no such thing as a perfect translation but rest assured that we have a perfect original Hebrew/Aramaic/Greek Bible. I'll just give one classic example that should silence both the atheists and also the eternal hell believers as to why Scripture itself teaches us by usage contained in it that olam must and means 'age' only.

Any Christian who has tried to convert a Jew from Judaism into Christianity may have heard this famous objection by the Jew:

“Dear friend, there are two things that would prove that either your Bible is contradictory or that Jesus is not from YHWH. To see this, consider the following two statements from ‘your English Bible’:

1. The covenant (Mosaic covenant) given to the Jews is an everlasting or perpetual one which means that it lasts forever.

Here are the verses from your Bible itself which supports this claim:

“ This shall be an everlasting statute for you, to make atonement for the children of Israel, for all their sins, once a year. ’ And he did as the LORD commanded Moses.” (Leviticus 16:34)

“Every Sabbath he shall set it in order before the LORD continually, being taken from the children of Israel by an everlasting covenant.” (Leviticus 24:8)

“And it shall be for Aaron and his sons, and they shall eat It in a holy place; for it is most holy to him from the offerings of the LORD made by fire, by a perpetual statute.” (Leviticus 24:9)

“Therefore the children of Israel shall keep the Sabbath, to observe the Sabbath throughout their generations as a perpetual covenant.” (Exodus 31:16)

2. The Aaronic priesthood or Levitical priesthood is also an everlasting or perpetual priesthood ordained by YHWH which means it lasts forever.

Here are the verses from your Bible itself which supports this claim:

“And you shall gird them with sashes, Aaron and his sons, and put the hats on them. The priesthood shall be theirs for a perpetual statute. So you shall consecrate Aaron and his sons.” (Exodus 29:9)

“You shall anoint them, as you anointed their father, that they may minister to Me as priests; for their anointing shall surely be an everlasting priesthood throughout their generations.” (Exodus 40:15)

“and it shall be to him and his descendants after him a covenant of an everlasting priesthood, because he was zealous for his God, and made atonement for the children of Israel.” (Numbers 25:13)

This all means that ‘we still have to’, say, sacrifice lambs for the atonement of our sins (of the Jewish people) since both the Mosaic covenant and the priesthood are everlasting or perpetual meaning forever.

If Jesus was from YHWH, how can He contradict by nullifying the sacrifice of sins (by lambs) with the sacrifice of Himself since those acts are clearly stated to be done throughout all generations forever. Also, how can an eternal covenant (Mosaic covenant) and an eternal priesthood (Aaronic or Levitical priesthood) be ‘obsolete’ and ‘changed’ respectively, as stated in your New Testament?

Again, here are the verses from your Bible itself which testifies to this claim in the letter to the Hebrews (how ironic):

The first covenant (Mosaic covenant) ends:
 “In that He says, ‘A new covenant’, He has made the first obsolete.

Now what is becoming obsolete and growing old is ready to vanish away.” (Hebrews 8:13)

The Aaronic or Levitical priesthood ends:

“Therefore, if perfection were through the Levitical priesthood (for under it the people received the law), what further need was there that another priest should rise according to the order of Melchizedek, and not be called according to the order of Aaron?

“ For the priesthood being changed, of necessity there is also a change of the law.” (Hebrews 7:11-12)

Even your Bible (translated by your own Hebrew/Greek experts) testify to this for in each of the verse stated above, you will find that the ‘Aaronic priesthood’ and ‘Mosaic covenant’ being stated as eternal in your Old Testament translation.

Our own Hebrew scholars (of Judaism) also agree that *olam* = everlasting, perpetual; continues forever and eternal. Firstly, because it is our language (mother tongue), and we are pretty sure that *olam* (which occurs in each of the verses above and translated as perpetual or everlasting) definitely means eternal or forever. A Jew knows the Hebrew language best, trust me.

We, the Jews, being an authority on both Scripture (ordained by God) and language (it’s our mother tongue) therefore clearly endorse your translation of the Old Testament in terms of language to be correct. By the way, brother, ask yourself, ‘Can something that is everlasting, eternal, perpetual or forever’ ever come to an end?

If so, and Jesus came to change all these, then did God change His mind regarding these covenants? Fulfilling it is not an excuse since even if Jesus fulfilled it, the acts (rituals and observations) are told to be done forever by YHWH throughout all generations. All generations means that even till today it needs to be carried out. How can the same God contradict Himself by instructing in the New Testament to stop all these religious-ritualistic-rites which are so dear to us?

End of the typical Jewish response.”

Ask your best Hermeneutics professor to tackle this Jew and he will be speechless or babble some excuse that even you know won’t seem to add up to the objection given. He may then just proceed to say that this reasonable Jew is being led by Satan and that he (the Jew) has well deserved the eternal hell sentence for contradicting Christianity. This is what will happen if one interprets Scripture without God.

Here is now my response and the literal one answer: Firstly, God doesn’t change with respect to the good things which He has given such as the Mosaic Covenant or the Levitical Priesthood.

Without such a covenant or priesthood, the Israelites themselves would have sinned even more greatly and faced utmost punishments as how the rest of the earth has come or will come under such judgments.

The fact that God does not change with respect to good is reflected by James below:

“Every good and every perfect gift is from above, and comes down from the Father of lights, with whom there is no variation or shadow of turning.” (James 1:17)

The law was a good gift because it became the tutor which leads us to Christ as the following verse testifies:

“Therefore the law was our tutor to bring us to Christ that we might be justified by faith.” (Galatians 3:24)

Now, the contradictions (stated prior) exists because of the error in their premise that *olam* (in Hebrew) and its equivalent *aion* (in Greek) means everlasting, eternal, perpetual or forever.

The fact is that one literal meaning in Scripture for this word is as follows:

Olam (in Hebrew) = *aion* (in Greek) = age (in English) = limited time*.

**More precisely, age = limited period of time which is undisclosed.*

Therefore based on our premise of one literal translation, consider the following verses; that were listed prior, ‘again’ – with the correct translation together with its correct one literal meaning:

1. The covenant (Mosaic covenant) given to the Jews is an age-during (lasting to only one age) covenant and not ‘forever’.

“ This shall be an age-during statute for you, to make atonement for the children of Israel, for all their sins, once a year.’ And he did as the LORD commanded Moses.” (Leviticus 16:34)

“Every Sabbath he shall set it in order before the LORD continually, being taken from the children of Israel by an age-during covenant.” (Leviticus 24:8)

“And it shall be for Aaron and his sons, and they shall eat it in a holy place; for it is most holy to him from the offerings of the LORD made by fire, by an age-during statute.” (Leviticus 24:9)

“Therefore the children of Israel shall keep the Sabbath, to observe the Sabbath throughout their generations as an age-during covenant.” (Exodus 31:16)

Now, think for a moment, it all makes perfect sense. The Mosaic covenant given by the LORD to the Israelites through Moses was only to last for an age. It was a shadow of things to come of which the real covenant is revealed in Christ. The Mosaic covenant was never meant to last forever.

Think about it. For example, consider the following explanations (given for each of the verses above) which makes sense:

- i. For (Leviticus 16:34), it cannot be that the LORD is asking an atonement for sins to be done forever. In the first place is sin and atonement supposed to be done on earth by the Israelites, forever?

Also, an everlasting statute implies that sin would last forever on earth with the Israelites and the atonement is to be carried on forever. Sounds funny? It is. Every Christian knows that the earth (in terms of marrying and giving birth) is coming to an end as there are no marriages nor giving birth even in the age to come (Matthew 22:30 & Luke 20:35).

Therefore how can such an everlasting statute be carried on forever if the people (Israelites) themselves won’t be here on earth forever in such a sinful state?

ii. For (Leviticus 24:8), if you see the verse prior,

“And you shall put pure frankincense on each row, that it may be on the bread for a memorial, an offering made by fire to the LORD:”
(Leviticus 24:7)

Here, it clearly states the act of putting frankincense is to be set on every Sabbath continually during the time period of the covenant which is stated to be forever (everlasting).

So, the Israelites are supposed to put frankincense forever. Sounds false? It is. That is why this ritualistic act has ended and need not be done anymore since that age-during covenant (not an everlasting one) which lasted only during the Mosaic age has since been terminated when the Mosaic age came to an end. Let it be pointed out here that I’m not making fun of the Mosaic covenant but rather making fun of the error in translation which leads to such nonsensical meanings literally.

iii. For (Leviticus 24:9) – Aaron’s descendants are supposed to eat the bread (Leviticus 24:7) forever if it is a perpetual statute (rule).

The problem here is that there is no such thing as Aaron’s descendants being born forever in the first place (remember in (i) above, the descendants of men from giving birth comes to an end with the end of the current Gospel age; Matthew 22:30 & Luke 20:35). How much more carrying out such a rule forever when even in the next age (men’s correction age) there are no Aaron’s descendants being born and also both the Mosaic age and Gospel age have ended? (In case you are a little confused by the naming of the ages – here it is briefly; The derivation of these ages are plain in Scripture and are discussed elsewhere in this book but the naming of it I gave just for easy referral). The names are as follows respectively:

The age before our current age: Mosaic age.

The current age: Gospel age.

The subsequent age (coming next – after our current age): Men’s correction age.

iv. For (Exodus 31:16), if one were to take the part of the perpetual covenant spoken of here, namely the observation of the Sabbath literally, then we have to conclude that the Sabbath-rule is to last forever.

Then by breaking it (since plenty in Israel itself as of today would be working – for example, the Israeli military, firemen, police etc), then they would certainly be tossed into an eternal hell for breaking it. So the finest as they call it in English (people who serve the country selflessly, such as the police, firemen and military) would burn in hell just because they couldn’t keep the Sabbath – rule. Note that the common excuse that the Sabbath may be changed to another day (say Sunday-the first day of the week, etc.) is not Scriptural at all since God never said that the Sabbath day may be changed from Saturday to another day anywhere in Scripture.

For the Christian denominations which observe the Sabbath rule often quote the verses below to say that the Sabbath maybe changed to another day:

“Now on the first day of the week, when the disciples came together to break bread, Paul, ready to depart the next day, spoke to them and continued his message until midnight.” (Acts 20:7)

Explanation:

Note that the word Sabbath does not occur at all in the verse above. The disciples were not observing the Sabbath in the verse above but rather were doing a gathering on the first day of the week on their

own accord (freewill choice) simply because God never gave such a command to gather on the first day of the week. It was done as a choice on the part of the disciples as they hungered to hear the Word of God. That's all. Furthermore, note that the first day of the week is most likely a Sunday and not a Saturday (Sabbath day).

“On the first day of the week let each one of you lay something aside, storing up as he may prosper, that there be no collections when I come.” (1 Corinthians 16:2)

Explanation:

The English doesn't get plainer than this. This not a Sabbath rule Paul was instituting but rather an instruction to the believers to collect early what a believer has set aside (based on how he had prospered) to be given to Paul for ministry.

Paul didn't want any on the spot love offering collections (you may say) probably because it was not his style. Paul was encouraging giving here rather than advocating a Sabbath rule. The phrase first day of the week just indicates when this is to be done and has nothing to do with the Sabbath day – changed or whatsoever to another Sabbath day – implications. Remember, believers gathered on the first day of the week out of love (for God, to see each other, to worship together, to hear the Word being shared, etc.) and not to observe some religious Sabbath day rule.

Such silly level of observance is what our Lord Himself ridiculed often because they didn't understand what exactly God meant by the Sabbath rule. Basically, God didn't want men to work all days in a week but rather wanted them to rest (physically) and also at the same time spend that Sabbath day to worship-pray-read the Word so that they may also get closer to Him (spiritually). It is not a rule anymore in the New Testament.

Churches gathering on Sunday must be based on the reason that we want to gather to worship God and hear the Word in love and not because of some form of continuation of the Sabbath rule obedience.

It has nothing to do with obeying God since it is not a rule that God ever said in New Testament Scripture to be observed during the Gospel age. Remember also that the observation of the Sabbath was only given as a rule for the Jews during the Old Testament and never for any Gentile. Some would even dare say that (in the name of our Holy God); -

If one does not observe this Sabbath rule, you are not putting God first. Please, don't simply take the name of our Holy God in vain by adding such observations which He did not command under the New Testament. All those religious rules ended with the Mosaic age. Remember that the Sabbath was made for man (so that man can rest physically and gain spiritually) and not man for the Sabbath as our dear Lord Himself said:

“And He said to them, ‘The Sabbath was made for man, and not man for the Sabbath.’ “ (Mark 2:27)

Jesus observed the Sabbath simply because the Mosaic age (and thus the Mosaic covenant) was still in force. That age has ended together with those covenants (religious rites-rituals-rules). Lord Jesus broke the Sabbath at times simply because He is the Lord of the Sabbath (Mark 2:28). Remember, it is not a sin for Christ to break the Sabbath since:

Christ is the Son of God. He is divine (God) and also in flesh (Man). He is therefore above the law of the Sabbath (being the Lord of the Sabbath Himself – Mark 2:28) and can overrule it.

The law as (Mark 2:27) literally states, is only for man. Again, since Christ is God-man and not only-man, He is not bound by the Sabbath law.

He followed it just for righteousness sake as in the case of His baptism (Matthew 3:15) and needed not to follow it at all since He is above all. Remember, I don't think John the Baptist himself was ever baptised. John wanted to be baptised by Jesus Himself but even tried to relent from baptising Jesus simply because John knows that only sinners need baptism but Jesus has no sin (Matthew 3:14).

The real fact is that the Sabbath rule was only enforced during the Mosaic age and thus has ended too. In the current Gospel age, we may gather in churches simply because we want to do so out of love and not based on some religious obedience which was never commanded by God in the New Testament's Gospel age era.

Now let's tackle the second part with its literal one meaning.

2. The Aaronic priesthood or Levitical priesthood is also an age-during priesthood ordained by YHWH which means it lasts only to one age; which is during the Mosaic age (specifically).

Here are the verses again correctly translated:

“And you shall gird them with sashes, Aaron and his sons, and put the hats on them. The priesthood shall be theirs for an age-during statute. So you shall consecrate Aaron and his sons.” (Exodus 29:9)

“You shall anoint them, as you anointed their father, that they may minister to Me as priests; for their anointing shall surely be an age-during priesthood throughout their generations.” (Exodus 40:15)

“and it shall be to him and his descendants after him a covenant of an age-during priesthood, because he was zealous for his God, and made atonement for the children of Israel.” (Numbers 25:13)

In short, the Aaronic/levitical priesthood cannot be forever since even the Israelite generations are not going to be born on earth forever. The priesthood mentioned is only to last during the Mosaic age for all generations within that specific Mosaic age, literally.

Also, the Aaronic/levitical priesthood has clearly been told to have come to an end in the New Testament (Hebrews 7:11 – 12) as stated earlier and similarly, the Mosaic covenant has also come to an end under the New Testament age (Hebrews 8:13). By the New Testament, it is meant after the Death & Resurrection of Christ Jesus our Lord.

Here are those verses again when translated correctly:

1. The first covenant (Mosaic covenant) ends: “In that He says, ‘A new covenant’, He has made the first obsolete. Now what is becoming obsolete and growing old is ready to vanish away.” (Hebrews 8:13)
2. The Aaronic or Levitical priesthood ends:

“Therefore, if perfection were through the Levitical priesthood (for under it the people received the law), what further need was there that another priest should rise according to the order of Melchizedek, and not be called according to the order of Aaron? For the priesthood being changed, of necessity there is also a change of the law.” (Hebrews 7:11-12)

You see, the Mosaic covenant (Old Testament) ended with the Mosaic age whilst the Gospel covenant (New Testament) is currently in force in this Gospel age. Similarly, the Aaronic/levitical priesthood ended with the Mosaic age whilst the Melchizedek priesthood is currently in force in this Gospel age.

However, there is only One High Priest under the Melchizedek Priesthood: Lord Jesus Himself. There are no priests under the Gospel covenant who stands in the gap or becomes a mediator between man and God. There is only one Priest and one Mediator and He is none other than Lord Jesus Christ Himself. One mediator between men and our One God:

“For there is one God and one mediator between God and men, the Man Christ Jesus.” (1 Timothy 2:5)

This is what Scripture literally means (as you can check it for yourself) when read under the correct translation of the word age. There is no confusion nor any discrepancies.

The Melchizedek priesthood has only one priest, namely the High Priest Himself, Lord Jesus as is testified in the following verse:

“Therefore holy brethren, partakers of the heavenly calling, consider the Apostle and High Priest of our confession, Christ Jesus.”
(Hebrews 3:1)

Christ didn't glorify Himself to be High Priest but rather it was God the Father who ordained Him thus:

“So also Christ did not glorify Himself to become High Priest, but it was He who said to Him: ‘You are My Son, today I have begotten You.’”
(Hebrews 5:5 correlates with Psalm 2:7)

This order of the Melchizedek priesthood is not to last forever as wrongly translated but rather only to the age, namely, lasting only to the current Gospel age. The Bible doesn't contradict itself. Only error filled non literal translations cause these contradictions. In other words, the contradictions stem from the error of wrong translations and not from the Word itself.

Some would immediately try to argue that ‘how can Jesus be a priest for an age only and not forever?’ We will see the reason for this literally, shortly.

Note that if Jesus is a priest forever then sin is also forever. For a priest is there to make an atonement for sins and also make intercessions on behalf of believers. In other words, if Jesus is a priest forever, then

the problem of sin is not solved for He continues to make atonement (or that His atonement never gets fully applied to all in time) for sin, forever. He also makes intercession forever in heaven? For what? All the believers are saved already!

The verses which literally declare that the Melchizedek Priesthood is to last only for one age (in the current Gospel age) are thus:

“As He also says in another place: ‘You are an age-during priest, According to the order of Melchizedek.’”
(Hebrews 5:6 correlates with Psalm 110:4)

Note that the Greek word translated as age-during (in English) above is aiona (Noun – Accusative – Singular – Masculine) whilst its Hebrew equivalent (as it is quoted from the Psalms) is olam. Can you see how the author of Hebrews (the Hebrew/Greek and Scripture scholar) understood the meaning of olam (written in the Hebrew Old Testament) to be equal to aiona (singular age – one age; when the author translates that same Hebrew ‘olam’ into Greek when he quotes the verse in Psalms in his letter to the Hebrews). That is why I said the Bible itself will teach you how to translate these words correctly. God made no mistakes, only men did translational errors.

My point is this:

Don't just believe what the Hebrew/Greek experts say these words mean. Accept its one literal meaning for olam which is age when it is a noun and age-during when it is an adjective respectively. With these real limited time meanings you will be able to see God's real purpose of the Ages (aionon – Ephesians 3:11) and will find no silly contradictions in the Bible.

In short,

Olam = age (when olam has a noun ‘feel’)

Olam = age-during (when olam has an adjective ‘feel’)

Remember, without the right concept or understanding of God, the connection with Him is not established. No matter how many hours or prayer, fasting and study of the Word one does, if it's not according to the correct knowledge of Him it just becomes a zeal not according to knowledge and thus becomes non-fruitful as the following verse testifies:

“For I bear them witness that they have a zeal for God but not according to knowledge.” (Romans 10:2)

Remember also that He is King of kings and Lord of lords and anything less than Saviour of the World is blasphemy on the highest count. Let not your worship of Him be in vain due to the error of the image you have of Him because of the eternal hell concept and thus your heart becomes far from Him and you end up worshipping Him with your mouth only – in vain – by following the teachings/commandments of men as the following verse also testifies:

“He answered and said to them, ‘Well did Isaiah prophesy of you hypocrites, as it is written: ‘This people honours Me with their lips, But their heart is far from Me. And in vain they worship Me, Teaching as doctrines the commandments of men’. “ (Mark 7:6-7)

This is how Satan has robbed the true image of God in your hearts and minds. Why? Only then your prayers may not be heard, you will not be able to be really free (bound by fear of eternal hell), and amongst the principal things, Satan gets you to preach a lie which has blocked and turned many away from Lord Jesus, namely the lie of eternal hell. Think carefully: Which one sounds like a lie of the devil: God is an eternal tormentor or God is the Great Saviour of all? You will be accountable for your answer to this question on Judgment Day. So be careful of what you say about Him.

Hell under the true concept of the Great Saviour is for the purposes of Just correction and not sadistic torture. Only then those punished will realize that they need Him whom they have rejected and will also subject themselves to the Great Saviour in love. Love doesn't torture them in the lake of fire but rather they are there bound by their own sins and gods which have brought them there. By the Love of God, Christ Jesus is the One Who will even save them from Hell itself when the time is right. Yes, Lord Jesus saves from everything: sin and all its consequences be it death, second death, ... millionth death, hell, gehenna, hades, sheol, pit, depths, grave, destruction, lake of fire etc.

That is why His name means Saviour.

There is no Saviour nor God like Him elsewhere literally.

Here is a summary of some of the ages discussed prior:

*Note that the Mosaic covenant (covenant between God and the Jews) started with Abraham (in words) and not Moses. First Jew = Abraham. However, it was formalized with Moses (in writing).

Age	Definition	Beginning	End
Mosaic Age (Old Testament)	Genesis 17:2 Genesis 17:13 (Circumcision: The First religious law)	Covenant - Genesis 17:7 Priesthood - Numbers 25:13	Covenant - Hebrews 8:13 Priesthood - Hebrews 7:11-12
Gospel Age (Old Testament)	Revelation 14:6	Covenant - Matthew 26:28 Priesthood - Hebrews 5:6	Covenant - Matthew 13:39-40 Priesthood - Hebrews 7:24
Men's Correction Age	Matthew 25:46	2 Peter 1:11 Luke 18:30	1 Timothy 2:6 1 Timothy 4:10 (note the words times & end)

**Note that the Mosaic covenant (covenant between God and the Jews) started with Abraham (in words) and not Moses. First Jew = Abraham. However, it was formalized with Moses (in writing).*

chapter 6
All Things & The Will Of God

The 'fate' of men was not served into the hands of 'men'.
Why? Simply because we will all 'fail' greatly.
That is why God put it in the Hands of His only Son Who alone will fulfil it without fail.

Lord Jesus said:

'And this is the will of the Father who sent me, that all that He hath given to me I will lose nothing, but may raise it up in the last day;'
(John 6:39)

'and this is the will of Him who sent me, that every one who is beholding the Son, and is believing in Him, may have life age-during, and I will raise him up in the last day.' (John 6:40)

What does the above mean?

The "two" wills of God (One Will of God in absoluteness which is to Save all – broken down (relatively) to the Saving of the subset of believers first (Will No.1) followed by the rest! {Will No.2}).

Firstly, the above highlights the 'will of God' for 'believers' in John 6:40 where those "beholding" and "believing" Him may have "age-during life" (the reward) which will be conferred during "Judgment" at the "last day" of this "current age".

Secondly, the above highlights the ‘will of God’ for ‘all’ in John 6:39 and not just believers because we know that ‘all’ = ‘all things’ simply because the Father has put ‘all things’ into His Son’s hands (not just believing or Christian or Jewish things only!).

This fact can be seen from John 3:35 (as John the baptist testifies, ‘The Father loveth the Son, and hath given ‘all things’ into his hand’) which was also known to Lord Jesus as Scripture elsewhere also testifies to this truth in John 13:3 (Jesus knowing that the Father had given ‘all things’ into His Hands, and that He was come from God, and went to God;). Note the ‘all things’ given to Christ in these verses (talking about literal context!).

Christ has given His Word that He will lose ‘nothing’ (none of it), i.e., nothing will remain “lost forever” from amongst the ‘all things’ given to Him. The “may” is there not because “The Father isn’t sure Christ is able to finish the work or not” but rather the truth is that it’s because “Christ hasn’t died and resurrected yet at that point of time to call it ‘fulfilled’ just yet”.

It “may” not be too much for me to say that all the “may” or “maybe” stated about Christ fulfilling ‘Scripture’ or the ‘Will of God’ in the Bible is now “not” a “may” nor a “maybe” anymore but is to be “testified in its own times” (1 Timothy 2:6) simply because “He is Risen”. Indeed, we believe that through Him and Him only, “all things are possible” (with respect to the ‘will of God’! - there’s nothing possible against the ‘Will of God’!).

I repeat, “all things are possible with God doing His Will to save the world!”

1. 1. Angel Gabriel testified in Luke 1:37.
 (“For nothing will be impossible with God.”)
2. Lord Jesus encourages belief in Mark 9:23
 (“If you can’! All things are possible for one who believes.”)

3. Again, Lord Jesus encourages belief in Matthew 17:20
 (*“Because of your little faith. For truly, I say to you, if you have faith like a grain of mustard seed, you will say to this mountain, ‘Move from here to there,’ and it will move, and nothing will be impossible for you.”*)
4. Lord Jesus Himself compares ‘men’ and ‘God’ in Matthew 19:26
 (*“With man this is impossible, but with God all things are possible.”*)

Note an interesting fact:

Both of whom told that “with God all things are possible” in the New Testament were not “mere men” but were from “Heaven” (Gabriel and Lord Jesus Himself!). Only “Heavenly-residents” fully know and can believe that God is able to do “all things” such as to “Save the World!” (do His Will).

chapter 7

All Things Are Possible When One Believes

Literal interpretation

What does Christ the Lord mean when He says in the verse below:

Jesus said to him, "If you can believe, all things are possible to him who believes." (Mark 9:23)

Does Christ imply that if I believe that I can turn into a Unicorn, then it will be so? I don't think so (literally).

Why?

Simple: Our Lord is emphasizing the "all things" which are possible by a "believing one" to be:

1. The "all things" found in "His Word" (the "Bible"). Since the "possibility" of me turning into a Unicorn is non - Scriptural (not found in the Bible), thus this is impossible.
2. The "all things" are the ones according to "His Will". Since turning into a supernatural unicorn is not His Will (for if it is so He would have revealed it plainly), thus again such a thing is impossible.

An interesting literal implication of the two facts above is that the "all things" being "reconciled to God" (Colossians 1:20) or that the "World being saved by Him" (1 John 4:14) and also that He "Will have

all men to be saved” (1 Timothy 2:4) being the “Saviour of all men” (1 Timothy 4:10) are all “possible” by “Him” when one “believes”.

These are the “all” or “all things” which are “possible” to the one who “believes” but it will of course, be accomplished or done by “Lord Jesus Christ” alone.

He didn’t ask us to Save the World, but rather to “believe in Him” that He is the “Saviour of the World” (1 John 4:14). What we have to do is to preach this Truth of the Gospel (the Good News that Christ has Born, Died & Resurrected to be the Saviour of the World as sent by the Father).

That’s why Lord Jesus literally said that to “do the work of God” is to “believe” in “Him” (Lord Jesus) whom “He” (The Father) has sent as the verse below declares plainly:

Jesus answered, *“The work of God is this: to believe in the One He has sent.”* (John 6:29)

chapter 8 Anonymous Christian

Why the name?

Anonymous Christian.

We are anonymous because we only want to be identified with One Name, Christian - literally (Acts 11:26).

P/S: Even history itself does not record the name of more than 99.99% of these anonymous Christians who believed in the True Gospel - hence the “name”.

This is the truth. These anonymous Christians were the “majority” in the earliest times of Christianity when the doctrine of Truth was least adulterated.

Proof? Here it is (again) uttered by the champions of “eternal torment” itself (i.e. by the mouths of the “enemies” itself):

Even Augustine of Hippo, the champion of eternal torment said in his day, “There are very many (imo quam plurimi, which can be translated majority) who though not denying the Holy Scriptures, do not believe in endless torments.” (Enchirion, ad Laurent. c. 29) St. Basil the Great (c. 329-379) in his De Asceticis wrote: “The mass of men (Christians) say that there is to be an end of punishment to those who are punished.”

chapter 9

Antichrist

A philosophical thought on the “antichrist”: It had bothered me at times pondering on this topic with a Bible in my hand.

Here’s a plausible out of the usual “guess”: The antichrist will actually be someone who brings a “revival” in the anti-Christ = anti-saviour doctrine, namely, the doctrine of “eternal hell”.

Why? Didn’t you read? Anti Christ means anti Jesus (because Christ, the anointed One, only refers to Lord Jesus). Secondly, note that His name, Jesus means Saviour.

Thus, anti Jesus must thus mean anti Saviour (noun comparison). Anti saviour means “cannot save” (having limited/expired saving capacity). Thus only the eternal hell & annihilation doctrines fit the bill for this “cannot save” criteria.

Concluding, we have found that the antichrist is someone who will teach (maybe via a revival) the false doctrines of eternal hell/annihilation. He may be able to do “pointless” signs & wonders (such as calling fire from heaven to showing visions of dead ones burning hopelessly in an eternal hell). These signs such as calling fire from heaven & visions of people burning hopelessly in hell with no chance of escape (his claim) - are “pointless” because they depict the problem shown to last

eternally with no solution (drafted by God) thereby proves that Christ “cannot save from hell”. Indeed, these are “pointless” & deceiving.

Why? It’s in line with his intention of proving that the true God “cannot save” (from hell) agreeing with his nature of being against the Saviour (Christ), with the aim to depict Christ as a failure & loser. Remember, he deceives by claiming that Lord Jesus “cannot save” (antichrist). Empty words - limits God’s saving capability.

This lie = Christ cannot save from hell, is what I believe is described in the verse below (“God” will send them a lie may indicate that this lie will be from existing Christian doctrines itself, my guess, “eternal hell”):

“For this reason God sends them a powerful delusion so that they will believe the lie.” (2 Thessalonians 2:11)

Do the math:
Christ = Jesus = Saviour.

Now, consider:
Antichrist = Anti-Jesus = Anti-Saviour.

Conclusion:
Anti-Christ are the ones who teach that Christ “cannot save” (meaning of Anti-Saviour).

An example of an antichrist:
Every Sunday, on the pulpit he preaches that God is not able to “absolutely” save (having a time - space limit on His Saving capability).

QED.

chapter 10 Assemblies of God

(Church gatherings)
God Almighty says, when you are such:

“There are those who hate the one who upholds JUSTICE in court and detest the one who tells the TRUTH.” (Amos 5:10)

Then, God says:

“I hate, I despise your religious festivals; your assemblies are a stench to me.” (Amos 5:21)

He further declares:

*“Even though you bring me burnt offerings and grain offerings, I will not accept them.
Though you bring choice fellowship offerings, I will have no regard for them.
Away with the noise of your songs!
I will not listen to the music of your harps.
But let JUSTICE roll on like a river,
righteousness like a never-failing stream!”
(Amos 5:22 - 24)*

I guess, the above is relevant since history has repeated itself with the Christians replacing the Jews as per this Scripture.
Happy “Sabbath”.

P/S:

In short, God is telling that if you reject **TRUTH & JUSTICE**, then your assemblies (church gatherings) are a ‘stench’.

chapter 11

Believe

When I first stumbled upon the True Gospel (Christian Universalism as it is called today) in the website by Gary Amirault (www.tentmaker.org), some (8-10) years ago, I was sceptical.

I thought it would be a ‘piece of cake’ to refute it since like many of you, I knew the verses in the ‘English’ Bible which clearly implies that punishment is eternal and so implies that hell is eternal.

Nevertheless, as the law implies, “Do you judge a man based on the law of God before hearing him out?”:

“Then Paul said, ”God will strike you, you whitewashed wall! For you sit to judge me according to the Law, and do you command me to be struck contrary to the Law?” (Acts 23:3)

Thus I studied their point of view. It didn’t happen overnight. I knew the warning that “don’t trust anything on the internet and neither be convicted by it”. The irony is that those who give that warning themselves immerse one’s self into the internet daily for work and other Christian read-ups. Even when pastors warn such, they don’t see the duality that even they have their church websites on the internet. If the people take their advice, then no one from the public should even come to their church webpage because it is on the internet. Sounds absurd? It is.

It is not the internet we should be careful of but rather be careful of determining for ourselves the truth of what we have read based on Scripture and prayer. As the years passed, and many events took place in my life, not just I couldn't refute it (the true gospel), God changed my heart of unbelief to begin believing it. I juggled with two opinions (e.g. believing that eternal hell - 48% while universalism - 52%) whenever people asked me regarding that topic.

It was a long road of 'knocks'. I knew that no one can believe in Jesus unless the Father draws him and that no one knows the Father except whom the Son wills to reveal him. In other words, we may know about the Father and Jesus but we may not really know them both as we ought to unless 'the Father leads you to Jesus' and 'Jesus reveals who the Father really is to you'. The couple of verses below testify to this 'mysterious working of the Father and Son':

"No one can come to Me unless the Father who sent Me draws him; and I will raise him up at the last day." (John 6:44)

"All things have been delivered to Me by My Father, and no one knows the Son except the Father. Nor does anyone know the Father except the Son, and the one to whom the Son wills to reveal Him."
(Matthew 11:27 correlates with Luke 10:22)

Note that knowing the Father and the Son has something to do with All things being delivered to Jesus...After we know the Father and Son regarding what He will do with all things (reconcile all things – Colossians 1:20), we can finally find rest in Him for our souls as the subsequent verse testifies: (see the link?)

"Come to Me, all you who labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest." (Matthew 11:28)

Even many prophets and kings have desired to see what you see and hear it (what God wants to do with all things which is to reconcile them all) but they never got the chance to hear nor see it directly as the subsequent verses in Luke records after that,

"For I tell you that many prophets and kings have desired to see what you see, and have not seen it, and to hear what you hear, and have not heard it." (Luke 10:24)

Ever wondered why the disciples never seem to have been bothered by the topic of eternal hell? Simply because they never understood Christ's words to mean it as eternal. Now that you know about Him (have right knowledge that He is indeed the Christ, Saviour of the world), don't harden your hearts. Let Him come inside and dwell within you as the verse below says:

"Jesus answered and said to him, 'If anyone loves Me, he will keep My word; and My Father will love him, and We will come to him and make Our home with him.'" (John 14:23)

With the Holy Spirit of God, the mysterious words of Christ will make sense, even plainly:

"These things I've spoken to you in a figurative language; but the time is coming when I will no longer speak to you in a figurative language, but I will tell you plainly about the Father." (John 16:25)

Believing Jesus is not just enough. We must believe Jesus in truth. Believing lies and made up tales that do not conform with scripture leads us far away from Him. God the Father seeks true worship that first stems from believing the truth about Him as the scripture states:

"But the hour is coming, and now is, when the true worshippers will worship the Father in spirit and truth; for the Father is seeking such to worship Him." (John 4:23)

That is why it is written that our 'belief' (faith) itself is not from ourselves but is initiated by God.

“But as many as received Him, to them He gave the right to become children of God, to those who believe in His name: who were born, not of blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man, but of God.” (John 1: 12-13)

We don't really choose Him (note the nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man) but that it's God's will (but of God) that He chose us first in love.

“We love Him because He first loved us.” (1 John 4:19)

It is an honour and privilege to even align our freewill to choose and follow Him. You cannot 'trick' God. Choosing Him is not so much of the reason of saving ourselves only out of hell but rather believing His will which is also to save the whole world.

A warning : (Remember to those lawless ones to whom He declared *'I never knew you'* (Matthew 7:21-23), did not do the will of the Father; How can someone do the will of the Father if he himself does not know nor believe the One will that is echoed throughout scripture (stated in different ways), namely His will to save the world and that none should be lost nor perish 'eternally')

Salvation begins with 'I', moves on to 'we' and ends in 'all'. Personal salvation is the end of your faith and not the end of God's love (Agape) which is greater than faith itself and that is the reason (Agape) He sent His son and will save the world. (John 3:16 & John 3:17 - the 'might' there is a 'may be' that will eventually 'be').

God doesn't want ability nor availability above belief in Him in order to do the work of God. The work of God is not built with money as a tool nor with our earthly intellect (no such excuses made up in the pulpit today are found in the Bible). The verse below testifies to that fact:

“Jesus answered and said to them, ‘This is the work of God, that you believe in Him whom He sent.’ (John 6:29)

He wants you to declare the 'good news' (gospel) that He will save the World and not that He will fail to save it - How can you declare this great Truth if you don't believe it yourselves? We must first believe that He is able to do all His will.

Spreading a half-truth is probably what the Lord meant as making a proselyte twice the son of hell (gehenna) after converting him through much effort of travelling land and sea as recorded by Matthew:

“Woe to you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! For you travel land and sea to win one proselyte, and when he is won, you make him twice as much a son of hell as yourselves.” (Matthew 23:15)

An important note: The Pharisees believed in eternal hell (some sort of everlasting torture) while the Sadducees believed in annihilation (cease to exist type of punishment). This fact is recorded by Josephus, the Jewish historian who wrote in Greek and was almost a contemporary with Christ. Shocking? There's even more. The Greek words used by Josephus when he describes the belief of Pharisees and Sadducees regarding their 'eternal' and 'annihilation' beliefs is not same at all with the word *aion/aionon* (age/ages) used by our blessed Lord and loving saviour.

Thus our Lord implied a temporal punishment (which comes to an end) whilst the Pharisees and Sadducees implied an endless (eternal) punishment and destruction (cease to exist). Remember, the Pharisees and Sadducees too 'lovingly' wanted to warn the world that the 'Jewish God' is going to 'torture endlessly/destroy completely' those who don't convert. Thus as Jesus says in Matthew, they travelled the land and sea with a 'heart for the lost' warning against a 'Jewish God' that is 'angry' until they managed to 'win' or 'convert' a 'proselyte' (new

believer). What happens next? Our Lord says that these ‘converts’ become twice the son of hell than the ‘converters’ (missionaries). Now do you understand why is it important to know the truth first before endeavouring to preach or teach?

Being a missionary is commendable. But ask yourself, are we preaching the truth or lie about Him? Now you know that Christ saves the world in His time, do you still feel the fire for missions? If yes, then go, and may He be with you. Tell the lost world that ‘He lives and will not fail’. *These things were written that ye may believe ... (Luke 1: 3-4)*

Remember, even the apostles concluded the true belief about knowing Jesus as: *“And we have seen and testify that the Father has sent the Son as Saviour of the World.” (1 John 4:14 correlates with John 4:42)*

And when we believe in Jesus, we get eternal life. Note that verses such as the one below is where we get the concept of ‘eternal life’. The ones such as ‘Matthew 25:46’ as discussed elsewhere in this book, talks about a rewarded life in the age to come (a highlighted life during a particular age, namely, the next age which is to come).

“Jesus said to her, ‘I am the resurrection and the life. He who believes in Me, though he may die, he shall live. And whoever lives and believes in Me shall never die. Do you believe this?’ ”. (John 11: 25-26)

Paul himself instructed Timothy (the evangelist) to teach and command these things (regarding God saving all men) as scripture below testifies: *“For this is a faithful saying worthy of all acceptance. For to this end we labour and suffer reproach, because we trust in the living God, who is the saviour of all men, especially of those who believe. These things command and teach.” (1 Timothy 4:9-11)*

Do you believe Him?

chapter 12

Beyond Judgment

It’s hard to answer when an abused child opens up and says boldly (on a neutral turf):

“I never slapped, punched nor kicked you.”

“I’ve never given you rotten vegetables from the garbage nor have I fed you with expired food.”

“I’ve never called your mother a lousy woman nor as someone who is useless for not being able to bring up her child.”

“I’ve never cursed you to fail in life or end up in jail.”

The child continues,

“You won’t understand because you have never been abused like this.”
What do I reply? (learn wisdom)

Simple:

“Indeed, I’ve never really heard all those words and abuses directed to me explicitly. However, you can be the “first” to be able to prove that “Christ” is in you by rising above all those abuses and curses hurled at you even in such “situations”.

Do what Christ did: **Forgive & Forget in ‘time’**. Now, you bless them back, do good to them and even pray for them (this is how you love your enemies, Christ’s Agape Way). Also, the next time someone tells me that “you won’t understand since you never underwent such abuse”,

I'll pass them to you since you can tell him how you "overcame such abuse through Christ alone" (Christ saved, healed, reconciled and has restored you!)."

Remember, if "nobody" has risen above such "situation" which you are in, then why don't you be the "first" to "overcome it through Christ"? (probably that's a reason why we are the "first fruits" of Christ)

Do not worry nor be troubled, as He said:
"I have overcome the World" - Lord Jesus Christ

chapter 13

Blasphemy Of The Holy Spirit

"I will open my mouth in parables; I will utter things kept secret from the foundation." (Matthew 13:35; Psalm 78:2).

This is an extremely important topic for some may be condemned knowingly whilst others unknowingly with respect to this sin. It's the most serious offence recorded in the Bible since there is 'no forgiveness' implying that 'you will surely come under judgment' if you commit this sin.

Let's go to Scripture first. It is recorded three times in the gospels with a slightly varying manner. Here they are:
Popular translations:

"And anyone who speaks a word against the Son of Man, it will be forgiven him; but to him who blasphemes against the Holy Spirit, it will not be forgiven." (Luke 12:10).

"Assuredly, I say to you, all sins will be forgiven the sons of men, and whatever blasphemies they may utter; but he who blasphemes against the Holy Spirit never has forgiveness but is subject to eternal condemnation." (Mark 3:28 – 29).

"Therefore I say to you, every sin and blasphemy will be forgiven men, but the blasphemy against the Spirit will not be forgiven men. Anyone who speaks a word against the Son of Man, it will be forgiven him;

but whoever speaks against the Holy Spirit, it will not be forgiven him, either in this age or in the age to come.” (Matthew 12:31-32).

Prepare to be astounded by translational errors and lies invented by men. Seriously, you will see a different page shortly with correction.

Let's begin.

Firstly, remember that the 'New Testament' was not written in English and neither was it written in Tamil or Chinese. Those are translations. To get the true meaning, we need to turn to the Greek text (the preserved originals). Don't worry, I'm not going to quote from a 'rejected manuscript' and deceive you. I will show you from the same manuscript how the translators have purposely omitted translating certain words in order to keep their translations in agreement to their eternal hell theology. It's too late for them to change the published Interlinear (Greek with English parallel) and other Greek bibles. It's probably too late for them to repent as well.

The damage is done. Hear me out first and then you decide who is right.

Luke 12:10 above is correct in translation. Bravo!

If you just read Luke 12:10, two interpretations may occur:

- i. 'no forgiveness' means punishment is eternal.
- i. 'no forgiveness' means 'you will be punished for that sin'.

I would opt for interpretation (ii) simply because:

- a. In Luke 12:10, there is no mention of duration or time as to how long the punishment needs to be endured. It just implies that there is no forgiveness for that sin and that it will be punished.
- b. I would not infer time just yet because the similar passage also occurs in another two gospels which may further clarify the duration of that punishment. I would not dare to simply add/subtract from the Word by implying an 'eternal' when that word itself is not found in the Scripture above!

Astounded? There's more. The translation of Mark 3:28-29 above is wrong. How? Here are the errors (check with your Greek 'professor'; it will be fun. The Greek below are all transliterated. Ask your 'professor' what that means):

- i. The Greek word here is 'hamartematos' when correctly translated means 'sin' ('missing the mark' literally) and not 'condemnation'. Some of their English translations do translate this word correctly as 'sin'. They may tell you that 'it may be translated thus to imply that meaning'. Sorry, but this is wrong. Remember, don't add/subtract nor change the meaning of the original word to a different one than what is actually used in Scripture.
- ii. The Greek word aioniou means age literally, and not 'eternal'. It's a singular adjective in this case. You may hear your 'Greek professor' claiming 'authority' stating that this statement is false. The falsity of this statement will be proven once and for all with my next point (iii).
- iii. This is the best part. The translation above is missing a phrase found in the original Greek manuscript: eis ton aiona. Check with any Greek bible (or interlinear – all written by 'eternal hell' theologians themselves) and you will find the following phrase in Greek which was never translated by them (remember don't subtract any word from the Word of God? – Your Greek professor may become speechless since even he may not have known this. If he says he 'knows it', then his sin of knowingly supporting a 'subtraction' from the Word remains.

That is why Lord Jesus remarked similarly to the Pharisees, "because you say 'you see', your sin remains'."):

εις τὸν αἰῶνα (Greek) = eis ton aiona (Transliterated)

In English, please.

εις τὸν αἰῶνα (Greek) = to the age (Translated correctly);

Word by word, literally, we have:

εἰς (Greek) = eis (Transliterated) = to (English)

τὸν (Greek) = ton (Transliterated) = the (English)

αἰῶνα (Greek) = aiona (Transliterated) = age (English)

Do you still trust your ‘Greek professor’s authority’? Why did they omit it? Ask them. One reason may be because with that phrase in the verse in Mark 3:28 – 29, there is no such thing as ‘eternal condemnation’. Indeed, a correct literal translation goes like this:

“Assuredly, I say to you, all sins will be forgiven the sons of men, and whatever blasphemies they may utter; but he who blasphemes against the Holy Spirit never has forgiveness into the age but is subject to age-abiding sin.” (Mark 3:28-29).

With the Greek transliterated it looks like this:

“Assuredly, I say to you, all sins will be forgiven the sons of men, and whatever blasphemies they may utter; but he who blasphemes against the Holy Spirit never has forgiveness into the age (eis ton aiona) but is subject to age-abiding sin (aioniou hamartematos).” (Mark 3:28-29).

How does it change the meaning of that passage?

Two words related to the root word aion in Greek (age) occurs simultaneously in the passage above. The first is a singular-noun derivation called aiona and the second being a singular-adjective derivation called aioniou.

The problem is that since the eternal hell translators want to translate the adjective part (aioniou) as eternal, (since eternal life in John 3:16 is also a singular-adjective derivation of the Greek word aion) then they must translate the noun part (aiona) occurring in the same verse as eternal too. But then if they do so, they would get a language-grammar absurdity.

What is that absurdity? To see this consider, if they did translate honestly to their principles without omission, we have the phrase:

“...but he who blasphemes against the Holy Spirit never has forgiveness into the eternity...” (Mark 3:29)

Language absurdity 1.

This poses a serious problem for them since if the phrase ‘no forgiveness’ in itself implies ‘eternal punishment’ (as in their case for implying such in Luke 12:10 too), then similarly the phrase ‘never has forgiveness’ in Mark 3:29) also must suffice to mean that. It would not need the phrase ‘into the eternity’ to describe an endless duration which is already implied (if that’s the case).

Language absurdity 2.

The phrase ‘into the eternity’ or ‘to the eternity’ sounds more akin to Star Trek than what our Blessed Lord would have meant. If they argue that ‘into the eternity’ makes sense then they would have another more serious language absurdity 3 (coming up next) which they can’t deal with if they keep ‘honest to their principles’ -following their method of translating. They know it. That’s why they chose not to translate that phrase and sweep it down the carpet hoping that no one would find out... until now.

For language absurdity 3, we need to consider the final occurrence of this ‘blasphemy - sin’ as described in the verse below:

“Therefore I say to you, every sin and blasphemy will be forgiven men, but the blasphemy against the Spirit will not be forgiven men. Anyone who speaks a word against the Son of Man, it will be forgiven him; but whoever speaks against the Holy Spirit, it will not be forgiven him, either in this age or in the age to come.” (Matthew 12:31-32).

The translation above is correct for Matthew 12:31-32. A note here: Some wrong translations would render the word world to deceive you with the following translated phrase:

“...but whoever speaks against the Holy Spirit, it will not be forgiven him, either in this world or in the world to come.” (Matthew 12:32).

The Greek word here is aioni meaning age and not world. The Greek word for world is kosmon (as it occurs in John 3:16) and remember, we cannot change/add/subtract the original word in Scripture.

Now, the verse above in Matthew 12:31-32 clearly and literally states that the blasphemy against the Holy Spirit will not be forgiven and the duration of punishment (time) is described to be lasting for two ages only – namely the current one and the coming one. We have come to language absurdity 3 as stated earlier.

Language absurdity 3.

A smart–Alec Greek professor may interject and try to deceive you by saying that the ‘age to come’ is ‘eternal’ or is an ‘eternal age’ implying ‘eternal punishment’. Remember also their not-translated phrase ‘into the eternity’ earlier in Mark 3:29. Read carefully. I’ll give you 2 rebuttals that would silence all such nonsense and confusion (arising from not keeping with one literal and correct meaning for the Greek word aion and its derivations, namely age. It never means world, forever, eternity etc in the Word of God).

1. The phrases he used are not found in Scripture above in Matthew 12:31-32. Also, if he uttered the phrase eternal age in English (when describing the meaning of the age to come), then ask him thus: If the word age (aion) itself means ‘eternal’ in itself, then why need the word ‘eternal’ in front of the phrase ‘eternal age’ to make it mean ‘forever’? Absurd right?

2. They will ask you back: If you say the next age is not eternal, then prove from Scripture that there is more than one age (plural) to come – i.e., ages to come. Indeed, a singular beautiful singular verse exists to silence all accusations against the concept of ‘ages (plural) to come’.

Here it is: *“that in the ages to come He might show the exceeding riches of His grace in His kindness toward us in Christ Jesus.” (Ephesians 2:7)*

Almost all translations render the translation correctly as above. Ask them back: If the word ages (aiosin (Greek) - plural in Ephesians 2:7) here does not mean ‘more than one age’, then why is it plural in the first place differing from the word age (aioni (Greek) – singular in Matthew 12:32)?

If they claim that both (aiosin in Ephesians 2:7 and aioni in Matthew 12:32) mean eternal (due to describing the richness of the eternity to come), then silence their baseless argument by noting the phrase ‘in this age (aioni)’ in Matthew 12:32 (by their interpretation) must therefore mean ‘in this eternity (aioni)’.

So, now ask them, are there two or more eternities (current one and coming one – as described in Matthew 12:32)? Also, if the current eternity (aioni) can end (in this age - Matthew 12:32), making way for the next eternity, (coming one) then doesn’t your usage itself prove that your eternities can end (even the coming one)? The absurdity is obvious.

Thus the only:

non-contradicting, non-confusing, non-adding/subtracting/changing, translation for the word ‘aion’ and its derivations... is the correct literal meaning, ‘age’ (noun) and age-abiding (adjective).

Saviour Of The World

Hence we see that the blasphemy of the Holy Spirit will not be forgiven (meaning that it will be punished – severely) for two ages (you may find yourself in hell after you die now and in the next age which begins after you are thrown into the lake of fire when the Judgment Day sentences are read out - i.e., if your name is not found written in the Lamb's Book of Life).

Finally, I will state one sin that is clearly meant as the blasphemy of the Holy Spirit as stated in Scripture itself: Calling the Spirit inside a person to be unclean or of the devil if it is actually the Holy Spirit of God. Proof? Read the verses below:

1. Matthew 12:24 being the reason why Lord Jesus quoted the unpardonable sin a few verses later in Matthew 12:31-32. [Calling Lord Jesus to be led and used by demons]
2. Mark 3: 21 – 22 being the reason why Lord Jesus quoted the unpardonable sin a few verses later also in Mark 3:29. [Calling Lord Jesus to be mad or out of His mind (verse 21) as well as led and used by demons (verse 22)].
3. Luke 12:9 being the reason why Lord Jesus quoted the unpardonable sin a verse later in Luke 12:10. [Denying or uttering profanities against the Holy Spirit of God].

Now, isn't it common for your pastors to call me demon-possessed, mad or that I'm led by the devil?

If indeed I'm led by the Spirit of God, can you see that possibly a whole multitude of the eternal hell camp locally here may have blasphemed the Holy Spirit too? Maybe... It's God Who shows Mercy on whom He wills.

chapter 14

**Christian Universalist Quotes Compilation
(from various historical scholars)**

As the great prophet Isaiah himself echoed in the past: *“so He will sprinkle many nations, and kings will shut their mouths because of Him. For what they were not told, they will see, and what they have not heard, they will understand.”* (Isaiah 52:15)

“He changes everything.” Abraham Lincoln said, “God destroys His enemies by making them His friends”. Lincoln also said regarding ‘salvation’, “It’s either everybody or nobody”.

Few people know that Abe was a Christian Universalist too! St. Jerome too echoed this truth about 400 years after Christ that “All God’s enemies perish; not that they cease to exist but that they cease to be enemies”.

“for we do surely die, and are as water which is running down to the earth, which is not gathered, and God doth not accept a person, and hath devised devices in that the outcast is not outcast by Him.” - *The wise woman of Tekoa* (2 Samuel 14:14)

“for this also to dead men was good news (Gospel) proclaimed, that they may be judged, indeed, according to men in the flesh, and may live according to God in the spirit.” (1 Peter 4:6)

The verse which proves that the Gospel is preached to the dead; by Apostle Peter

“For the wicked there are punishments, not perpetual, however, lest the immortality prepared for them should be a disadvantage, but they are to be purified for a brief period according to the amount of malice in their works. They shall therefore suffer punishment for a short space, but immortal blessedness having no end awaits them...the penalties to be inflicted for their many and grave sins are very far surpassed by the magnitude of the mercy to be showed to them.”

Diodore of Tarsus, 320-394 A.D.

“And God showed great kindness to man, in this, that He did not suffer him to continue being in sin forever; but as it were, by a kind of banishment, cast him out of paradise in order that, having punishment expiated within an appointed time, and having been disciplined, he should afterwards be recalled...just as a vessel, when one being fashioned it has some flaw, is remoulded or remade that it may become new and entire; so also it happens to man by death. For he is broken up by force, that in the resurrection he may be found whole; I mean spotless, righteous and immortal.”

Theophilus of Antioch (168 A.D.)

“Wherefore also he drove him out of paradise and removed him far from the tree of life, not because He envied him the tree of life, as some dare assert, but because He pitied him and desired that he should not be immortal and the evil interminable and irremediable.”

St. Iraneus of Lyons - the first Greek father & author of the infamous treatise, “Against Heresies”.(182 A.D.)

“These, if they will, may go Christ’s way, but if not let them go their way. In another place perhaps they shall be baptized with fire, that last baptism, which is not only painful, but enduring also; which eats up,

as if it were hay, all defiled matter, and consumes all vanity and vice. Gregory of Nazianzeu, Bishop of Constantinople.” (330 to 390 A.D.) Oracles 39:19

“The Word seems to me to lay down the doctrine of the perfect obliteration of wickedness, for if God shall be in all things that are, obviously wickedness shall not be in them. For it is necessary that at some time evil should be removed utterly and entirely from the realm of being.” St. Macrina the Blessed

Saint Macrina was the sister of the holy hierarchs Basil the Great and Gregory of Nyssa, and was born in Cappadocia at the beginning of the fourth century. Her mother, Emilia, saw an angel in a dream, naming her unborn child Thekla, in honor of the holy Protomartyr Thekla. St Emilia (January 1) fulfilled the will of God and named her daughter Thekla. Another daughter was named Macrina, in honor of a grandmother, who suffered during the time of persecution under the emperor Maximian Galerius. She died a virgin and her life was remarkable especially noting that she was a “miracle-worker” (wonderworker) used by God to perform miracles (history records thus).

After the death of her mother, St Macrina guided the sisters of the monastery. She enjoyed the deep respect of all who knew her. Strictness towards herself and temperance in everything were characteristic of the saint all her life. She slept on boards and had no possessions. St Macrina was granted the gift of wonderworking. There was an instance (told by the sisters of the monastery to St Gregory of Nyssa after the death of St Macrina), when she healed a girl of an eye-affliction. Through the prayers of the saint, there was no shortage of wheat at her monastery in times of famine.

Her famous brother, St. Basil the great had this to say regarding the common belief held by masses of Christians at that time: St. Basil

the Great (c. 329-379) in his De Asceticis wrote: “The mass of men (Christians) say that there is to be an end of punishment to those who are punished.”

St. Macrina shared her universalism through Christ together with her other infamous brother, St. Gregory of Nyssa (the Cappadocian father) who plainly ‘revealed’ the ‘secret’ that even “Satan” will be destroyed, restored & healed. Here it is:

“In the end and consummation of the Universe all are to be restored into their original harmonious state, and we all shall be made one body and be united once more into a perfect man and the prayer of our Savior shall be fulfilled that all may be one.”

St. Jerome (again), 331-420

“For it is evident that God will in truth be all in all when there shall be no evil in existence, when every created being is at harmony with itself and every tongue shall confess that Jesus Christ is Lord; when every creature shall have been made one body.”

Gregory of Nyssa, 335-390

“The wicked who have committed evil the whole period of their lives shall be punished till they learn that, by continuing in sin, they only continue in misery. And when, by this means, they shall have been brought to fear God, and to regard Him with good will, they shall obtain the enjoyment of His grace.”

Theodore of Mopsuestia (the ‘best’ theologian from the ancient Antiochian School), 350-428

“We can set no limits to the agency of the Redeemer to redeem, to rescue, to discipline in his work, and so will he continue to operate after this life.”

Clement of Alexandria (the teacher of Origen & a revered saint in the eastern orthodox churches). He is often referred to as the Second Greek father (talking about the ‘authority’ in Greek).

“Do not suppose that the soul is punished for endless eons (apeirou aionas) in Tartarus. Very properly, the soul is not punished to gratify the revenge of the divinity, but for the sake of healing. But we say that the soul is punished for an aionion period (aionios) calling its life and its allotted period of punishment, its aeon.” Olnmpiodorus (AD 550) “That in the world (age) to come, those who have done evil all their life long, will be made worthy of the sweetness of the Divine bounty. For never would Christ have said, “You will never get out until you have paid the last penny” unless it were possible for us to get cleansed when we paid the debt.” Peter Chrysologus, 435

“I know that most persons understand by the story of Nineveh and its king, the ultimate forgiveness of the devil and all rational creatures.” St. Jerome (again...) Want more of St. Jerome?

“In the end or consummation of things, all shall be restored to their original state, and be again united in one body. We cannot be ignorant that Christ’s blood benefited the angels and those who are in hell; though we know not the manner in which it produced such effects. The apostate angels shall become such as they were created; and man, who has been cast out of paradise, shall be restored thither again.

And this shall be accomplished in such a way, that all shall be united together by mutual charity, so that the members will delight in each other, and rejoice in each other’s promotion. The apostate angels, and the prince of this world, though now ungovernable, plunging themselves into the depths of sin, shall, in the end, embrace the happy dominion of Christ and His saints.” – COMMENTARY ON THE NEW TESTAMENT – Jerome (347-420 A.D.)

Want more of devil being healed?

“Our Lord is the One who delivers man [all men], and who heals the inventor of evil himself.” -- Gregory of Nyssa (332-398 A.D.), leading theologian of the Eastern Church

How about the “black dwarf” who single handedly “proved the Truth of Trinity” against the false teachings of Arianism? That’s why this next guy is called the “Father of orthodoxy”.

“While the devil thought to kill One [Christ], he is deprived of all those cast out of hades, and he [the devil] sitting by the gates, sees all fettered beings led forth by the courage of the Saviour”.

Athanasius, the Great Father of Orthodoxy

Here is Jerome (again) on a similar matter:

“Our Lord descends, and was shut up in the eternal (aionion, more correctly, age-during) bars, in order that He might set free all who had been shut up... The Lord descended to the place of punishment and torment, in which was the rich man, in order to liberate the prisoners.”

Didymus the blind saw more with no eyes than we with both eyes... “In the liberation of all no one remains a captive! At the time of the Lord’s passion the devil alone was injured by losing all the of the captives he was keeping.

Didymus, 370 AD

On a similar note... “While the devil imagined that he got a hold of Christ, he really lost all of those he was keeping.”

St. Chrysostom, 398 AD

How can I not quote Origen of Alexandria? (the next Greek father after Clement)... Note: he is often called (the ‘best’ theologian from the rival Alexandrian school to the other powerful one at Antioch):

“Stronger than all the evils in the soul is the Word, and the healing power that dwells in him, and this healing He applies, according to the will of God, to everyman. The consummation of all things is the destruction of evil...to quote Zephaniah: “My determination to gather the nations, that I am assemble the kings, to pour upon them mine

indignation, even say all my fierce anger, for all the earth shall be devoured with the fire of my jealousy. For then will I turn to the people a pure language that they may all call upon the name of the Lord, to serve Him with one consent”... Consider carefully the promise, that all shall call upon the Name of the Lord, and serve him with one consent.” Origen (185 to 254 A.D.) He founded a school at Caesarea, and is considered by historians to be one of the great theologians and exegete of the Eastern Church.

“Mankind, being reclaimed from their sins, are to be subjected to Christ in the fullness of the dispensation instituted for the salvation of all.”

Didymus the Blind (again)

From the “Father of Church History” himself: “The Son “breaking in pieces” His enemies is for the sake of remolding them, as a potter his own work; as Jeremiah 18;6 says: i.e., to restore them once again to their former state.”

Eusebius of Caesarea (265 to 340 A.D). Bishop of Caesarea

From the great Ambrose himself who converted the ‘heretic’, St. Augustine of Hippo: “Our Savior has appointed two kinds of resurrection in the Apocalypse. ‘Blessed is he that hath part in the first resurrection,’ for such come to grace without the judgment.

As for those who do not come to the first, but are reserved unto the second resurrection, these shall be disciplined until their appointed times, between the first and the second resurrection.”

Ambrose, Bishop of Milan (340-397 A.D.)

The best quote of Clement of Alexandria:

“He, indeed, saves all; but some [He saves], converting them by punishments; others, however, who follow voluntarily [He saves] with dignity of honour; so “that every knee should bow to Him, of things in heaven, and things on earth, and things under the earth;”

Philippians 2:10 that is, angels, men, and souls that before His advent have departed from this temporal life.”

Back to the bible: *“And we have seen and testify that the Father has sent his Son to be the Savior of the world.” (1 John 4:14)*

Apostle John, the one who leaned on Christ’s breast & probably heard the very heartbeat of God Himself.

How can I miss apostle Paul? *“For to this end we both labour and suffer reproach, because we have set our trust in the living God, Who is the Saviour of all men, especially of those who believe.”*

(1 Timothy 4:10)

Our final quote by “God Himself” on the destruction of “hell” itself. (Sheol in Hebrew, Hades in Greek, grave in English). From the “King James Version” (their best translated verse in my opinion) with a little correction from “grave” to be replaced with “hell”:

“I will ransom them from the power of Hell (Sheol in Hebrew/Hades in Greek);

I will redeem them from Death: O Death, I will be thy plagues; O Hell, I will be thy destruction: repentance shall be hid from Mine Eyes.”
(Hosea 13:14)

The phrase “repentance shall be hid from Mine Eyes” means that God Will not “pity” the “destruction” of both “Hell” and “Death” meaning they will eventually “cease to exist”! (Correlate with the verses in 1 Corinthians 15 below):

“The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death.” (1 Corinthians 15:26)

“So when this corruptible shall have put on incorruption, and this

mortal shall have put on immortality, then shall be brought to pass the saying that is written, Death is swallowed up in victory. O Death, where is your sting? O Hell, where is your victory?”

(1 Corinthians 15:54 - 55)

Icing on the cake; by God Himself when He came in flesh (Lord Jesus Christ):

“And I, when I be lifted up from the earth (Resurrected to heaven), I will draw all men unto me (He Will Save all men).” (John 12:32)
by the mouth of our Blessed Saviour, Lord Jesus Christ Himself

chapter 15

Christlike

I'll share with you an answer which is "hidden" with regards to the infamous question: "If God is so loving, then how come he doesn't step in immediately & save the child which is raped and killed for instance?"

An answer: At first glance, it may seem to just reply a "no answer" or "only God knows" statement. But, if we ponder deeper under the "belief that He Loves & Will Save All", a simple yet astonishing "truth" emerges: Think the opposite act: namely what I had "wished" to do if I was God... I would have "smashed - beaten - killed - sent him to hell to be burnt alive without mercy" etc.

Therein the "problem". In order to save the "innocent life", we wouldn't think twice to "destroy" the "wicked life". That's it. Under the hypothesis of "save the righteous & destroy the wicked mercilessly", my "wishes" make "sense".

But, under the "belief that God Loves even the wicked", it doesn't make "sense".

Why?

1. The "innocent life" killed "brutally" only suffered "a brief moment" & chances are, "God may show great Healing & comfort to it surpassing even the suffering endured many times over."

2. The “wicked life” is given “another chance” so that he may “either repent” or if not “suffer in hell” justly since God didn’t just “kill him & throw in the fire without giving a chance first.” Thus the punishment is “well deserved”.

Being that said, it’s not the “end”. By Hell, he gets what his evil acts merited him. But by Grace, God Will Save him too after he had paid the “uttermost farthing” or “till he has paid the last penny” for his evil deeds. Finally by Love, Christ Heals by restoring life to this wicked person too after the evil/sin in him is destroyed in the “lake of fire”.

God is The Problem Solver. In all situations, He does not make the situation worse but devises Ways so that it’s “optimum” for the benefit of both the “just” & “unjust”. That’s why “He’s better than me...”

“All true men of God from the apostles to the great ancient martyrs for the True Faith thought about the well-being of the wicked at the expense of their own lives”. (A proof that Lord Jesus lived in each one of them for it’s impossible to do this without Christ alone!)

P/S:

I believe that this is what it means to be “Christlike”.

Hence apostle Paul earned the right to declare truthfully:

“However, I consider my life worth nothing to me; my only aim is to finish the race and complete the task the Lord Jesus has given me - the task of testifying to the Good News of God’s grace.” (Acts 20:24)

chapter 16

Correct Bible Translation: Two Universalists

The only difference between Christian universalists like me and Gary is the classic Universalist interpretation of the original word Olam (in Hebrew) or its equivalent, Aion (in Greek) & their derivations.

The difference is:

1. Gary belongs to the interpretation that Olam/Aion takes its meaning from the subject it is applied to. As an example, ‘Olam God’ may be thus translated as ‘Eternal God’ against its ‘literal’ meaning of ‘age-during’ simply because the ‘eternity’ is derived from the ‘subject it is applied to’ (God in this case) and not vice versa.
2. I belong to the ‘literal’ interpretation group where we just accept the above as ‘age-during God’. This does not mean that after the ‘age’ God dies, but rather that His existence is ‘highlighted’ for the ‘age’ (the time period for the OT or NT testaments, respectively - which is, a singular ‘age’ only).

This is to say that the ‘existence’ of God is celebrated/highlighted to the ‘end’ of that ‘covenant’ (age). It is also somewhat similar to the non-time related phrase “God of Abraham” or “God of Israel”. Everyone knows that the two titles prior do not exclude God being the “God of All” and neither should the phrase ‘age-during God’ exclude Him from ‘living in All Times’!

chapter 17
Creed

I would call this the 7 - Title Creed of a Christian:

1

There is no Master but Jesus alone

2

There is no Shepherd but Jesus alone

3

There is no Priest but Jesus alone

4

There is no King but Jesus alone

5

There is no Messiah but Jesus alone

6

There is no Lord but Jesus alone

7

There is no God but Jesus alone

chapter 18
**Easy Question: Why Do Bad Things
Happen To Good People?**

When disasters (or Judgments) happen, it's not that God is "bad" (allowing your own gods to destroy you - revealing who they really are), but rather that the incident of "less than 10 righteous to the True God remain" has happened to the city (literally - see this Principle in Genesis 18:32).

God doesn't change except that He shows "more Mercy" withholding His Judgment until our "unbelief", "sin" and "evil acts" reach the "just point for Judgment to be executed" (for our correction & betterment - you'll understand it perfectly, one day!)

There are no "true" Christians (less than 10 in that city - Sodom's count - God doesn't change from His Ways of evaluating whether to spare or execute Judgment).

The eternal hell believing Christians are further no "real" Christians at all based on Genesis 18:32's line of logic (The Bible is Right - it can't be argued contrarily). True Christians only "die" when "evil is exerted upon them unjustly" such as in martyrdom, murder & other such acts - "not" by God's Own Judgment.

Yes, I repeat strongly... “God doesn’t kill ‘good Christians’ in His Own Judgment sentences” (read the Bible carefully and you’ll find this to be True) - no coincidences or collateral damages - In short, if God started killing His “good Christians” together with the wicked via these Judgments, He “changed” (and we all know this is “not” the case).

For example: Nothing “bad” when a “good Christian” (such as the one who can believe that people indifferent from him deserve to roast eternally in hell even more so because he thinks Christ taught so - all wrong to the literal/Original Bible) gets a “taste” of “death” (disaster) too. Don’t despair, it’s “not eternal” (Indeed, God is good).

I faced something similar too. When I was punished with hearing the torment of demons and feeling unexplainable paralyzing, excruciating pain, I realized that nobody deserves this “forever”.

Ever since it ended (being temporal - I’m healed now), I can “understand the need for such a punishment perfectly” (without which, I would have never believed the Truth that God Loves all and that none deserve to be sadistically tortured eternally in fire without any purpose of rehabilitation).

To the atheists and the rest, “No complaining. You don’t want the True God by believing in Him but want His Protection from Disasters/Judgments? Save yourselves (ask Science to help you!)” (This I speak in the flesh) Truth is, “It is God Who shows Mercy on whomever He Wills!” (Righteously!) Here’s the verse in Genesis (it’s all been done before!):

“Then he (Abraham) said, “May the Lord not be angry, but let me speak just once more. What if only ten can be found there?” He (LORD) answered, “For the sake of ten, I will not destroy it.” (Genesis 18:32)

chapter 19

End Of The World (Fight For Freedom)

I have attempted to show this important part of theology in a conversational form (where both sides: - for fighting (U1) and not fighting (U2) - illustrate their points – do take note that the ones in the conversation are generally Universalist Christians themselves)

I support points stated by U2 = not fighting.

U2: “As true Christians, we do not need to “fight” to keep our “freedom of living” in this age. If the present age (aion) has gone so bad as to the only way to keep our “freedom of living” is to “fight” for it, then it might well be the call to “die” in the hands of our enemies as martyrs for Christ.

Why? Simple: It’s better to “die” for Christ than to “kill” our enemies via the “fighting” for our “freedom of living” in the present age where it’s not worth living in it anymore. There is a “secret” too (you don’t win when you “kill” off all the righteous):

“The righteous perishes, and no man lays it to heart: and merciful men are taken away, none considering that the righteous is taken away from the evil to come.” (Isaiah 57:1)”

U1: “Hmm, interesting thoughts. But, I have to wonder: There would not even be a free America to fight for if people had thought like that. In my heart, I long for peace but I can’t help thinking along with a very wise man who said there’s a “time for war and a time for peace.” Am I a committed man of GOD? Yes! But, I own guns. How far will I go to defend my right to “bear arms”? As far as the LORD takes me, for in Him, I have no rights at all! And, how about the “freedom of living” in your own home? If a rapist breaks into your home with the intent of taking your wife, will U not defend her to the death (yours or his)? If U don’t--short of having a direct mandate from GOD - I say you are no man at all . . .”

U2: “ Those are “earthly perspectives” & some providence to do such under the Old Testament covenant/age. No New Testament Scripture supports it. In fact, Christ says “do not resist an evil person” (Matthew 5:39). Hence, I have to “die” (lay down my life) if there’s no other way.

However, there’s the test of faith: To follow it 100% is 100% Christian but I may lose it in the case of a rapist breaking into my house of which my reaction may be “manly” but not “Christ-like”.

That’s the way Scripture is. Bear in mind that when true Christians (being completely non-violent & do not defend themselves) get butchered in the masses obeying Scripture truthfully, something “supernatural” may be done by God.

But as long as Christians themselves do/act against Scripture by fighting & killing their enemies in the name of freedom or to be “manly”, God may not be for us. Fighting against our enemies is “sacrifice” (not written in NT Scripture) whilst “obedience” (written in NT Scripture) is to “not fight/resist” by “Loving our enemies”.

“Obedience is better than sacrifice.” (1 Samuel 15:22)

U1: “You miss my point (I believe a quality of a true Christian is also to be a better listener than talker - isn’t that laying down our lives also?), I believe there is a “time” for martyrdom but I don’t believe its written in black & white and applied to every and any circumstance as U suggest but by walking by the Spirit of GOD in every situation. But, that’s your opinion, and because of men of GOD like me, and my sons, people like you are free to voice it - and since it’s your post, I’ll let you have the last word . . .”

U2: “Don’t worry too much about it, God loves both your sons & also the enemies whom they may have to shoot/kill in their fight for freedom. That’s why His ways are “Higher” because He loves more than anyone, even His enemies!”

U1: “Jesus never criticised the Roman centurion for him being a soldier but He did commend him for his great faith.”

U2: “Indeed. But neither did Christ commend the roman centurion for being a soldier either. It was just not the issue in that passage. Also, the centurion was not part of God’s side (Israel’s side) but rather on the Roman side which was oppressing Israel though the centurion himself may have been more honourable than the rest in his camp. He may be closely compared to more like a “good Hamas/Palestinian military leader” than an “American soldier” for the very fact that he was on the “opposing side of Israel”.

It was the healing of the centurion’s servant which was brought up there not a discussion on whether can he continue be a soldier whilst practice love your enemies, for example.”

U1: “Actually Christ stayed away from politics. He never condemned the Romans so we know He was not a zealot. He said that we should pay taxes even though they were oppressive. He focused on the Kingdom of God instead of the temporal system we are currently living under.

Another statement was made by John the Baptist when he told the soldiers to be content with their wages. Still no condemnation there. In the epistles Paul stated that the authorities were placed by God Himself to punish the wrongdoer. Paul also stated that whatever station in life we were under when we believed we should remain. That must include soldiers as well.”

U2: “Yes. God “allowing” the military authority does not mean that He “endorses” it. In all the examples that you quoted from John the Baptist to apostle Paul, the soldiers were of the “ruling government” = which was “oppressing Israelites & Christians”.

It would be thus odd for a “true believer” to be part of an “army which was against/oppressing Israel & Christians alike” though not impossible.

It is more likely that God allowing Christians & not directly forbidding Christians to join or fight against such soldiers to practice “love your enemy” & that being the “better evil”, they keep the worst ones in check “being a terror to them”. Allowing & endorsing are two different things the latter needing a direct Scriptural backing for its providence under the New Testament Covenant (which is not so).”

U1: “ You make a grave error by continually separating the Old & New Testaments (or Covenants) - they are one. Jesus fulfilled the Old, He did not discard it . . . Jesus never mentioned abortion, so are we to assume that He is pro-choice? Absolutely not! We exegete the Bible as a whole and then we understand the heart and mind of GOD regarding

the murder of babies in the womb . . . Also, don’t forget that the first gentile to receive the Holy Spirit was also a soldier (Centurion - Acts 10) . . . just saying. That is right Michael! I had forgotten about the Roman Centurion who was considered righteous even though he was in charge of many soldiers. David also fit in that category - “ a man after God’s own heart.”.

U2: “I disagree on OT & NT being “one”. Christ fulfilling the OT is by Him living Perfectly without sin by it primarily & that mercy exceeds any judgment in NT (as illustrated in the case of the adulteress who was supposed to be stoned to death by OT but received mercy in Christ - a demonstration of difference between OT & NT way of “executing Judgment vs Mercy”). OT does not live on in the NT.

The OT covenant was for the Jews whilst the NT for all men who wish to believe. The fact that “OT” was “abolished” and does not live on in the NT may be seen literally in the verse below:

“in the saying ‘new,’ He hath made the first old, and what doth become obsolete and is old is nigh disappearing.” (Hebrews 8:13)

Regarding the first gentile to receive the Holy Spirit being a soldier, God has distributed “each one a measure of faith” (to those who believe in Him) even as the verse below declares (but His Perfect Way – “Be perfect, therefore, as your heavenly Father is Perfect” (Matthew 5:48);) is for one to “love your enemies” (Matthew 5:44) & you can’t do that by shooting or killing them for whatever “earthly” reasons):

“For I say, through the grace given unto me, to every man that is among you, not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think; but to think soberly, according as God hath dealt to every man the measure of faith.” (Romans 12:3)

God “allows” it but does not “endorse” it (as Scripture states literally) because in His Grace & Mercy allows such according to “each one” having a “measure of faith”.

On the unrelated note regarding abortion, it’s a classic response to similar ones such as “since God didn’t ban cigarettes in the Bible, can I do them?” Answer: Simple. The main reason neither abortion nor cigarettes are mentioned is because those sins did “not exist” or was “not known” amongst the believers to which they were addressed to “at that time”.

However, “love your enemies” and military forces “did exist” at that time and the perfection principles of the Bible contradict it literally under the New Testament age’s Covenant. I rest my case. As I mentioned prior, don’t worry too much about it for God gives “more Grace” under this New Covenant as the verse below literally states: “Moreover the law entered, that the offence might abound. But where sin abounded, grace did much more abound.” (Romans 5:20)

U1: “tell that to ISIS when they come knocking at your door! . Jesus took the beating so i wouldnt have to, Jesus took the suffering so I wouldnt have to...The American Christian has only known to fight for freedom...you cannot change that...love the enemy Yes, but i only have two cheeks..”

U2: *“Jesus answered, ‘My kingdom is not of this world; if my kingdom were of this world, my officers had struggled that I might not be delivered up to Jews; but now my kingdom is not from hence.’” (John 18:36)*

You may paraphrase the above equivalently for a response to ISIS.
Let ISIS have the kingdom of this world! (in this age)
We may well be gone!”

chapter 20

Enemies

There is no “advantage” for “me” in believing that my enemies come back Home to Heaven One Day. This was one reason why I was not happy with the Truth when presented with it many years ago too.

I had all the same “difficulties” which one faces when first introduced to Truth: “not fair”, “they can enjoy all the women, alcohol & all that and still return Home one day”, “I live holy & serve and all that for what?”... Etc. I still face these difficulties at times but I realized that my “objections” (such as the ones above) had no “Biblical basis” meaning that there’s no verse or cry of the prophet against God “Saving from Hell”.

I also realized that these were my “fleshy desires” which war against the “spirit”. But a question of Christ did put me back to my place & put to rest my “objections”:

“Is it not lawful to Me to do what I will in Mine own? Is thine eye evil because I (Jesus) am good?” - literally in (Matthew 20:15).

I found myself unable to answer Christ if He did “something better” (e.g. Showed more mercy & saved others too) whilst I found it “unfair” (selfish & jealous since those people ‘enjoyed all the pleasures of sin’ & are given the same as me!).

I eventually noted a ‘key point’: All my “objections” lacked “love” while Christ’s words in (Matthew 20:15) had “Love”. That’s the “logic & reason” of God = Love! In fact that alone proves the One and Only “Unconditional Love” in the Whole World, found in Christ Jesus our Blessed Lord alone!

Paul’s advice to Timothy

“For the time will come when people will not put up with sound doctrine. Instead, to suit their own desires, they will gather around them a great number of teachers to say what their itching ears want to hear. They will turn their ears away from the truth and turn aside to myths.” (2 Timothy 4:3-4)

Note:

1. “Eternal hell” is the “myth” of all time which people “want to hear” because it psychologically “suits one’s desire” to “see oneself saved” & “see his enemies burn”.
2. If one truly loves His enemies as Christ commanded, then one has to start by “believing” God is such first for if not, it’s just empty grasps of air preaching death. To love one’s enemy truly is to belief the best “ending” for them, which is believing that they too will come back Home to heaven One Day.
3. Paul (who wrote this letter to Timothy) never mentioned “eternal hell” once in his writings (proving it to be a ‘pagan myth’) but Paul did do the opposite: namely, tell which is the “not myth” doctrine or saying (God saves all men):

“God is the Saviour of all men; especially of those who believe.” (1 Timothy 4:10)

4. Another identification key (literally): Note that there will be “great number of teachers” on the “myth side”. It’s obvious that the no. of “eternal hell” teachers fit this “biblical prophecy’s criteria” (literally).

By the way, the Bible cannot be contradicted nor does it lie (literally). Therefore, this means that there will “never be” a time in the future where suddenly there are “plenty of Universalist Christian” teachers. Hence, don’t be deceived! (literally)

Grace versus Faith

Grace of God is what saves all men (Titus 2:11) but faith is what is required for believers to be saved in this temporal life itself (Ephesians 2:8). Note:

1. That is why salvation is the “FREE GIFT” of God for “all men” and not of ourselves that we may not boast.
2. It’s like “faith” marks out the “subset of saved men in this life” while “Grace” identifies the “Universal Set of all men who are saved eventually in the age to come”.

Good deeds

All good deeds are determined by its intent; the first being those done for the ‘hope of a reward’ whilst the second carried out for the sake of ‘Love’. The more for ‘Love’ these ‘same’ deeds are performed, the chances are your deeds may be the silver & gold type over the wood & hay works when it’s tested on that Day by Fire. As it is written (this test is for ‘all men’ = ‘any man’ = ‘every man’):

“Now if any man build upon this foundation gold, silver, precious stones, wood, hay, stubble; Every man’s work shall be made manifest: for the day shall declare it, because it shall be revealed by fire; and the fire shall try every man’s work of what sort it is. If any man’s work abide which he hath built thereupon, he shall receive a reward. If any man’s work shall be burned, he shall suffer loss: but he himself shall be saved; yet so as by fire.” (1 Corinthians 3:12-15)

Note:

1. The “Foundation” here is “Christ” since He has given you the “ability” and “freewill choice” to “build” your works whether “in Him” or “without Him”.
2. The “reward” here can be the “reasons” such as “a big crown”, “so that I’ll be blessed”, “so that I can enter heaven” to “so that I don’t get punished in hell”.

Repentance (An answer to the question, “how much have we really repented?”)

A man’s repentance is not measured by the amount of good deeds which he has done but rather by the amount of bad deeds which he has forgone & never repeated.

Hate versus Love

Hate will try to condemn/kill/murder/ignore/hurt for “eternity”. However, Love will “wait” a “long time” (even) for “your will” (freewill) to change and return to the fold. But when your will changes, Love comes running to you, embracing you back Home!

The change is required since one has to deal with oneself and get rid of all “Hate” which nullifies a person from entering heaven. There are no true believers who harbour hatred within. You’re not forgiven due to your “hate” because: *“If you do not forgive the sins of any, neither will your Heavenly Father forgive yours.” - Lord Jesus Christ*

In the end, Love wins when He Saves you from “your place in hell” itself. The Good News in Christ is, “Hate will fail!” I finally understood “why” Christ told (literally):

“But small is the gate and narrow the road that leads to life, and only a few find it.” (Matthew 7:14)

chapter 21 Facts, Logic & Reason

Stick to facts, logic and reason; if you interpret it rightly, it will lead you to God, not away from Him. The common fallacy that ‘logic’ is of the devil is a lie of the devil. In fact, Lord Jesus also used scripture ‘logically’ to combat satan’s logical use of Scripture during His temptation.

So, the million dollar question arises: How do we know which ‘logic’ is of God and which is of the devil?

Simple. As Scripture points out, the logic that Wins (meaning no sensible reply could be given against it according to the principles outlined in the Bible) is the one statistically with evidence most likely of God.

This is because Lord Jesus’ logic defeated Satan’s logic in using Scripture during His temptation. The hypothesis behind this is easy; ask yourself, who is the Genius of logic, God or Satan? If your answer is God, then you got the point. Then it implies that God’s logic will win beautifully without any evil or darkness attached to it.

The myth that Satan is highly intellectual, logical and brilliant is just a lie and is a form of Satan worship. Think about it carefully and it will make sense. For an example, ‘tell people that God will fry unbelievers

forever' versus 'God will save them all at the end of the ages'. The former statement is completely faithless (on the account of the belief in the ability of our Blessed Saviour to save) and illogical, unintelligent (in the part of God's plan to save) but yet is more easily believed than the opposite more logical, intelligent and consistent statement that 'He will not fail to save the world in the end'.

Why? Simple. Fear moves them easily to believe such and they are bound in bondage to it. They are not moving towards perfection which is being more Christlike (Christ didn't want and still doesn't want hell to be eternal - in time), His Holy desire will be fulfilled - as He shall see the travail of His Soul and be satisfied (Isaiah 53:11) - nothing satisfies Him but the salvation of the world only for which He came (John 3:17) and fear leaves in perfection (1 John 4:18) because there is no fear in Love (agape).

The devil has lied big time deceiving the world that Jesus cannot save or has an expiry to His saving capacity (which is before you die).

chapter 22

Fight For My Family

Can't I fight "violently" to defend my family (if I'm a Christian)? Firstly, the verses such as "Defend the weak and the fatherless; uphold the cause of the poor and the oppressed" are to be carried out under the New Testament's Covenant via "words" only as "Christ Himself demonstrated it in His life"...

Under the Old Testament Covenant in the previous age, "enforcement through violence" was "allowed" by the "Law". But not any more. The degree to which one follows Christ's commands to "turn the other cheek" (literally) is the measure of "love" one has for Christ "obeying" Him as the verse below states (literally too):

"If you love Me (Lord Jesus), keep My commands." (John 14:15)

Remember, God doesn't require you to do something which He has not practiced first. The common "excuse" that "how can I not protect my family by violence" does not stand because... God watched His own Son being cruelly tortured & murdered on the cross having done "no sin" at all and yet did NOT "avenge with violence to stop it".

That Single Act on the Cross by the Son of God and the "no resistance" by God the Father proved once and for all the Beautiful Truth that, ... **"God is Love"**.

P/S: A “secret”: Instead of using His wrath, judgment & chastisements in the age to come against all the wicked as means of “selfish gratification”, God actually has/is/and will be using it to “destroy the evil/sin” in them...No one enters heaven in their “former state” (unbelieving, murderer etc..) but as a “New Creation in Christ”!

Also,

1. The reason men would “smite/kill” their enemies for the preservation and protection of their families is because they “love their families only”.
2. However, the reason God doesn’t just “smite/kill” the murderers of your family (for example) is because He loves both “your family” (which is killed) and also the “family of the murderer” and both “you” and the “murderer” himself.

The nearest analogy to understand this is to think of the “murderer” who “killed your family” as “your brother”. This is “literally” true because God sees both of you as “siblings” (being descended from one blood through Adam) but you “hate each other” because you are “blind” to this Truth:

“He made also of one blood every nation of men, to dwell upon all the face of the earth - having ordained times before appointed, and the bounds of their dwellings -” (Acts 17:26)

If you know now that your family’s murderer is actually “your brother”, can you actually “execute judgment violently towards your own blood-brother who turned bad and say killed your mom?” I think you get the “wisdom” behind “why” God’s ways are “Higher” because they are done “in Love” (all the time - He being more Merciful):

“For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways,” declares the Lord. “As the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways and my thoughts than your thoughts.” (Isaiah 55: 8 - 9)

Feel like saying “What Christ did on the cross was sacrifice, sacrifice that both He and the Father deem necessary to save mankind. Whatever that is happening in the middle east now is not sacrifice, its genocide.

We all know the famous question, what would Jesus do? What would Jesus have done if the soldiers hurt His mother instead of Himself. If He were to not fight for His own mother, its gonna be impossible for me to trust Him to defend me when i need Him to”

Here’s a response:

It was not sacrifice...It was “obedience”.

“And being found in appearance as a man, he humbled himself by becoming obedient to death - even death on a cross!” (Philippians 2:8)

The part where “you fight violently to defend your family”...that is “sacrifice” and “not obedience” for such a thing was never commanded nor provided for in the New Testament Covenant. Obedience is following what is “written in the Bible especially in Light of the New Testament” while “sacrifice” is like “fighting for your family”.

Which is better?

“obedience is better than sacrifice”(1 Samuel 15:22)

If you can’t trust him, that’s your opinion. In fact, I trust Him more like this since if He can “endure His own mother’s death” and even “plan to save the enemy in the end”, I can trust Him to be The Saviour of the World (including me, all doubt removed). If He tried to save His mother and destroy His enemy eternally, He didn’t solve the problem of sin and I can’t trust Him...

chapter 23
**Finding God: Secret Of Having
 The Holy Spirit's Anointing**

What is the “Secret of having The Holy Spirit’s Anointing”?

*“These things I did write to you concerning those leading you astray; and you, the Anointing that ye did receive from Him, in you it doth remain, and ye have no need that any one may teach you, but as the same Anointing doth teach you concerning “All”, and is True, and is not a lie, and even as was taught you, ye shall remain in Him.”
 (1 John 2:26-27)*

The Word of God clearly states that the ones who need teaching from “men” are the ones who are devoid of the Anointing (of The Holy Spirit). The verse in 1 John 2:27 testifies to this Truth (literally). It’s evident here that if you find yourself unable to understand the “all things” (which speak about Christ according to what is found in the Bible), then chances are you lack His Anointing.

You cannot twist the Word of God out of its first intended literal meaning (unless stated otherwise). Cunning men have crept it claiming to have authority over the “easy”, “unlearned” sheep of God which make up the masses of Christianity today. Their chief “deception” is that you cannot hear direct from God or be taught by Him through the Anointing of His Holy Spirit but must learn through the pastor (contradicting 1 John 2:27).

For those who are called to the Truth, please don't be deceived by such men. You don't need me nor any pastor to teach you the meanings in the Bible after you have received His Anointing (as the verse in 1 John 2:27 testifies faithfully).

You only need guidance till the point you receive the Anointing of His Spirit of Truth Who guides and teaches you "all things" or "all Truths" which concern our Blessed Lord and Saviour, Lord Jesus Christ. The verses below states this "literally" again (by the Mouth of God Himself):

"and when He may come - the Spirit of truth - He will guide you to "all the Truth", for He will not speak from Himself, but as many things as He will hear He will speak, and the coming things He will tell you;" (John 16:13)

"and the Comforter, the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will send in My Name, He will teach you "all things", and remind you of "all things" that I said to you." (John 14:26)

Little children, keep yourself away from idols (false concepts about God) - (1 John 5:21)

How do we receive the Holy Spirit? Lord Jesus Truthfully declared that all we need to do is "ask the Father" (if we really mean it, He will give His Anointing - Luke 11:13 - You cannot trick God - When you don't seek Him with "all your heart", you will not find Him - Jeremiah 29:13). Here are the verses aforementioned in the last paragraph:

"If, then, ye, being evil, have known good gifts to be giving to your children, how much more shall the Father Who is from heaven give the Holy Spirit to those asking Him!" (Luke 11:13)

"And ye have sought Me, and have found, for ye seek Me with all your heart;" (Jeremiah 29:13)

chapter 24

Free

Heaven On Earth

Some ignorant Christians have wrongly & arrogantly said that if we were to give anything 'free', it would 'cheapen' the Work of God. The Truth is that in His Kingdom, God's Will is to give 'everything' FREE, because only then it is done in 'His Love' (Agape) as the verse below declares 'literally' too:

"Come, all you who are thirsty, come to the waters; and you who have no money, come, buy and eat! Come, buy wine and milk without money and without cost." (Isaiah 55:1)

Correlate the verse above with:

"The Spirit and the bride say, "Come!" And let the one who hears say, "Come!" Let the one who is thirsty come; and let the one who wishes take the FREE gift of the water of life." (Revelation 22:17)

Never forget that God gave His Son, Lord Jesus Christ, FREE to the World. (Romans 8:32; 1 Corinthians 2:12)

Lastly, it is written in Scripture:

*“FREEly you have received, FREEly give” - Lord Jesus Christ.
(Matthew 10:8)*

Even with all these ‘evidence’, yet they will hold on to lies & twist the word (by interpreting non-literally, idiomatically) to their own destruction; as they also do the rest of Scripture (as apostle Peter said too in 2 Peter 3:16)

chapter 25 Fruits - Good Versus Bad

Good Fruits versus Bad Fruits (Matured Teaching).

“We are who we “really” are by the way we treat our enemies”:

1. If we are a good tree, we will Love our enemies to the expense of laying down our lives if the need comes.
2. If we are a bad tree, we will only love those who love us and hate our enemies even to the point of killing them to preserve our survival and our way of life.

Remember,

“Likewise, every good tree bears good fruit, but a bad tree bears bad fruit. A good tree cannot bear bad fruit, and a bad tree cannot bear good fruit.” - Lord Jesus Christ in (Matthew 7:17-18)

chapter 26

Garden Of Eden

What really happened in the garden of Eden? Most people think that Eve ate the fruit because she was tempted with the knowledge of good and evil...

There is more... In Genesis 3:5, we find the real core sin: 'and you will be like god'. This temptation and sin of wanting to be god is the no.1 greatest sin because it breaks the first commandment.

Satan himself fell due to this. Thus he lures the mighty among men with the same bait. Little Napoleans in Christendom itself are all actually eating that bait with their denominational separations for God not being the author of confusion did not author the denominational differences which are all self contradicting creating a confusion in the name of Christ.

Oh, what an irony!

Just as the pastors and others mock Satan for this folly, they don't realize they themselves all have become little 'gods' by creating rules and dogmas to frighten and hold masses under their influence..

Most even claim to be a mediator between the church members and God whilst the Bible clearly teaches the opposite: there's only One Mediator between men and God: namely Lord Jesus Christ Himself.

Thus by setting up such organized religions, they are actually challenging the Mediator-ship and Lordship of Christ by making themselves as some sort of a lord and mediator...

Thus making “themselves as ‘gods’ ” as well...

Just like Satan.

chapter 27 God Of This Age

“whose minds the god of this age has blinded, who do not believe, lest the light of the gospel of the glory of Christ, who is the image of God, should shine on them.” (2 Corinthians 4:4)

The phrase in the verse above is commonly mistranslated as ‘god of this world’. The Greek word here is aionos and not kosmou. Thus the correct one literal translation for this phrase is ‘god of this age’.

With regards to the world, satan and the gods are called rulers or princes literally. Here is a list for these other phrases: (The transliterated word in the brackets is the original Greek word)

- i. [John 12:31]: Phrase: ruler or prince (archon) of this world (kosmou).
- ii. [John 16:11]: Phrase: ruler or prince (archon) of this world (kosmou).
- iii. [1 Corinthians 2:6]: Phrase: rulers or princes (archonton) of this age (aionos).
- iv. [1 Corinthians 2:8]: Phrase: rulers or princes (archonton) of this age (aionos).
- v. [Ephesians 6:12]: Phrase: rulers (archas) of darkness.
- vi. [John 14:30]: Phrase: ruler or prince (archon) of this world (kosmou).
- vii. [Ephesians 2:2]: Phrase: ruler or prince (archonta) of the power of the air (aeros).

Note that the feel for the transliterated Greek words above are as follows:

- i. archon is (Noun – Nominative – Singular – Masculine)
- ii. archonton is (Noun – Genitive – Plural – Masculine)
- iii. archas is (Noun – Accusative – Plural – Feminine)
- iv. archonta is (Noun – Accusative – Singular – Masculine)
- v. kosmou is (Noun – Genitive – Singular – Masculine)
- vi. aionos is (Noun – Genitive – Singular – Masculine)
- vii. aeros is (Noun – Genitive – Singular – Masculine)

Think about it. Our one true God has never given anyone else to be ‘God of the World’. Our God is the only One God who is truly ‘God of the World’. By mistranslations, translators are actually glorifying satan (who is actually only a god of this age and not of the world). How do we know the true God? By love as the verse below implies:

“But if anyone loves God, this one is known by Him.”
(1 Corinthians 8:3)

Which God is really ‘God of this world’? Our One God as the verse below testifies to this fact since He made the World:

“God, who made the world and everything in it, since He is Lord of heaven and earth, does not dwell in temples made with hands.”
(Acts 17:24)

Idols (which also represents false concepts of god) are nothing compared to our one true God in the World as even the verse below states:

“Therefore concerning the eating of things offered to idols, we know that an idol is nothing in the world, and that there is no other God but one.” (1 Corinthians 8:4)

Concerning the usage of the noun aionos in 2 Corinthians 4:4 above, it cannot mean world at all. Why? This is because the adjective for this noun (aionos) in a slightly different feel is called aionion and is what that occurs in Matthew 25:46,(the principal proof text for eternal hell).

How? Consider the two Greek words mentioned below:

- (i) In Greek: αἰῶνος
- Transliterated: aionos
- In English: age (noun – singular)
- Feel: (Noun – Genitive – Masculine – Singular)
- Verse in which it occurs: (2 Corinthians 4:4)

- (ii) In Greek: αἰώνιον
- Transliterated: aionion
- In English: age-during (adjective – singular)
- Feel: (Adjective – Accusative – Feminine – Singular)
- Verse in which it occurs: (Matthew 25:46)

Note that aionos is a noun while aionion is an adjective. The common property of both is that they are both referring to a singular or one age only, literally. Thus, since aionos is a noun which refers to a singular-age, hence its literal one meaning must therefore be age. Similarly, since aionion is an adjective which refers to a singular-age also, hence its literal one meaning must be age-during.

Now, note that if (as some translators render) the meaning of aionos as world (noun) in 2 Corinthians 4:4, then aionion the equivalent adjective based on the invariant property (that it too refers to one age only) must therefore be rendered to mean worldly (adjective).

In this case the punishment described in Matthew 25:46 must therefore be rendered as worldly punishment to keep with a consistent way of translating. I’m sure many of the wicked would love to endure worldly

punishment for their sins since it sounds like sin is punished with more opportunity to sin here. (A joke!) See the absurdity if aionos = world? Thus to keep with a consistent one way of translating literally, we must therefore have aionos = age and aionion = age-during respectively. In which case now we find that age cannot mean everlasting for then 2 Corinthians 4:4 would imply that satan is a god forever.

Thus age must mean a limited time period. And this in turn implies that the adjective aionion which occurs in Matthew 25:46 must therefore also mean age-during, i.e. the punishment being limited in time and more specifically lasting to one age only.

You can't get around it any other way, honestly! See the links? You cannot have the singular - noun (aionos) equal to age whilst the singular – adjective (aionion) to mean everlasting even if their other feel (Genitive – Accusative and Masculine – Feminine) is different. The meaning is defined by the invariant (unchangeable property) of the word namely its root word aion (αἰών) from which both these words are derived from and whether or not it's a singular or plural reference to the thing in concern (in this case time period) – age.

Feel free to ask your Greek professor if this is so in Greek literally or that I'm lying. Surprised? In other words, note that due to the fact that the root word aion means only age (a definite literal one meaning agreed by all scholars) hence its singular – noun derivations such as aionos can only mean age too.

Now this implies that the singular noun aionos literally means age, and therefore the singular adjective aionion must mean age-during. Also, it follows that since the root word aion only means age (a limited time period), so its plural derivations can literally only mean ages (longer but still limited time periods).

Pause and ask yourself: How about the converse?

If the singular – adjective aionion means everlasting (in Matthew 25:46), then the equivalent singular – noun aionos (in 2 Corinthians 4:4) must mean eternal or forever.

Then, in 2 Corinthians 4:4, we must have satan being described as 'god of this eternal' or 'god of this forever', and in better English, it sounds like satan is 'god of the eternal' or 'god of the forever' if aionion = everlasting consistently.

Thus the current traditional translators are intrinsically implying by their translations and grammar/language rules (if correctly and consistently followed) that satan is a god 'forever' or 'eternally'.

Wow! Who is really worshipping satan by their method or principle of translation? Also, ask yourself, which one sounds more like from satan (which translation I mean): 'god of this age' versus ['god of this world' and/or 'god of forever']

Or shall I ask, who is cunningly devising a translation which accounts to fables:

- i. literal (where aionos = age since aionion = age-during; and thus, satan = 'god of this age' only
- ii. duality (where aionos = world, ever and also since aionion = everlasting; and thus, satan = 'god of this world' or 'god of the eternal' or 'god of the forever' or 'god of the everlasting')

It's obvious. **The duality method is from hell.**

chapter 28

God's Will

The fact that “God’s Will” will be done and that “His predestination” to Save the World Will come to pass in time may be inferred too from the verse below:

‘In Christ we too have been claimed as God’s own possession, since we were predestined according to the “One purpose” of Him Who accomplishes “all things” according to the counsel of “His Will”.’ (Ephesians 1 :11)

The saving of believers is Christ’s “first fruits” not His “only fruits”. (the verse below highlights this as well): “or even as in Adam “all” (all men) die, so also in the Christ “all” (the same ‘all men’ who died due to Adam) shall be made “alive” (Resurrected to live with Him eventually though they may die secondly in the ‘second death’), and each in his proper order (not saved or resurrected to life at the “same” time - an ordering is evident), a first-fruit Christ, afterwards those who are the Christ’s, in His presence (Christ is the Resurrected Lord Who gives this life to those who are “His” first),” (1 Corinthians 15:22-23)

I’m not adding or stretching the verse too far since the “full intent of His Will” to “Gather in One”, yes in “One” not “some” things or Christian things but rather “all” things is written (literally) below (this

is what “all” wisdom & understanding the “mystery” or “secret” of “His Will” as written in His Word means - that is, understanding His Will to Save all & how He accomplishes it through Christ alone!): “that He lavished on us.

With “all” wisdom and understanding, He had made known to us the “mystery” (secret) of “His Will” according to His good pleasure, which He “Purposed” in Christ, to be put into effect when the “times” reach their fulfillment—to gather in “One” all things in heavens and on earth in Christ - in Him.” (Ephesians 1:8-10)

Correlate the above too with the conclusion in 1 Corinthians 15 (the real end of the Bible) where God Will become “All in All” (no more enemies remain) thus achieving the fulfillment of His “One Purpose” to “Save the World” by “His Will”!

“and when the “all things” (not some/Christians things only) may be subjected to Him, then the Son also Himself shall be subject to Him, Who did subject to Him the all things, that God may be the “all in all”.” (1 Corinthians 15:28)

P/S:

1. The only “One Purpose” of God as to Why He came as Lord Jesus Christ is to “Save the World” (John 3:17) in different “times” (plural in Ephesians 1:10 above - indicating that not all saved at the same “time”; none shall perish - remain perished eternally - To Save All). These different “times” (plural - kairos) moments for the Salvation of “each one” (that is, the ransom paid by Christ being testified for each one) in the “all” is literally described (too) in the famous verse below:

“Who (Jesus) did give Himself a ransom for “all” - the testimony (to be testified) in its own “times” (kairos).” (1 Timothy 2:6)

2. This “secret” (mystery) of “God’s Will” was not made known (hid) to men in the “past ages (aionon)” but has been revealed now to His saints (literally) as the verse below tells us (meat doctrine): “the secret (mystery) that hath been “hid” from the ages (aionon) and from the generations, but now was manifested to His saints,” (Colossians 1:26)

The effect of knowing this secret is to present “every” man (all men) not some or believing ones only “perfect” in “Christ Jesus” meaning that in “time”, Christ Will perfect all men (every man) according to the secret of His Will. Here’s the continuation of the verse above describing this eventual effect (note the “all” wisdom again):

“Whom we proclaim, warning every man, and teaching every man, in “all” wisdom, that we may present “every” man perfect in Christ Jesus,” (Colossians 1:28)

The verses below beautifully and literally describe “all the points above” succinctly (no need for me to ‘repeat’ the explanation of this secret/mystery of “His Will” again):

“that by revelation He made known to me the “secret”, according as I wrote before in few [words] - in regard to which ye are able, reading [it], to understand my “knowledge” in the “secret” of “Christ”, which in other generations was “not made known” to the sons of men, as it was now revealed to His holy apostles and prophets “in the Spirit” (that’s why not very bluntly written in Scripture - Wisdom of God) - that the “nations be fellow-heirs” (all people), and of the “same body” (of initial believers/Christians), and partakers of His promise (to save the World) in Christ (alone), through the Good News (Gospel),” (Ephesians 3:3-6)

Nothing can “stop/stand against” God’s Will ‘eternally’. Everything will come to pass in its appropriate “times”. Don’t be deceived by men

who say otherwise since the verse below is “literally” clear on this (special highlight: The verse below proves that His “One Purpose” to “Save the World” will come to pass regardless!):

“I make known the end from the beginning, from ancient times, what is still to come. I say, ‘My “Purpose” will stand, and I will do “all” that I please.’” (Isaiah 46:10)

A warning. Peter’s “3:16” warning:

“He (Paul) speaks about these things in all his letters in which there are some matters that are hard to understand. The untaught and unstable twist them to their own destruction, as they also do with the rest of the Scriptures.” (2 Peter 3:16)

Note that the Scriptural interpretation is not for the “unlearned” (untaught) nor for the “unstable” (dual minded inside) as Peter warns those who try to understand apostle Paul’s letters (according to the Wisdom which God has given to Paul).

Remember, the “most educated” apostle (Paul) is the one used by God for the “exposition of Scripture”. Peter’s humility is evident when he admits to this truth. In short, God didn’t use the fisherman to expound the deeper matters of theology but rather the most educated man in His camp, Paul, at that time! (literally).

Now, you too know the Secret Will of God. Believe!

chapter 29

Gospel For All

The Gospel is for all creation, literally; be it men, angels & those before His advent. Christ would not have said to preach the Gospel (good news) to every creature (which means “all creation” or just simply, “all”) if the Gospel itself brings no “salvation” for them in the “end”. Indeed, preach to “every creature” (be it terrestrial or celestial - if He instructs you to do it- don’t falsely claim it in your flesh):

“And He (Lord Jesus) said to them, Go ye into all the world (terrestrial & celestial - earth & the heavens, in simple word), and preach the gospel to every creature.” (Mark 16:15)

Note that the “different gospel” (false gospel) is the one which contains in it the lie of the “bad news” (namely, eternal hell) because the criteria set forth to determine this is the phrase “which is no gospel at all”.

Can’t see it? Do allow me to make it ‘clearer’. The phrase “which is no gospel at all” (was used by apostle Paul to describe the false gospel) means as follows: no gospel = no good news = has bad news too

Now, True Gospel = no bad news at all = 100% good news = Christ saves all = doesn’t agree with criteria which apostle Paul set forth to identify the ‘false gospel’.

But, eternal hell gospel = has bad news = those who don't believe will be fried "eternally" without any hope = agrees with the criteria of apostle Paul discussed above where the "false gospel" does NOT contain 100% good news = false gospel.

This is what you get when you take the verses of the Bible "literally" (no adding/removing nor confusing/twisting talk). Hope it's "clear" now! Here's that verse "literally translated":

"But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed (anathema in Greek)." (Galatians 1:8)

The part where it says "no gospel at all" is described in the verses below:

"I am astonished that you are so quickly deserting the one who called you to live in the grace of Christ and are turning to a different gospel—which is really no gospel at all. Evidently some people are throwing you into confusion and are trying to pervert the gospel of Christ." (Galatians 1:6-7)

P/S: The effect of all creation being saved in the "end" is described "literally" in the verse below:

"that also the creation itself shall be set free from the servitude of the corruption to the liberty of the glory of the children of God." (Romans 8:21)

This is "why" Lord Jesus Christ is exclaimed to be the "Saviour of the World", namely because He will save the world (all or creation) in the end, literally, as the verse below bears the testimony of the apostles as they declare this same truth, collectively (we):

"And we have seen and testify that the Father has sent his Son to be the Saviour of the world." (1 John 4:14)

Lastly:

Kings who fought for their race were great. Kings who fought for their nations were legendary but, The King Who fought for the reconciliation of All is Mythical.

(Mythical? - Unbelievable Indeed!)

chapter 30
Gospel To The Dead (The Engineering Of It)

Gospel (Good News) is preached to the “dead”. Here it is:

“for this also to dead men was good news (Gospel) proclaimed, that they may be judged, indeed, according to men in the flesh, and may live according to God in the spirit.” (1 Peter 4:6)

Just like in English above, the Greek too mean exactly that the Gospel is preached to the “dead” (that is, they heard it after they died). Manipulations of men will twist it to say otherwise against what is “literally” stated in the verse above.

It is also clear from this verse that these who accepted the Gospel preached to them after they were “dead” were also “judged” in the “flesh” (in Gehenna or hell, or lake of fire - wherever Judgment is meted out in the afterlife) but not “forever” but rather their spirit will live to God.

Note that the verse above is not talking about “believers” simply because believers don’t get “judged in the flesh” (abiding in death especially the “second death”) in the afterlife after they are “dead” having received the reward of “passed from judgment into life” (earning also the reward of “age-during life” in the “age to come”) as the verse below also “literally” states:

“Verily, verily, I say to you - He who is hearing My Word, and is believing Him Who sent Me, hath life age-during, and to judgment he doth not come, but hath passed out of the death to the life.” (John 5:24)

That’s why you should believe now! (before you die and depart from this temporal life on earth itself). Remember, flesh = body + soul. And Christ said that the “body + soul” or “flesh” can be destroyed in Gehenna (hell) in the verse below:

“Do not be afraid of those who kill the body but cannot kill the soul. Rather, be afraid of the One who can destroy both soul and body in hell (Gehenna).” (Matthew 10:28)

That’s why I believe that God, in His Wisdom made us to consist of flesh (body + soul) & spirit so that the sin which dwells in the flesh may be judged and destroyed with it in hell. However, by Christ’s Resurrection, we live to Him in the spirit - post judgment - being healed, restored & raised to life either in this temporal life itself or in the afterlife.

It’s inevitable. All will be “made alive” after “judgment” too for those who disbelieve now!

“for even as in Adam all die, so also in the Christ all shall be made alive,.” (1 Corinthians 15:22)

chapter 31

Hard Sayings - Women

Hard sayings are a test of “obedience”. The Hard saying: Please allow me to quote Scripture reading of the day since today is Sunday:

*“Women should remain silent in the churches. They are not allowed to speak, but must be in submission, as the law says.”
(1 Corinthians 14:34)*

Remember, *“obedience is better than sacrifice!” (1 Samuel 15:22)*

The “take on it”: For me, “literally”. God has reasons why He set the “order” of the church as such. Amongst these:

1. Such “hard sayings” mainly test obedience. Men & women who find such verses as “male chauvinistic” in nature “miss” the point.
2. Man & woman each have their role. A woman who can’t “submit” to the teachings of such verses or men who manipulate it to “please women” are certainly not “pleasing God”.
3. I find it a good “test” to check which women are “submissive” or not to God. When presented with this verse, if she “reacts” against it, then I know that she’s in-submissive to His Word (literally).

4. Break such a rule for the order of the meeting of the church, and most likely Christ is not around (unless He exercises Great Mercy towards disobedience).
5. Women may thus speak “after” or “outside” the Church. The Holy Spirit of God does not cause confusion in modern times by suddenly deciding to whisper into some modern females to “break His Own Rule”. Thus it literally shows who is really led by the Spirit & those who’re not.
6. There’s no context issue here (a man made excuse to please women). Why? Their infamous “apologizing” which states that this rule is only for the “Corinthian” church & not others is as silly as equivalently interpreting, “So, the entire letter of 1 Corinthians say chapter 12 which describes the “gifts of the Holy Spirit” is thus only applicable to the Corinthian church?”. The absurdity is obvious. We cannot pick & choose “interpretations” to suit our audience by the “context” excuse.
7. God is a Genius, literally. Such “hard sayings” are there which will “prove” those cunning so called men of god who will be guilty of “twisting” the Scripture to their own destruction. The act of “twisting” centers around taking the Scripture out of its “literal One meaning” by using the “context” excuse for example.
8. Lastly, here’s another “test of obedience” verse

“I do not permit a woman to teach or to assume authority over a man (and husband too - the Greek here is “andros” or “man”, literally); she must be quiet.” (1 Timothy 2:12)

9. Here’s another set of verses proving the “submissive” criteria for the “real” women of God (in-submissiveness is the first sign a believing woman is not led by Christ having grown a ‘wanton against Him’):

“For this is the way the holy women of the past who put their hope in God used to adorn themselves. They submitted themselves to their own husbands,” (1 Peter 3:5)

Sarah is commended for her “submissiveness” to Abraham to the point she called him “lord”. Only then true women of God are “humble” (obeying the Rules of God) and are “the daughters of Sarah”, taken “literally” as the verse below shows:

“like Sarah, who obeyed Abraham and called him her lord. You are her daughters if you do what is right and do not give way to fear.” (1 Peter 3:6)

All the above are a good “pre-marriage check” for guys to determine whether the woman one is going to marry is a true “woman of God” or not.

chapter 32

He Is Risen

The Power of God: He is Risen | Hades couldn't even hold,
Death couldn't kill, | The Prince of Life!
(Beautiful Reason)

The angels (heavenly messengers) declare:

“The angel said to the women, “Do not be afraid, for I know that you are looking for Jesus, Who was crucified. He is not here; He has Risen, just as He said. Come and see the place where He lay.”
(Matthew 28:5-6)

The first saving of Christ from Hades (hell) to those spirits bound for a long time in prison:

“He went and proclaimed to the spirits in prison, because they formerly did not obey, when God's patience waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was being prepared, in which a few, that is, eight persons, were brought safely through water.” (1 Peter 3:19-20)

He did it once, He can do it again (literally), that is, there's no limit to His Saving ability:

“For this reason the gospel was preached also to those who are dead, that they might be judged according to men in the flesh, but live according to God in the spirit.” (1 Peter 4:6)

He led the captives free (literally, note that only the wicked abide in the “lower parts” as captives or prisoners; no righteous ones dwell there proving again that the True Messiah is the One Who has the Power to save from hell itself!) This is clearly the outcome of His Grace alone in the sense that be it if He needs to descend into the “lower parts of the earth” (commonly held as ‘hell’ itself):

“But to each one of us Grace has been given as Christ apportioned it. This is why it[or God] says, ‘When He ascended on high, He took many captives and gave gifts to His people.’ [Psalm 68:18] (What does ‘He ascended’ mean except that He also descended to the lower, earthly regions?[or the depths of the earth] He who descended is the very One Who ascended higher than all the heavens, in order to fill all things.” (Ephesians 4:7-10)

The next verse below clearly shows that a ‘change of will’ can and will happen in ‘hell itself’ (under the earth). Why? Simply because it is also written elsewhere that ‘no one can say that Jesus is Lord except by the Holy Spirit’ (1 Corinthians 12:3) and that the Holy Spirit only comes upon those who ‘repent’ and believe in Him (saved by Him).

It’s inevitable as the verse below declares that “all” will declare that “Jesus is Lord”, bowing their knee only after each one has been “saved” by Him. You can only call Christ Lord “truthfully” if you have “truly repented”. It unavoidable in the sense that since God never “forced” you to believe in Him here, neither will He do so after you die (He does change from His good principles, literally).

Therefore, the only way the “true confession of Christ being Lord uttered by all rational creatures” as stated below may happen if and only if “He saved them all, be it from hell itself” (when all these verses are ‘literally’ or truly taken). If this “confession” wasn’t a true one or forced upon disobedient creatures, then it would not give “glory of God the Father” (written below).

“God exalted Him and gave to Him the name that is above every name, so that at the name of Jesus, every knee should bend, of those in heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth (hell itself), and every tongue confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.” (Philippians 2:9-11)

Even in the Old Testament God has declared His plan to save from ‘hell’ itself. Note that in the Old Testament, another word translated equivalently to hell is the word ‘pit’. This together with the fact that ‘hell or Hades is waterless’ (remember the rich man?) proves in literal context that ‘waterless pit’ = hell and the verse below declares the Omnipotence of God being able to save its prisoners from it!

“As for you also, because of the blood of my covenant with you, I will set your prisoners free from the waterless pit.”. (Zechariah 9:11)

Even the “fallen ones” (fallen angels, devils or demons) though they be punished severely for their transgressions, but will be shown Mercy (by them being ‘visited’ proven from the phrase ‘they shall be visited’ though it may be after a long time as implied by the phrase ‘after many days’).

Note that these “host of high ones” (not men but the principalities & rulers of darkness in the age) are “not” said to be “punished eternally nor without end”. The word “visitation” itself proves that their punishment is aimed at the destruction of their “sin and evil” (rehabilitation) and they are “visited” to see if this has been achieved. This takes a “long time” (many days) simply because God doesn’t force them to change against their will (free will) but waits patiently (longsuffering) even via their judgment till their “wills” change after the “evil nature” is destroyed (ceases to exist). When “evil ceases to exist” in each of them, they “repent” (change their wills).

“And it shall come to pass in that day, that the LORD shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the kings of the earth upon the earth. And they shall be gathered together, as prisoners are gathered in the pit, and shall be shut up in the prison, and after many days shall they be visited.” (Isaiah 24:21-22)

That is why apostle John clearly distinguishes between Christ being the propitiation of sins not only for Christians (the word ‘us’ in 1 John 2:2) but also for the whole world (all) in this same verse. That is why apostle Paul also clearly distinguishes the above in like manner with respect to “all men” versus “believers” (in 1 Timothy 4:10) when he implies literally that God “saves all men” is the “end” for “men” (note the word “end” in this passage).

Christ is not only the Saviour of Christians only nor of all men only, evidenced by the collective declaration of faith via apostle John (in 1 John 4:14) where it is literally exclaimed ‘truly’ that the ‘Son is Saviour of the World’ too! World = all things (God is Reconciling the same thing in both 2 Corinthians 5:19 and Colossians 1:20). Thus all who need reconciliation (rational creatures which sinned) will be “reconciled” (saved and restored to life too from their abiding in death all this while due to their sins) and more accurately, all these will be “reconciled by Christ Jesus alone” as the Word declares below:

“And, having made peace through the blood of His cross, by Him to reconcile all things unto Himself; by Him, I say, whether they be things in earth, or things in the heavens.” (Colossians 1:20)

Indeed, Let the world rejoice! Let the angels sing!
Let the whole earth see! That our King is born!
To Save us All! To Save us All!
His Name is Jesus! (Son of God)
To Him be the Glory and Power to the ages of Ages, and beyond!

chapter 33

His-Story

If only you knew Who walked the Earth about 2 thousand years ago... Angel Gabriel himself described Him thus:

“He will be Great and will be called the Son of the Most High;...” (Luke 1:32)

His-Story. The Jews denied Him 2000 years ago expecting a savior for the Jews-only claiming that “Salvation is of the Jews”; Today, most of Christianity continues to deny Him claiming that He is Saviour for the Believers-only thinking that “Salvation is of the Christians”.

Ye have greatly erred!

Truth is: In the past, without Scripture handed down directly to them nor being “ordained” authorities in doctrine, the outcast Samaritans testified to the Truth that

“Lord Jesus (the Christ) is indeed Saviour of the World”! (John 4:42)

Today, History has repeated itself. With Scripture testifying to this “literally” and together with the Testimony of the Earliest Christians themselves, Christ is vehemently denied as Saviour of the World even by those called by His Name (1 John 4:14)

Conclusion:

With & without Scripture, He is denied by “men” but regardless, the few who are Called to His Truth know by His Spirit (of Truth) that this is indeed the Christ, Saviour of the World! (John 4:42 & 1 John 4:14)

And He Bears them All (in Love). **The Beautiful Saviour indeed!**

chapter 34

How Many People Will Be Saved In The End?

Number of people saved. Many Christians don't learn from past history as it is written in the Bible. Here are the “facts”:

1. Number of people saved when the last time the ancient world was destroyed by water = 8 people only (from the ‘whole’ earth – 1 Peter 3:20).
2. Number of people to be saved before the next destruction of the world in fire = ? (only God knows exactly).

The destruction of the world due to men's rebellion and sin against the True God is not a fairy tale (you'll know it's real if you live to see it come to pass). As apostle Peter himself said:

“through which the then world, by water having been deluged, was destroyed; and the present heavens and the earth, by the same word are treasured, for fire being kept to a day of judgment and destruction of the impious men.” (2 Peter 3:6-7)

P/S: *“Do not be deceived: God cannot be mocked. A man reaps what he sows.” (Galatians 6:7)*

Which also means that if you are “really good with a clean heart”, you'll be passed-over by Judgment of God.

The only way the “righteous in God or True believers” can die is via “unjust” murders by evil men towards them.

Yes, God does not make a mistake (e.g. Incurring collateral damages) via the death of “good Christians” in “His Own Judgment Sentences”. Those Christians who die under His Judgment sentences weren’t “good” anyway.

There are “no” errors. His Ways are Perfect!

It’s just that you don’t understand it finding something “wrong” with God. By the way, in this NT age, God doesn’t execute Judgment by men but “supernaturally” (read the Book of Revelation).

Unless you can call fire from heaven (literally), you’re “not” the ones chosen to execute the “supernatural” Judgment of God in these final times! (you cannot kill/execute anyone in the name of God/Justice in this NT age and ‘think’ that God is using you to execute Judgment - wrong and against the NT* verses or Covenant).

*NT = New Testament

chapter 35 How To Be A Wise Man?

Answer

Fools make mistakes and never learn,
Normal people learn from their own mistakes,
Wise men learn from others’ mistakes,
God never makes mistakes and He gives “the lessons”.

chapter 36

Immortal Soul

Satan's venom: Immortal soul

Christ said: *“Do not fear those who kill the body but are unable to kill the soul; but rather fear Him who is able to destroy both soul and body in hell.” (Matthew 10:28)*

He can destroy the soul in the fire means the soul can be destroyed (cease to exist just like the body) implying both the soul & body mentioned here to be “not immortal”. If the soul is immortal here (as your interpretation goes), then the body (also mentioned in the same context here as your soul) must also be “immortal” too. This is absurd since the body returns to the dust or completely gets destroyed in hell (ceases to exist).

However, note that Christ clearly mentions God's omnipotence to be “able” to do so (complete destruction till ceasing to exist) but we know from other Scriptures that He “chose not to” only inflicting the necessary age-during fire for our chastisement and betterment.

Why? He is Good (both Loving & Merciful). If He didn't chastise at all, we would remain in a sinful & death state (without being healed of our sin & evil). That is why in the lake of fire He destroys both our sin & evil in us.

It's painful because we're attached to that evil & sin (loving darkness). Lastly, Satan is the one who taught men the lie that the "soul is immortal" (implying it intrinsically but literally too) when Satan said in Genesis that "you will not surely die in Genesis 3:4" (implies that your soul won't really die too = soul being immortal).

I repeat (from an earlier post), that is why it is written in first Timothy that "God alone has immortality" not you, me nor Satan possess immortality. Yes, "alone" means "One Person" only has immortality according to Scripture and He is God (Trinity) in the Father, Son & Holy Spirit. Don't be deceived folks!

P/S: Remember, that He chose to destroy (age-during destruction in Thessalonians via the lake of fire in Revelation for a period of time mentioned as a future age in Matthew 25:46) only the "sin" (and evil in us to completely cease to exist) but "not life itself" which He will then "restore all things as mentioned in Acts 3:21" and also "reconcile all as mentioned in Colossians 1:20" being the True God Who "saves all men" (1 Timothy 4:10) and also "all the rest of the world too" (1 John 4:14).

Lastly, God alone has Immortality:

"Who (God) only is having immortality, dwelling in light unapproachable, whom no one of men did see, nor is able to see, to whom is honour and might age-during! Amen." (1 Timothy 6:6)

The destruction of the flesh (body + soul) results in only the spirit to be saved on the Day of the Lord and live according to the Will of God (1 Corinthians 5:5; 1 Peter 4:6).

chapter 37 In Christ

*"For as in Adam all die, so in Christ all will be made alive."
(1 Corinthians 15:22)*

Firstly, "there's no bad news in the Good News!" (literally). Even Hell is reformatory through its "chastisements". But Christ heals them all by "making alive" (from the "death - state"), "reconciling" and "saving all men & the world" eventually; after which, both Death & Hell are destroyed after serving its purposes!

"In Christ" means "Christ makes all alive" or equivalently, "Because of Christ, all are made alive" (not by any other 'way' or 'means'). "In Christ" does not mean "believers in Christ only" simply because then we have to put the "believers" in front of "in Christ".

You may separate the "in Christ" verses into two classes:

- i. The first type: "in Christ" refers to the "Salvation & its inheritance to believers who trusted Him first in this life". (not exclusivism)
- ii. The second type: "in Christ" refers to the "Salvation for the rest of men" and eventually the world too! (in stages, as each man in 'his order' too where believers are the 'firstfruits', not the only fruits of His redemption Purchase which will be "testified" in its appropriate times).

If that's not convincing... here's a "more universal" verse which contains "in Christ" or "in the Christ" but talks about "all things" (the Universal Set) for which "all men" is just a subset:

"in regard to the dispensation of the fullness of the times, to bring into one all things "in Christ", both the things in the heavens, and the things upon the earth - in him;" (Ephesians 1:10)

This agrees (literally) with 1 Corinthians 15:28 where God becomes "All in All".

If that's still tricky as to the intent of why the "all things" are brought into "one", here's the reason = Reconciliation:

"and through him to reconcile the all things to himself - having made peace through the blood of his cross - through him, whether the things upon the earth, whether the things in the heavens." (Colossians 1:20)

This "also" agrees (literally) with 1 Corinthians 15:28 where God becomes "All in All".

chapter 38

Isaiah's Note On Oppression & Deceit

A good perspective with respect to Israel (and Christians) alike depending on "oppressive" measures (such as sanctions & wars) and "deceit" (such as lying & false teachings) for "their" fight for freedom (loving their life on this earth which is demonstrated by them fighting & killing their enemies to preserve it).

Guess what God responded when similar situations of Israel using "oppression & deceit" and relied on them instead of on God's Perfect Ways? Not a "bravo" but... (read what prophet Isaiah says in the verses below... I told you, Lord Jesus is not on Israel's side (or any other) cheering them on during war!)

¹²Therefore this is what the Holy One of Israel says: "Because you have rejected this message, relied on oppression and depended on deceit,¹³this sin will become for you like a high wall, cracked and bulging, that collapses suddenly, in an instant.¹⁴It will break in pieces like pottery, shattered so mercilessly that among its pieces not a fragment will be found for taking coals from a hearth or scooping water out of a cistern." (Isaiah 30: 12-14)

chapter 39

Josephus (A Near Jewish Contemporary Of Christ)

The Pharisees believed in some sort of ‘everlasting’ punishment while the Sadducees believed in some kind of ‘annihilation’. The word everlasting used to describe the duration of punishment in the doctrine of the Pharisees stems from the word aidios in Greek and its equivalents and it is not an Aion/Aionon derivation at all.

Our blessed Saviour did not use aidios nor its equivalents to describe the punishment of the wicked but rather used a much weaker word in Greek namely aionion (e.g. Matthew 25:46 - punishment of wicked men) which clearly proves that our Lord rejected the man-made Pharisees’ doctrine of eternal punishment.

Note that had our Lord intended to teach everlasting punishment to the simple masses, then He would have certainly used the prevalent word for everlasting at that time (whose Greek equivalent is aidios / aidion /aidiois etc) which the Pharisees themselves have used but just differing in the sense that it is directed to a different concept of God.

Since our Lord didn’t use such phraseology (let’s call it the aidios equivalents) to describe the punishment of the wicked, hence it must be that the Aion/Aionon derivations found in Holy Scripture must therefore just mean its literal one meaning as age/ages - both of which describe a limited period of time.

The common misconception held by the eternal hell scholars who say that aidios = aion/aionon is absolutely illogical and contradictory to the Greek language. Note that it is not the same as in English where we may say eternal = everlasting. The root words for aidios and aion in Greek do not mean the same at all literally in Greek implying that such an equivalence cannot be produced sensibly.

Everyone who studies a little Greek knows that aidios means everlasting while aion means age. In other words it's like this (An analogy in reverse - i.e. If our Lord actually spoke in English and the translation is done into Greek):

Imagine (for argument's sake) that our Lord came to an English speaking group of people (that is that our Lord spoke in English). Suppose further that our Lord wanted to teach everlasting punishment to these English speaking masses. Would He use the phrase 'everlasting punishment' or the phrase 'age-during punishment' to describe His doctrine of chastisement?

If He used the phrase 'everlasting punishment' then the correct literal one translation in Greek language would be the 'aidios equivalents'. Had the Lord adopted the phrase 'age-during punishment', then it is imperative that the correct literal one translation in Greek here would be the Aion/Aionon derivations.

Now, ask yourself the next crucial question: Would our Lord have used the phrase 'age-during punishment' (literally only means a limited in time chastisement in English) which is then miraculously translated into the Greek language using one of the 'aidios equivalents' (implying an 'everlasting' duration for these punishments) when translated by our English scholars of today by giving the idioms excuse? I think not. Had the Lord wanted to mean 'aidios punishments' He would have resorted to use in English the phrase 'everlasting punishment' instead of 'age-during punishment'.

Can you see the point made here?

The reverse has actually happened here. The punishments are supposed to be translated correctly as being age/ages (in duration) and not forever since it all stems from the root word Aion which means 'age' only and not from the word 'aidios' (which means everlasting).

Thus it is very clear that the afterlife - punishments in the Bible are limited in time though it may be a very long time simply because our Lord used the 'Aion-Aionon derivations' to describe the duration of punishments for the wicked (men, angels, devil, false prophet, beast etc...) and not the 'aidios derivations'.

Here are the proofs in Greek from the surviving writings of Josephus which clearly shows the exact phraseology and doctrine of the three confused sect of the Jews namely the Pharisees (everlasting punishment), Sadducees (annihilation - conditional immortality) and Essenes (immortal punishments - never ceasing punishments) - It is interesting to note that none of these Jewish sects understood Scripture correctly to develop the true doctrine of Christ being Saviour of the World (Christian Universalism - true gospel) as revealed and expounded in the New Testament through the Holy Spirit.

1. Josephus quotes in (Flavius Josephus - The Judean (Jewish) Antiquities Book 18, Niese Section 14) regarding the doctrine of the Pharisees who believed that the wicked are held in an 'everlasting prison' (eirgmon aidion) as follows:

(14). They also believe that souls have an immortal rigor in them, and that under the earth there will be rewards or punishments, according as they have lived virtuously or viciously in this life; and the latter are to be detained in an everlasting prison, but that the former shall have power to revive and live again; (Translation of Rev. William Whiston)

In Greek:

(14). ἀθάνατόν τε ἰσχὺν ταῖς ψυχαῖς πίστις αὐτοῖς εἶναι καὶ ὑπὸ χθονὸς δικαιοῦσαι
τε καὶ τιμὰς οἷς ἀρετῆς ἢ κακίας ἐπιτήδευσις ἐν τῷ βίῳ
γέγονεν, καὶ ταῖς μὲν εἰργμὸν αἰδίου προτίθεσθαι, ταῖς δὲ ῥαστώνην
τοῦ ἀναβιοῦν.

The word translated as ‘everlasting’ is from the Greek word ‘aidion’.
This equivalence is stated below:

αἰδίου (in Greek) = aidion (Transliterated) = everlasting (in English).

2. Josephus quotes in (Flavius Josephus - The Judean (Jewish) Wars Book 2, Chapter 8, Whiston - Section 14 while Niese section 162 & 163) regarding the doctrine of the Pharisees who believed that the wicked will be punished with ‘eternal punishment’ (aidios timoria) as follows:

(162). But then as to the two other orders at first mentioned, the Pharisees are those who are esteemed most skillful in the exact explication of their laws, and introduce the first sect. These ascribe all to fate [or providence], and to God,

(163). and yet allow, that to act what is right, or the contrary, is principally in the power of men, although fate does co-operate in every action. They say that all souls are incorruptible, but that the souls of good men only are removed into other bodies, - but that the souls of bad men are subject to eternal punishment. (Rev. William Whiston)

In Greek:

(162). Δύο δὲ τῶν προτέρων Φαρισαῖοι μὲν οἱ μετὰ ἀκριβείας δοκοῦντες ἐξηγεῖσθαι τὰ νόμιμα καὶ τὴν πρώτην ἀπάγοντες αἴρεσιν εἰμαρμένη τε καὶ θεῶ προσάπτουσι πάντα,

(163). καὶ τὸ μὲν πράττειν τὰ δίκαια καὶ μὴ κατὰ τὸ πλεῖστον ἐπὶ τοῖς ἀνθρώποις κείσθαι, βοηθεῖν δὲ εἰς ἕκαστον καὶ τὴν εἰμαρμένην· ψυχὴν τε πᾶσαν μὲν ἄφθαρτον, μεταβαίνειν δὲ εἰς ἕτερον σῶμα τὴν τῶν ἀγαθῶν μόνην, τὰς δὲ τῶν φαύλων αἰδίῳ τιμωρίᾳ κολάζεσθαι.

αἰδίῳ (in Greek) = aidios (Transliterated) = eternal (in English).

3. Josephus quotes in (Flavius Josephus - The Judean (Jewish) Wars Book 2, Chapter 8, Whiston - Section 11 while Niese section 155) regarding the doctrine of the Essenes who believed that the wicked will be punished with ‘never - ceasing punishment’ (timoria adialeipton) as follows:

(155). but that when they are set free from the bonds of the flesh, they then, as released from a long bondage, rejoice and mount upward. And this is like the opinions of the Greeks, that good souls have their habitations beyond the ocean, in a region that is neither oppressed with storms of rain or snow, or with intense heat, but that this place is such as is refreshed by the gentle breathing of a west wind, that is perpetually blowing from the ocean; while they allot to bad souls a dark and tempestuous den, full of never-ceasing punishments.

(Rev. William Whiston)

In Greek:

[155] ἐπειδὴν δὲ ἀνεθῶσι τῶν κατὰ σάρκα δεσμῶν, οἷα δὴ μακρᾶς δουλείας ἀπηλλαγμένας τότε χαίρειν καὶ μετεώρους φέρεσθαι. καὶ ταῖς μὲν ἀγαθαῖς ὁμοδοξοῦντες παισὶν Ἑλλήνων ἀποφαίνονται τὴν ὑπὲρ ὠκεανὸν διαίταν ἀποκεισθαι καὶ χῶρον οὔτε ὄμβροισοῦτε νιφετοῖς οὔτε καύμασι βαρυνόμενον, ἀλλ’ ὄν ἐξ ὠκεανοῦ πρᾶγ’ αἰεὶ ζέφυρος ἐπιπνέων ἀναψύχει· ταῖς δὲ φαύλαις ζοφώδη καὶ χειμέριον ἀφορίζονται μυχὸν γέμοντα τιμωριῶν ἀδιαλείπτων.

ἀδιαλείπτων = adialeipton = never-ceasing, incessant.
(in Greek) (Transliterated) (in English).

4. Josephus quotes in (Flavius Josephus - The Judean (Jewish) Wars Book 2, Chapter 8, Whiston - Section 11 while Niese section 157 & 158) regarding the doctrine of the Essenes who believed that the wicked will suffer ‘immortal punishment’ (athanaton timorian) after death:

(157). whereby good men are bettered in the conduct of their life by the hope they have of reward after their death; and whereby the vehement inclinations of bad men to vice are restrained, by the fear and expectation they are in, that although they should lie concealed in this life, they should suffer immortal punishment after their death.

(158). These are the Divine doctrines of the Essenes about the soul, which lay an unavoidable bait for such as have once had a taste of their philosophy. (Rev. William Whiston)

In Greek:

[157] τούς τε γὰρ ἀγαθοὺς γίνεσθαι κατὰ τὸν βίον ἀμείνους ἐλπίδι τιμῆς καὶ μετὰ τὴν τελευτήν, τῶν τε κακῶν ἐμποδίζεσθαι τὰς ὁρμὰς δέει, προσδοκῶντων, εἰ καὶ λάθοιεν ἐν τῷ ζῆν, μετὰ τὴν διάλυσιν ἀθάνατον τιμωρίαν ὑφέξειν.

[158] ταῦτα μὲν οὖν Ἑσσηνοὶ περὶ ψυχῆς θεολογοῦσιν ἄφυκτον δέλεαρ τοῖς ἅπαξ γευσάμενοις τῆς σοφίας αὐτῶν καθιέντες.

ἀθάνατον = athanaton = immortal, undying.
(in Greek) (transliterated) (in English)

5. Regarding the doctrine of the third mainstream sect of the Jews, (namely the Sadducees), it is clearly seen that they held on to some form of ‘conditional immortality’ or ‘annihilation’ as even Scripture records in the Bible as follows:

“For the Sadducees say that there is no resurrection, nor an angel, nor a spirit, but the Pharisees acknowledge them all.” (Acts 23:8)

It is therefore interesting to note that history is repeating itself again in the sense that with the same set of Holy Scriptures or the Bible, we find the following equivalent doctrinal trend of the hypocrites:

Belief	Jews (OT)	Christians (NT)
Annihilation	Sadducees	Annihilation believers
Eternal punishment	Pharisees, Essenes	Eternal hell believers

Isn't it an irony? During the Old Testament times, the Pharisees and Sadducees were the authorities in doctrine who believed in an eternal hell and annihilation respectively.

Things hasn't changed much. The same spirit of error still operates. During the New Testament times, we have the believers split in the current mainstream who also claim to be the authority in doctrine and thus equivalently believe in an eternal hell and annihilation respectively.

Far from the claim that only cults don't believe in an eternal hell, it was the cults of old from the Pharisees and Sadducees who believed in an eternal hell or annihilation. Can you see which is the cult teaching now?

The one Truth is this: **universal salvation in Christ Jesus alone.**

chapter 40

Just War For Cowards

An example of one of the “worst Satanic” false teaching injected into Christianity: “Just war”. Firstly, what is “Just war”?

To Quote: “Just war theory (jus bellum iustum) is a doctrine, also referred to as a tradition, of military ethics studied by theologians, ethicists, policy makers and military leaders. The purpose of the doctrine is to ensure war is morally justifiable through a series of criteria, all of which must be met for a war to be considered just.”

The first Christian theologian to teach it is none other than the “western - Latin father of eternal hell” himself, Augustine of Hippo. How do we know he was the “first” to teach such a theory in New Testament based Christianity?

1. You may search all of written surviving Christian history itself and find no such concept amongst the Eastern fathers of the True church in earliest Christianity especially the first 500 years! (If your pastor or church history “expert” says otherwise, please ask him to provide “proof” of “quotes”)
2. Entire Eastern Christianity did not have any “military army” to “defend” them etc. Only polluted murderous Western Christianity out of Rome eventually developed a “Christian army” which

began to “kill” in the name of Christ, “God & country” and call it “justice” and even so, spreading Christianity by the “sword” (all of which without any New Covenant’s verses to justify it!).

Here is an example of Augustine’s own “opinion” in his fantasy of “city of God” being built with bloodshed (again, to quote): “Augustine nonetheless originated the very phrase, itself, in his work *The City of God*: “But, say they, the wise man will wage just wars. As if he would not all the rather lament the necessity of just wars, if he remembers that he is a man; for if they were not just he would not wage them, and would therefore be delivered from all wars.”.”

Notice that neither Augustine had any New Testament verses to “prove” his claim that God would have approved such ideas to be “Christian”. Therefore, it is not!

Now you know why western Christianity is violent whilst the True Christians of the East (who were Universalists especially in the first 500 years of Christianity) were “non-violent” and were “true” martyrs who did “not resist” the evil persons who killed them to their last breath.

In conclusion, ask yourself: “Would the Holy Spirit of God speak into the hearts of your pastors/Christian leaders to wage a Just War for whatever reasons/situations?” The answer, “No! That’s why your pastors and all who pray and support it are “not” led by Lord Jesus but by their own carnal flesh & at times “demons”.”

P/S: God Will execute divine Judgment by Himself under the New Testament Covenant, “not by the American army (for example).”

Proof? Read the Book of Revelation itself. There is no mention of Him using any “earthly” Christian army to execute Judgment! In fact, He uses heavenly powers to pour His Wrath & Judgment upon the

earth. Even His two witnesses “call down fire from heaven” (divine providence of power) to execute Judgment at the end of this age.

Please don’t be deceived by cunning men who teach otherwise. Let God Judge evil in this New Testament age. The Bible is correct and your pastors who teach contrary to it are all wrong!

No Christian has the right to wage wars (even just wars) in the Holy Name of Lord Jesus (profaning it) with the excuse to “defend their selfish freedom & families”. The more important thing than your “freedom” and “families” is to “love your enemies” boldly.

Lastly, only “cowards” are afraid to die and also kill others. It is always bravest to be completely non-violent like Christ and endure the pain of murder (of yourself & family) rather than do anything else.

Why? Test the point above like this:

“I can easily take the gun and torture as well as kill your family in the name of God & country. But can you “switch places” to endure the pain of torture, murder of your family & eventually of yourself whilst not only forgiving me for my wrongs but believe also that I will be corrected & eventually come back to Heaven too. After a long time?”

Don’t be “cowardly” but be “brave” to be “non-violent 100%” as Christ instructs in His Perfect Doctrine. All “cowards” will have their part in the “lake of fire” too as the verse below “literally” declares:

“But the cowardly, the unbelieving, the vile, the murderers, the sexually immoral, those who practice magic arts, the idolaters and all liars - they will be consigned to the fiery lake of burning sulfur. This is the second death.” (Revelation 21:8)

Remember, a True Christian is never a “coward” to “die” for the “right” reasons “in Christ”!

Finally note that “cowards” have “fear” such as for the “safety of their families” (which explains why they carry guns in the first place) and towards “death & pain”.

The Bible teaches that one can be “healed” of this “cowardly fear” (it being cast out) by “Perfect Love” (Agape = The Love of God) as the verse below “literally” declares:

“There is no fear in Love; but perfect Love casts out fear: because fear hath torment. He that fears is not made perfect in Love.” (1 John 4:18)

Strive towards the “**Perfect Love of God**” and you’ll have no fear being in Truth!

chapter 41

Last Day

What is the Last Day? It is a little difficult to find out exactly what our Lord meant by ‘last day’. It’s probably one of the ‘hidden things’ (secrets) which God has reserved for Himself as the verse declares:

“The things hidden are to Jehovah our God, and the things revealed are to us and to our sons - to the age, to do all the words of this law.” (Deuteronomy 29:29)

I’m caught between ‘two possibilities’:

1. ‘Last Day’ refers to the ‘last day of the current age’.
2. ‘Last Day’ refers to the ‘last day at the end of the “ages of Ages” ’.

Indeed, our Lord encourages us to ‘study’ the Word but we must be careful to ‘rightly divide’ the ‘Word of Truth’:

“Study to show thyself approved unto God, a workman that need not be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth.” (2 Timothy 2:15)

Both of the interpretation above can fit well into the verses below without any inconsistency nor contradiction even to the other parts of the Bible. The key verses in which they occur with regards to the ‘Will of God’(as our Lord spoke) are:

“And this is the will of the Father who sent me, that all that He hath given to me I may not lose of it, but may raise it up in the last day;” (John 6:39)

“and this is the will of Him who sent me, that everyone who is beholding the Son, and is believing in him, may have life age-during, and I will raise him up in the last day.” (John 6:40)

Let us now look at both interpretations separately and see how the verses in John 6:39-40 actually implies the “salvation of all” by the “Will of God” through the working of Christ alone.

Interpretation 1

The ‘last day’ refers to the ‘last day of the current age’. In John 6:39-40, Christ declares that He will ‘raise it up’ (verse 39) and ‘raise him up’ (verse 40) on this ‘last day’. In other words, ‘all’ (= ‘all things’ will be ‘raised up’ at the ‘last day’.

On this ‘last day’ (verse 39), Christ then proceeds to ‘highlight’ this ‘raising up’ for ‘believers’, who upon being ‘raised up’, they get the ‘reward’ of ‘age-during life’ in the next ‘age to come’ (verse 40).

In detail, verse 39 is the general occurrence of the ‘raising up of all’ on this ‘last day of the current age’. What follows after that is judgment. This is written in various Scriptures that the Judgment will be held at the ‘end of our current age’:

1. ‘As, then, the darnel is gathered up, and is burned with fire, so shall it be in the full end of this age; the Son of Man shall send forth his messengers, and they shall gather up out of his kingdom all the stumbling-blocks, and those doing the unlawfulness, and shall cast them to the furnace of the fire; there shall be the weeping and the gnashing of the teeth.

‘Then shall the righteous shine forth as the sun in the reign of their Father. He who is having ears to hear - let him hear.’ (Matthew 13:40-43)

2. *‘so shall it be in the full end of the age, the messengers shall come forth and separate the evil out of the midst of the righteous, and shall cast them to the furnace of the fire, there shall be the weeping and the gnashing of the teeth.’ Jesus saith to them, ‘Did ye understand all these?’ They say to him, ‘Yes, sir.’ And he said to them, ‘Because of this every scribe having been disciplined in regard to the reign of the heavens, is like to a man, a householder, who doth bring forth out of his treasure things new and old.’ (Matthew 13:49-52)*

3. Even the disciples ‘knew’ that Judgment will come at the ‘end of the age’. That is why they asked,

‘And when he is sitting on the mount of the Olives, the disciples came near to him by himself, saying, ‘Tell us, when shall these be? and what [is] the sign of thy presence, and of the full end of the age?’ (Matthew 24:3)

4. That is why our Blessed Saviour assured us that He will be there with us (believers) ‘until’ the end of the age (not forever – simply because after that we will be with Him; He ‘highlighted’ being with us ‘always’ until the end of the age because believers who are born are still on earth and have to accept His presence with them by ‘faith’ without ‘seeing Him’. Note that ‘all the days’ (sometimes inaccurately translated as ‘always’ – ignoring ‘word to word translation’) used in the verse below also proves that there is a notion of ‘time’ and also that ‘Christ signified an end to His ‘invisible’ presence of Him whilst we (the believers) are still on earth’):

“And having come near, Jesus spake to them, saying, ‘Given to me was all authority in heaven and on earth; having gone, then, disciple all the nations, (baptizing them - to the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit, teaching them to observe all, whatever I did command you,) and lo, I am with you all the days - till the full end of the age.’ (Matthew 28:18-20)

5. There is only 'one Judgment seat of Christ for all' as this is recorded as follows (note that the deceiving lie that there are 'two judgments', one for the 'believer' and the 'other for the non-believer' is a 'lie' simply because 'no verse' in the Bible ever said that there are 'two judgments!'):

'for all of us it behoveth to be manifested before the judgment seat of the Christ, that each one may receive the things [done] through the body, in reference to the things that he did, whether good or evil;'
(2 Corinthians 5:10)

Note that "each one" = "every man" (means all men; not 'some men or believers only').

6. This judgment of 'all men' is described in the Book of Revelation:

"And I saw a great white throne, and Him who is sitting upon it, from whose face the earth and the heaven did flee away, and place was not found for them; and I saw the dead, small and great, standing before God, and scrolls were opened, and another scroll was opened, which is that of the life, and the dead were judged out of the things written in the scrolls -- according to their works; and the sea did give up those dead in it, and the death and the hades did give up the dead in them, and they were judged, each one according to their works; and the death and the hades were cast to the lake of the fire - this [is] the second death; and if any one was not found written in the scroll of the life, he was cast to the lake of the fire."(Revelation 20:11-15)

7. Note that the 'duration' (time) of the punishment for the men who are thrown in the Lake of Fire is not mentioned in the verses above. This time period of 'how long' they are to be punished is revealed in Scripture elsewhere, namely for an age (one 'future' age only after the current one):

'And these shall go away to punishment age-during, but the righteous to life age-during.' (Matthew 25:46)

8. The punishment (chastisement) intended by Christ is with a 'purpose' for the 'betterment' of the wicked ones being punished. That is why the word 'pruning' in Greek, (kolasin is used to describe the punishment in Matthew 25:46). Cruel punishments using Greek words such as torture (timoria) are not employed by Christ here. Note that in Revelation 14:10 we find that the 'torment' in the fire is done in the presence of the 'Lamb' and His 'Holy angels'. The very fact that the words 'holy' and 'Lamb' are employed here indicates that such a punishment is 'reformatory' and 'not sadistic' in nature. Purposeless sadistic torture is not 'holy' nor does it agree with the nature of the 'Lamb' to inflict 'sadistic torture' by the choice of words used. The Great Physician, Lord Jesus Himself, administers 'painful medicine' (you may say) through the fires in order to 'destroy evil' in the 'wicked'.

'he also shall drink of the wine of the wrath of God, that hath been mingled unmixed in the cup of His anger, and he shall be tormented in fire and brimstone before the holy messengers, and before the Lamb'
(Revelation 14:10)

9. However, the outcome of 'judgment' in the 'fire' (1 Corinthians 3:13) is clear, namely, one's work may be 'destroyed' but he himself 'shall be saved', even so by 'fire'(1 Corinthians 3:15) as the verses below declare:

'Every man's work shall be made manifest: for the day shall declare it, because it shall be revealed by fire; and the fire shall try every man's work of what sort it is.' (1 Corinthians 3:13)

'If of any the work is burned up, he shall suffer loss; and himself shall be saved, but so as through fire.' (1 Corinthians 3:15)

Let us now return to John 6. We can infer the following under interpretation 1 together with the rest of Scriptures:

- i. On the ‘last day of our current age’, ‘all’ are raised up (John 6:39)
- ii. Judgment follows and sentences are read out. (Revelation 20:11-15)
- iii. The wicked are thrown into the lake of fire. (Revelation 20:11-15)
- iv. The righteous attain the ‘reward’ of ‘age-during life’ in the ‘age to come’ after the Judgment Day having ‘escaped’ the ‘fire’ and the ‘wrath of God’. (John 3:16; Luke 18:30; Matthew 25:46; John 5:24)
- v. The time period (duration) for the chastisement of the wicked is recorded to be ‘one age only’ (for men as in Matthew 25:46) and ‘ages’ (at least two ages or more - for Satan and his hosts - to the ages of Ages - Revelation 20:10).
- vi. This ‘raising up’ of believers and the ‘wicked’ is the ‘all’ in (John 6:39) with the intention of the ‘Will of God’ that the Son ‘Will lose nothing’ (John 6:39). This means that the punishment & reward meted out through Judgment is with the ‘good intention’ of God to arrest our course of ‘sin’. Thus ‘none’ will perish nor be ‘lost’ forever. Some translations render the phrase ‘Son will destroy nothing’ instead of ‘Son will lose nothing’ simply because the ‘root word’ in Greek for ‘lost’ (as even used in the parable of the lost sheep) is the same/similar (linked) word to the one for ‘destruction’ (destroy).

Interpretation 2

Suppose now that the ‘last day’ refers to the ‘last day at the end of the “ages of Ages”’. Why is there a ‘last day?’ Simply because the plan of the ‘Salvation of all’ is fulfilled at the ‘end’ signified with this ‘last day’.

Probably that’s why our Lord often exclaimed that He is the ‘Beginning (Alpha) and the End (Omega)’.

The Lord signifies that He’s in ‘full control’ from the start (beginning of the Ages) and will continue to be so until the finish (end of the Ages) which were created & framed by Him (Hebrews 1:2 & Hebrews 11:3) respectively:

“in these last days did speak to us in the Son, whom He appointed heir of all things, through whom also He did make the ages;” (Hebrews 1:2)

“by faith we understand the ages to have been prepared by a saying of God, in regard to the things seen not having come out of things appearing;” (Hebrews 11:3)

Through these Ages, Christ fulfills the ‘Will of God’ in ‘time’ to ‘save the world’ (John 3:17) so that ‘none shall perish’ (absolutely - 2 Peter 3:9) by bringing about the ‘restoration of all things’ (Acts 3:21) through the ‘reconciliation of all things’ (Colossians 1:20) having made Peace through His Blood of His Cross.

Without Christ’s Death on the Cross and His Resurrection, this ‘restoration’ & ‘reconciliation’ of ‘all things’ bringing about the ‘salvation (saving) of the whole world’ will not have been possible at all. Indeed, if Christ has not died, then there is no salvation in this world.

A common fallacy is refuted here: Many falsely argue that “if God is going to ‘save the world’, than Jesus need not die on the Cross what!”. Wrong! The truth is this: If Christ did not come into the world and die for ‘all’ the ‘sin of the whole world’ (to take it away - “...Behold! The Lamb of God who takes away the sin of the world!” in John 1:29), we will remain in ‘sin’ and ‘death’ with no hope of salvation at all.

Fortunately, it doesn’t end there. The converse happened and it’s true: Since Christ came into the world and died for ‘all’ our sins (the

singular ‘sin of the world’ – meaning ‘all sin’ of the ‘world’ in John 1:29 above), it implies that He will save the World (changing the ‘maybe’ in John 3:17 into a ‘be’ fulfilling the ‘Will of God’ perfectly).

Those principles highlighted earlier are all true regardless whether interpretation 1 or 2 is correct and both of these support Christ centered universalism perfectly.

Returning to our focus in John 6, we can thus safely infer the following based on interpretation 2 together with the rest of Scripture:

- i. Judgment occurs at the ‘end of this current age’ (Matthew 13:40-43) and it’s described in (Revelation 20:11-15).
- ii. The righteous go into age-during life (in the age to come for believing in Him) while the rest of the wicked are punished in the Lake of Fire for a duration of ‘one age’ for men and ‘ages’ for Satan and his hosts as explained prior.
- iii. Note that Satan and his hosts will be punished for a ‘longer’ period than that of men. In particular, he will be punished to the ‘ages’ (subset) of the overall ‘Ages’ (set) which are created & framed by God: *“and the Devil, who is leading them astray, was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where [are] the beast and the false prophet, and they shall be tormented day and night - to the ages of the Ages.”* (Revelation 20:10)
- iv. This is where interpretation 2 comes in. At the ‘end’ of the ‘ages of Ages’, when all punishment (Justice) has served its purpose, the ‘last day’ arrives. On this ‘last day’ at the ‘end’ of the ‘ages of Ages’, Christ the Redeemer has given His Word that He will ‘raise all up’ (not some or believers only), in order that ‘none shall be lost anymore’ implied by the phrase *‘I will lose nothing’* (John 6:39).

This ‘lose nothing’ means that (in context) of ‘all’ mentioned in this verse, Christ ‘saves all’ agreeing with the rest of Scripture. John 6:40 just ‘highlights’ the ‘same thing’ but with respect to ‘believers’.

Indeed, John 6:40 (under this interpretation) tells us that Christ first gives ‘age-during life’ to ‘believers’ in the ‘age to come’ and at the ‘end’ of the ‘Ages’, they don’t ‘die’ nor ‘expire’ (after the reward of ‘age-during life’ is ‘over’) but rather are ‘raised up’ at the ‘last day’ too to fulfill the ‘Will of God’!

Immortal life is only conferred at the ‘end of the Ages’ (strictly speaking) because only then has the *‘last enemy, death been destroyed’* (1 Corinthians 15:26) correlating with (2 Timothy 1:10) where it clearly states that ‘immortality & life’ is only available relative to ‘death being abolished’! (talking about context).

That is why it is often remarked by universalist Christians that, “All death will be destroyed because it is the ‘enemy’ (not friend) of God whether there be a second death, ...to a millionth death, all death will be destroyed by Christ! eventually in ‘time’ after it has fulfilled its purpose”.

Indeed, there shall come a time when *‘there shall be no more death’* (Revelation 21:4) in the coming ages when our Lord Who sits on the Throne maketh *‘All things new!’* (Revelation 21:5) fulfilling the will of God, where in the end (note the word ‘end’ in 1 Corinthians 15:24), God will be *“all in all”* (1 Corinthians 15:28) fulfilling His Will to ‘save the world’ through Lord Jesus Christ alone (His Son)!

Indeed, that why Lord Jesus will not chase away any of the ‘all’ (all things) when they will come to Him... in time... as the verse says, *“All that the Father gives Me will come to Me, and the one who comes to Me I will by no means cast out”* (John 6:37). Indeed, Jesus is the most misunderstood Person in all history!

chapter 42

Last Lights

These were the last ‘lights of the world’ being the ‘true believers’ of Christ in the earliest Christianity before the plunging into darkness began:

‘Ye are the light of the world, a city set upon a mount is not able to be hid;’ (Matthew 5:14)

Note that most in the earliest Eastern Church (Eastern theologians - mostly Greek speaking churches) believed in Universalism whilst the Western Church (Western theologians - mostly Latin speaking churches) believed in eternal torment...Amazing, isn’t it?

P/S:

1. Indeed these theologians who even quoted the reconciliation/forgiveness of the devils too were not “in a cult” but were themselves the authorities in the Universal (Catholic - One) Church at those earliest times. This is not a cult teaching. Eternal hell’s earliest father from Rome, Tertullian is the one who was in a cult against the church during his lifetime - the Montanist cult. What an irony.
2. The common “quotes” made by the “eternal hell camp” to “try” quote say, St. Iraneus to show that he believed in ‘eternal fire’ is WRONG and a LIE. How do we know this? It’s very simple. Note that St.Iraneus wrote originally in Greek and would have used the ‘aion-aionous’ derivations to describe ‘punishment’ or ‘duration’ of hell.

Saviour Of The World

Thus the 'eternal fire' is actually supposed to be 'age-during fire' if translated correctly. Can you see how each of the quotes from Polycarp to Justin Martyr actually talks about only 'age-during fire/punishment' and nothing of 'eternal duration' agreeing with the literal meaning of the words used in the Greek bible as how the other Greek fathers such as Clement of Alexandria and Origen of Alexandria (both masters of Greek - very learned men) understood the 'aion-aionous' derivations to be temporal/limited in time.

Can you see the deception and how easy it is to 'see' the Truth of Christian Universalism?

chapter 43

Lazarus & The Rich Man: HELL

ECT is a misinterpretation of Truth (of Christ). All punishments are reformatory (post Judgment day) but currently, the “god of this age” (does torment those held captive there – but it’s not forever).

On Lazarus (parable or not - Luke 16), Christ (speaking Wisely) depicts the truth on Hades (Hell) as a place of torment. However, the “no one” who can cross from here to there together with other “impossibilities” mentioned in that parable refer to everyone except “Lord Jesus” (God). This principle of “all things” mean “everything created” except the Creator Himself is evident in Scripture as the verse below “literally” states too:

“for all things He did put under His feet, and, when one may say that all things have been subjected, it is evident that He is excepted Who did subject the all things to Him,” (1 Corinthians 15:27)

In other words, when it says that “no one” can cross, it obviously excludes Christ! Being the Most Humble One, Lord Jesus does not proudly claim that He can “fix” all the impossibilities aforementioned in the parable. In fact, that’s the Reason why He mentioned the parable in the first place “literally”, i.e. He has Come to undo all the impossibilities and cross the chasm to “Save from Hell itself!”

The very “Hades” which contained the rich man will be “destroyed” as the New Testament verses below imply “literally”:

“and the Death and the Hades were cast to the lake of the fire - this is the second death;” (Revelation 20:14)

*“where, O Death, thy sting? where, O Hades, thy victory?”
(1 Corinthians 15:55)*

Don’t believe me? How about this same prophecy echoed in the Old Testament too as the verse below “literally” states again:

“From the hand of Sheol I do ransom them, From Death I redeem them, Where is thy plague, O death? Where thy destruction, O Sheol? Repentance is hid from Mine eyes.” (Hosea 13:14)*

*Hades (in Greek) = Sheol (in Hebrew) = Grave or Hell (in English)

That no one...

That no one should remain hungry eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain thirsty eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain lost eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain sinful eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain ignored eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain ignorant eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain hateful eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain poor eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain dead eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain tortured eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain rejected eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain confused eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain wicked eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain homeless eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain sad eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

That no one should remain burning eternally, is the doctrine of Truth.

Whose Truth?

Lord Jesus Christ.

That’s Why He Came...

(He left the splendors of Heaven...To Restore All things ...by the Power of His Resurrection! He Will not Fail) DOT

Any challenges otherwise? DOT = Doctrine Of Truth (nothing further to be ‘added/removed’).

Other infamous brothers, such as St. Gregory of Nyssa (the Cappadocian father) also plainly ‘revealed’ the ‘secret’ that even “Satan” will be destroyed, restored & healed. Here they are (again):

“In the end and consummation of the Universe all are to be restored into their original harmonious state, and we all shall be made one body and be united once more into a perfect man and the prayer of our Savior shall be fulfilled that all may be one.”

St. Jerome (again), 331-420

“For it is evident that God will in truth be all in all when there shall be no evil in existence, when every created being is at harmony with itself and every tongue shall confess that Jesus Christ is Lord; when every creature shall have been made one body.”

Gregory of Nyssa, 335-390

“The wicked who have committed evil the whole period of their lives shall be punished till they learn that, by continuing in sin, they only continue in misery. And when, by this means, they shall have been brought to fear God, and to regard Him with good will, they shall obtain the enjoyment of His grace.”

Theodore of Mopsuestia (the ‘best’ theologian from the ancient Antiochian School), 350-428

“We can set no limits to the agency of the Redeemer to redeem, to rescue, to discipline in his work, and so will he continue to operate

after this life.” Clement of Alexandria (the teacher of Origen & a revered saint in the eastern orthodox churches). He is often referred to as the Second Greek father (talking about the ‘authority’ in Greek).

“Do not suppose that the soul is punished for endless eons (apeirou aionas) in Tartarus. Very properly, the soul is not punished to gratify the revenge of the divinity, but for the sake of healing. But we say that the soul is punished for an aionion period (aionios) calling its life and its allotted period of punishment, its aeon.” Olnmpiodorus (AD 550)

“That in the world (age) to come, those who have done evil all their life long, will be made worthy of the sweetness of the Divine bounty. For never would Christ have said, “You will never get out until you have paid the last penny” unless it were possible for us to get cleansed when we paid the debt.” Peter Chrysologus, 435

“I know that most persons understand by the story of Nineveh and its king, the ultimate forgiveness of the devil and all rational creatures.” St. Jerome (again...)

Want more of St. Jerome? “In the end or consummation of things, all shall be restored to their original state, and be again united in one body. We cannot be ignorant that Christ’s blood benefited the angels and those who are in hell; though we know not the manner in which it produced such effects. The apostate angels shall become such as they were created; and man, who has been cast out of paradise, shall be restored thither again.

And this shall be accomplished in such a way, that all shall be united together by mutual charity, so that the members will delight in each other, and rejoice in each other’s promotion. The apostate angels, and the prince of this world, though now ungovernable, plunging themselves into the depths of sin, shall, in the end, embrace the happy dominion of Christ and His saints.” – COMMENTARY ON THE NEW TESTAMENT – Jerome (347-420 A.D.)

Want more of devil being healed?

“Our Lord is the One who delivers man [all men], and who heals the inventor of evil himself. - Gregory of Nyssa (332-398 A.D.), leading theologian of the Eastern Church

How about the “black dwarf” who single handedly “proved the Truth of Trinity” against the false teachings of Arianism? That’s why this next guy is called the “Father of orthodoxy”.

“While the devil thought to kill One [Christ], he is deprived of all those cast out of hades, and he [the devil] sitting by the gates, sees all fettered beings led forth by the courage of the Saviour”.

Athanasius, the Great Father of Orthodoxy

Here is Jerome (again) on a similar matter:

“Our Lord descends, and was shut up in the eternal (aionion, more correctly, age-during) bars, in order that He might set free all who had been shut up... The Lord descended to the place of punishment and torment, in which was the rich man, in order to liberate the prisoners.”

Didymus the blind saw more with no eyes than we with both eyes... “In the liberation of all no one remains a captive! At the time of the Lord’s passion the devil alone was injured by losing all the of the captives he was keeping. Didymus, 370 AD

On a similar note... “While the devil imagined that he got a hold of Christ, he really lost all of those he was keeping.” - St. Chrysostom, 398 AD

How can I not quote Origen of Alexandria? (the next Greek father after Clement)... Note: he is often called (the ‘best’ theologian from the rival Alexandrian school to the other powerful one at Antioch): “Stronger than all the evils in the soul is the Word, and the healing power that dwells in him, and this healing He applies, according to

the will of God, to everyman. The consummation of all things is the destruction of evil...to quote Zephaniah: "My determination to gather the nations, that I am assemble the kings, to pour upon them mine indignation, even say all my fierce anger, for all the earth shall be devoured with the fire of my jealousy.

For then will I turn to the people a pure language that they may all call upon the name of the Lord, to serve Him with one consent"...Consider carefully the promise, that all shall call upon the Name of the Lord, and serve him with one consent." Origen (185 to 254 A.D.)

He founded a school at Caesarea, and is considered by historians to be one of the great theologians and exegete of the Eastern Church.

"Mankind, being reclaimed from their sins, are to be subjected to Christ in the fullness of the dispensation instituted for the salvation of all." Didymus the Blind (again)

From the "Father of Church History" himself: "The Son "breaking in pieces" His enemies is for the sake of remolding them, as a potter his own work; as Jeremiah 18;6 says: i.e., to restore them once again to their former state."

Eusebius of Caesarea (265 to 340 A.D). Bishop of Caesarea

From the great Ambrose himself who converted the 'heretic', St. Augustine of Hippo: "Our Savior has appointed two kinds of resurrection in the Apocalypse. 'Blessed is he that hath part in the first resurrection,' for such come to grace without the judgment.

As for those who do not come to the first, but are reserved unto the second resurrection, these shall be disciplined until their appointed times, between the first and the second resurrection."

Ambrose, Bishop of Milan (340-397 A.D.)

The best quote of Clement of Alexandria:

"He, indeed, saves all; but some [He saves], converting them by punishments; others, however, who follow voluntarily [He saves] with dignity of honour; so "that every knee should bow to Him, of things in heaven, and things on earth, and things under the earth;" Philippians 2:10 that is, angels, men, and souls that before His advent have departed from this temporal life."

Back to the bible:

"And we have seen and testify that the Father has sent his Son to be the Savior of the world." - Apostle John, the one who leaned on Christ's breast & probably heard the very heartbeat of God Himself. (1 John 4:14)

How can I miss apostle Paul? *"For to this end we both labour and suffer reproach, because we have set our trust in the living God, Who is the Saviour of all men, especially of those who believe." - Saul of Tarsus, later became known as Apostle Paul. (1 Timothy 4:10)*

Our final quote by "God Himself" on the destruction of "hell" itself. (Sheol in Hebrew, Hades in Greek, grave in English). From the "King James Version" (their best translated verse in my opinion) with a little correction from "grave" to be replaced with "hell":

"I will ransom them from the power of Hell (Sheol in Hebrew/Hades in Greek); I will redeem them from Death: O Death, I will be thy plagues; O Hell, I will be thy destruction: repentance shall be hid from Mine Eyes." (Hosea 13:14)

The phrase "repentance shall be hid from Mine Eyes" means that God Will not "pity" the "destruction" of both "Hell" and "Death" meaning they will eventually "cease to exist"! (Correlate with the verses in 1 Corinthians 15 below):

*“The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death.”
(1 Corinthians 15:26)*

*“So when this corruptible shall have put on incorruption, and this mortal shall have put on immortality, then shall be brought to pass the saying that is written, Death is swallowed up in victory. O Death, where is your sting? O Hell, where is your victory?”
(1 Corinthians 15:54-55)*

Icing on the cake; by God Himself when He came in flesh (Lord Jesus Christ):

“And I, when I be lifted up from the earth (Resurrected to heaven), I will draw all men unto me (He Will Save all men).” - by the mouth of our Blessed Saviour, Lord Jesus Christ Himself (John 12:32)

chapter 44 Legend

Under the previous Old Testament Covenant, men such as king David became legendary having slain “ten thousand enemies” for the “right cause of Justice” earning him the title of being “a man after God’s own Heart”.

However, more remarkably, the New Testament Covenant saw the only Son of God, Lord Jesus Christ Who is The Legend exhibiting the most noble Act of “laying down His Life” instead of “killing others” beginning the solution to the problem of “sin/evil” for the “Perfect cause of Love” having “God’s Heart” in Him being God Who came in the Flesh as Man.

Thus if soldiers (such as king David) were brave, it’s obvious that those who lay down their lives for Love without fighting following Christ are braver (because this means to endure more pain).

Christ is the Bravest of All because He is the First to Love His enemies and even plans to Save them via the fire in Judgment fulfilling His One immutable Holy Will to be Saviour of the World!

chapter 45

Literal & Logical Apologetics: All Things & The World Saved By Christ The Lord God

Literal and logical Apologetics. How to refute the “bringing into logic” and the “other verses quoted on the Mystery of Christ” as often twisted out of its “literal” meaning especially by the ECT believers?

It’s simple: The flaw in their arguments stem from the “classic” misunderstanding from the “eternal hell camp” which centers around taking the verses which they quote to mean the “bringing into” thinking (a fallacy of both logic & language). Do allow me to demonstrate with a simple example. Consider the verse below:

*“God is the Saviour of all men especially those who believe”
(1 Timothy 4:10).*

Based on their assumptions of interpreting Greek, you’ll find the word “especially” here to mean the “bringing into” thinking where you’ll end up concluding that it means “only” believers are saved. Even in English (as it is in Greek) such a rule is “imaginary” (invented) for God didn’t create “grammar” rules!

Based on the Truth, it means “literally” what it says, namely “God Saves all men” (Universal Set of all people) but “believers are special to Him” (a subset of all men highlighted with respect to being ‘special’).

Similarly, when the mystery of Christ refers to the “Gentiles being fellow heirs” (it’s a highlight of a subset in this ‘secret’ of God in Christ).

Also on verses where it means “those who believe are saved” (again, a subset is highlighted) with respect to the criteria of “believing” (relatively) implying the reward of “age-during life” (or life in the age to come - literally). That’s all.

P/S: Knowing & having studied a little logic with Mathematics, here’s a note on “if p, then q” (logic):

We have (e.g. in Ephesians 3:6)

p = Mystery of Christ

q = Gentiles are fellow heirs

Based on their understanding of “if p, then q”, their argument concludes: “if q, then p” simply because they went on to imply that “the Gentiles being fellow heirs” is “all” there is to the “Mystery or Secret of Christ”. However, any student of the field of “logic” will immediately be able to see the “flaw” in “their conclusion”. Why? Simply because “if p, then q” does “not” necessarily imply “if q, then p”.

In other words, when the Bible speaks “if p, then q” (logically & literally), that’s all we take without adding. Furthermore, we know for sure 100% that it cannot be “if q, then p” simply because other verses such as Ephesians 1:9 - 10 proves this. Consider, for argument’s sake:

Here, we have (e.g. in Ephesians 1:9-10)

p = Secret (Mystery) of His Will (of Christ)

q = Gather in “one” the “all things” during the dispensation of the fullness of the times

Now if “their” logic for “if q, then p” is “true”, having the “same p” (big picture) in both Colossians 1:26-27 and Ephesians 1:9-10, both the “q’s” must be “same” as well! This leads to the following “logic & language” absurdity:

Since

q = Gentiles are fellow heirs

and

q = Gather in “one” the “all things” during the dispensation of the fullness of the times

Then it must be that (both being equal to ‘q’ and stemming from the ‘same p’):

Gentiles are fellow heirs = Gather in “one” the “all things” during the dispensation of the fullness of the times. Which is clearly a “contradiction”!

I know that some of them may ‘try’ to further argue that the above is true because ‘all things’ = ‘believers only’ (both Jew & Gentile). This is “easy” to refute. The verse below “literally” states that “all things” refers to “all that was created by Him” (rational creatures being a highlight for both reconciliation & salvation) unless of course in their assumption, “all things = believers only” (wrong! because it implies that God “only” created Christian or believing things - then who created the non-believing things? The absurdity is obvious). Here’s the Scripture which proves that “all things” are created by “God” (Christ):

“because in Him were the all things created, those in the heavens, and those upon the earth, those visible, and those invisible, whether thrones, whether lordships, whether principalities, whether authorities; all things through Him, and for Him, have been created,”
(Colossians 1:16)

Remember that this “same all things” is what is mentioned to be “reconciled” by God (just a few verses down - talking about ‘literal context’ on which ‘all things’ is referred to):

“and through Him to reconcile the all things to Himself - having made peace through the blood of his cross - through Him, whether the things upon the earth, whether the things in the heavens.”
(Colossians 1:20)

Finally note that when the Bible reveals the Mystery of Christ to refer to various “intermediate steps” (believers saved first; gentile, Jew etc - subset) which leads to the “all men” (a bigger subset - inclusive of ‘all Israel being saved’ being part of ‘God Saves all men’ verses - the larger subsets) and finally “ending” in the Saving of the “World” (kosmos) meaning the reconciliation as well as salvation of “all things” (the Universal Set) fulfilling the “Secret of His Will” = “Mystery of Christ” through “Perfect Completion”.

By the way, the “if’s” (they implied by the ‘mood’) is just a difference of those “already achieved” (in the cases of ‘you being reconciled’ verses) and the “not achieved yet” (the cases for which the ‘all things are going to be reconciled’). It doesn’t disprove anything unless they can show a verse which says how God Will fail to reconcile the all things. Also, if He’s going to fail to reconcile all things, then there’s no need for Him to mention those verses at all in the first place.

Last but not least, if the all things does not include all rational creation (principalities & powers in the heavenly places as well - as in Colossians 1:16) then He might just have said to “reconcile believers to Himself” (which is not the case! - just a few verses down in Colossians 1:20 - disproving them absolutely!)

The End (literally).

chapter 46

Lord Jesus Is the Beautiful Reason

¹Then the LORD answered Job out of the whirlwind, and said:²“Who is this who darkens counsel by words without knowledge?³Now prepare yourself like a man: I will question you and you shall answer Me.⁴Where were you when I laid the foundation of the earth...?”
(Job 38:1-4)

“...When the morning stars sang together, and all the sons of God shouted for joy?” (Job 38:7)

One reason for a season is no reason for treason; This is why I don’t support the beneficial lie (beneficial deception – as Origen of Alexandria called it) reason to preach the treason of the Son of the living God– that He failed to save – Hell is eternal (the lie). Half – truth itself is a lie. Lukewarm truth is a duality and it doesn’t stand in truth which is one – which is, Lord Jesus saves all in time.

“... Have you walked in search of the depths?” (Job 38:16)

“Have the gates of death been opened to you?” (Job 38:17)

“Or have you seen the doors of the shadow of death?” (Job 38:17)

“I form light and create darkness, I make peace and create calamity; I, the LORD, do all these things.” (Isaiah 45:7)

“I am the LORD, and there is no other; There is no God besides Me. I will gird you, though you have not known Me,” (Isaiah 45:5)

“Thus says the LORD, The Holy One of Israel, and his Maker: ‘Ask Me of things to come concerning My sons; And concerning the work of My hands, you command Me.’ ” (Isaiah 45:11)

“... ‘He shall build My city and let My exiles go free, not for price nor reward,’ says the LORD of hosts.” (Isaiah 45:13)

chapter 47

Love - Greatest

Let's begin with a warning: Trap of “deceitful” women. Who gets trapped by her? (I was trapped once)

“I find more bitter than death the woman who is a snare, whose heart is a trap and whose hands are chains. The man who pleases God will escape her, but the sinner she will ensnare.” (Ecclesiastes 7:26)

Truth about all of us:

“Indeed, there is no one on earth who is righteous, no one who does what is right and never sins.” (Ecclesiastes 7:20)

It doesn't end there...

Here's a deeper Truth:

1. Regarding “man”:

“while I was still searching but not finding - I found one upright man among a thousand,” (Ecclesiastes 7:28 - first part)

2. Regarding “woman”:

“...but not one upright woman among them all.” (Ecclesiastes 7:28 - second part, literally too).

Note:

1. Upright does not mean sinless (literally).
2. Upright is more akin to meaning “truthful”.

Solomon & David, literally. The dream of many men (like me) is to be like king Solomon. Why? Lust...If the author of Ecclesiastes is Solomon (99.99% chance, Ecclesiastes 1:1 - the 0.01% exists because his “name” is not mentioned, literally), then he sure knew many women as well as having had 700 wives & 300 concubines (1 Kings 11:3).

Solomon did “not” dupe the chicks to marry or be his concubine. I’m sure they all “knew” he was married with other wives & had other concubines but were “willing” to be attached to him for “his splendor” (being the son of King David, being gifted by God with Wisdom & Knowledge and of course, being about the “richest” king Israel ever had - literally).

In short, he was a “babe magnet”. But it doesn’t end there...The “splendor in God” of Israel’s greatest king is “different”. Who? King David himself.

Why? Solomon’s heart was turned away from God (1 Kings 11:3) by his “wives”. Any heart which is “turned away” from God will never be able to experience “True Love”, but only “lust” since “God is Love”.

The “true” comments on “love” is “best” (literally) by the very man whom God declared to have a “heart” after “His Own Heart” since “love” is only understood best by the “heart” (literally):

“After removing Saul, he made David their king. God testified concerning him: *‘I have found David son of Jesse, a man after my own heart; he will do everything I want him to do.’*” (Acts 13:22)

King David also found “love” higher (deeper, greater, above) the love of a woman in his best friend, Jonathan:

“I am in distress for thee, my brother Jonathan, Very pleasant wast thou to me; Wonderful was thy love to me, Above the love of women!”
(2 Samuel 1:26)

King David also found that “God’s Love” is greater than those of his “parents” (note that his parents weren’t abusers):

“Though my father and mother forsake me, the LORD will receive me.” (Psalm 27:10)

Finally, but not least, King David found that the “Greatest Love” is of God alone (literally):

“For as high as the heavens are above the earth, so Great is His Love for those who fear Him;” (Psalms 103:11)

“Because Your Love is better than life, my lips will glorify You.”
(Psalms 63:3)

With regards to “Love”, David was much “wiser” (in practice) than Solomon. The end. Now you know why it’s written regarding “God’s Love” alone (The Truth):

“...But the Greatest of these is Love.” (1 Corinthians 13:13)

chapter 48

Loyalty

Don't be loyal to 'bread and butter' meaning for example, to those who provide your daily bread. Just because a person provides your daily needs, it does not mean you 'oath' your allegiance to him/her. Be loyal to 'God' alone Who is your sole Provider (Jehovah Jireh).

Just because a person gives you food, it does not mean you become senseless and agree to their wrongful ways. If you can't stand up against them, it's ok but don't support such behaviours to be correct and try to defend their 'evil acts'. It's better to be silent.

An example of 'loyalty to the bread' is found in Scripture in the story of Esau and Jacob. The bible calls Esau 'profane' who sold his birth-right for one morsel of 'food' (Hebrews 12:16).

Therefore don't humiliate the King of kings by supporting/making error-filled statements just because it is taught by 'food - providers' who demand your loyalty to them and their denomination (not God)... Remember, the moment you don't agree with their 'theology', they will utterly turn on you and will chase you away- and provide 'no more' - their love expires -

Don't worry, Christ is NOT like that. He loves His enemies too and just like the wise woman of Tekoa remarked, *'For we will surely die*

and become like water spilled on the ground which cannot be gathered again. Yet God does not take away life; but He devises ways so that His banished ones are not expelled from Him.’ (2 Samuel 14:14).

Remember, such universalistic statements are held by those whom Holy Scripture implies as ‘wise’.

chapter 49
Malaysia My Home - Jalur Gemilang

The sajak below (which I wrote), I dedicate to my fellow Malaysians:

“Honour all people (i.e. people of the whole earth).
Love the brotherhood (i.e. the Christians).
Fear God (i.e. the true God).
Honour the king (i.e. authorities in Malaysia – for me).”
(1 Peter 2:17)

Jalur Gemilang

Berkibar seberang di nun jauh,
namun di hati ada labuh;
Walaupun aku pergi merantau,
tidak sekali ku lupa tak tahu.

Semangat nasionalisme terpendam dalam,
jiwa terbakar menerangi kelam;
Salah satu nasihat pertiwi,
jangan lupa segala budi.

Ibarat seni tampak cantik,
menghiasi penuh kain batik;
Berwarna – warni Jalur Gemilang,
segala pengorbanan tidak terbilang.

Seperti wang pada orang berada,
Ingat Malaysia ku sentiasa ada;
Bagai api taatku suci,
sentiasa di hati sampai mati.

chapter 50 Marry - Remarry

1. First group: “never married before”.

Marrying to help cope with sexual immorality is only for ‘newlyweds’ & “not for the divorced”. Please also take note the “singular” individuals (both man & woman) indicating “literally” that Biblically, “one man has one wife only”.

“But since sexual immorality is occurring, each man should have sexual relations with his own wife, and each woman with her own husband.” (1 Corinthians 7:2)

2. Second group: “unmarried” and “widow”.

Re-marrying is an act reserved for “the unmarried” or the “widow” (female or if he’s a male, he’s called a widower) only; and I repeat, it’s “not for the divorced” (the Bible does not contradict itself when taken truthfully & literally):

“Now to “the unmarried” and the “widows” I say: It is good for them to stay unmarried, as I do. But if they cannot control themselves, they should marry, for it is better to marry than to burn with passion.” (1 Corinthians 7:8 - 9)

Note also that in the verse above:

- i. If one wants to “stay unmarried” (in apostle Paul’s words, “as I do”), it’s good as well (freewill choice).
- ii. Again it’s reiterated here that it’s “better” to “marry” (not for the divorced but again, this command here is only for the widow/widower or the unmarried) rather than to “burn with lust/passion”.

3. Third group: Married & divorced.

Immediately after the verses above, apostle Paul addresses the marriage rule for the “married”. As Christ also said, Paul reiterates that this command is “from the Lord” (not his opinion) that the “divorced” (or separated) are to “remain unmarried” (cannot remarry!) or return (“reconciled back”) only to “her husband” (initial spouse) only, literally. That is why it is said that a husband “must not divorce” his wife so that both have this “opportunity” to “reconcile back”!

“To the married I give this command (not I, but the Lord): A wife must not separate from her husband. But if she does, she must remain unmarried or else be reconciled to her husband. And a husband must not divorce his wife.” (1 Corinthians 7:10-11)

Note (vocabulary):

1. Unmmarried = Anyone who has never married before (not necessarily a virgin).
2. Married = Anyone who is married.
3. Divorced = Anyone who was married but is now separated from his/her spouse.
4. Widow/widower = Anyone whose spouse has “died”.

I hope it’s clear. If the Bible mentions this conduct, true Christians follow it literally (obedience).

If it’s not important, apostle Paul would not have written the above under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit.

P/S: Don’t be deceived by cunning pastors & leaders who teach “otherwise”!

Remember,

“...Keeping God’s commands is what counts.” (1 Corinthians 7:19)

“If you love Me (Jesus), keep My commands.” (John 14:15)

There are “no difficulties” here. Only the “false teachings & traditions of men” make these simple and literal rules “difficult”.

chapter 51

Money (Mammon)

True missionaries are not allowed to take “money” for their work but may stay & receive “food” only as the wages of their work. Note that in the verse below it says that only those who “obey” this rule (being better than sacrifice) are “sent” to “preach” about the “Kingdom of God”. Here are the verses which declare this ‘literally’:

“and He sent them out to proclaim the kingdom of God and to heal the sick. He told them: “Take nothing for the journey - no staff, no bag, no bread, NO MONEY, no extra shirt” (Luke 9:2-3)

Read the verse below and note that the “wages” for the ‘worker’ (missionary) is literally spelled out by our Blessed Lord as “food & water only” (eating & drinking); yes, no money collections or love offerings are allowed under the ‘wages’ excuse. I repeat, the “wages” allowed as a missionary/evangelist is only “food & water” as said by God Himself for “His Work!”

“Stay there, eating and drinking whatever they give you, for the worker deserves his wages. Do not move around from house to house.” (Luke 10:7)

Break His Rule, and it’s a clear evidence you are not called by Him (literally). Remember, He doesn’t need you nor I to ‘Save the World’. God succeeds regardless!

P/S: Does that mean I cannot earn money? No! Read the verse and the above carefully. You may “make money” in the “world” or with “worldly things”. You only **CANNOT** make money with “God’s Things”; for example, “His Ministry”.

I say again, with all these ‘evidences’, yet they will hold on to lies & twist the word (by interpreting non-literally, idiomatically) to their own destruction; as they also do the rest of Scripture (as apostle Peter said too in 2 Peter 3:16)

Remember, if it were no big deal, Christ wouldn’t have opened His Mouth and told “no money” this explicitly. It’s like a clue as to who is the true missionary/evangelist who will follow all these rules. The “times have changed” excuse does not work because:

1. Back then when this rule was uttered by our Lord, people dealt with money and not barter trading.
2. God’s Rules for Ministry doesn’t change. If He meant for “those missionaries/evangelists”, He meant it for all; for there is no partiality with God.
3. Please don’t give me some “sad excuse” of “missionaries who have given their life up for Christ” (that’s sacrifice without obedience). These same “missionaries” gave up their life to prove that “God can’t save from an eternal hell”.
4. They wouldn’t consider the true missionaries such as Origen in ancient Christianity to Sadhu Sundar Singh (both Christian universalists) who also got “tortured & were martyred” for the Gospel where “God Saves All!”. Yes, these same “missionaries” (mentioned in 3 above) would ‘feel’ no remorse for such great martyrs of faith and even ‘call them as heretics’.
5. In modern eternal hell churches, you have to pay big sums of money to buy their books & CDs just to learn that God can’t save from eternal hell. Now you know why they’re not given Truth. As Christ said, “you either serve God or money (mammon)” - in (Matthew 6:24), literally .

chapter 52

Mother’s Love

The truth. Brace yourself.

A true mother’s love is “great”. A true wife’s/husband’s love is “greater”. God’s Love (being always True) is “Greatest”.

Proof? Simple. Children used to “ask” me whether God’s love is “greater” or a mother’s love? or are they the “same”? I answered back that child with a “question” too (who was severely indoctrinated by female chauvinists to worship a mother’s love):

“Why do think/say that your mother’s love is the greatest?” The child answered: “because only my mother will even die for me”. I remarked: “you got the part which says that a love which is willing to ‘die’ for you is the ‘greatest’, right!”

“However, I can prove to you that there are “loves” greater than your mother’s love”. The child inquisitively asked: “tell me, tell me, how?”

(Editor’s note; From this point onwards, let all the chauvinistic female readers “stop reading”. The males who do “mother worship” too may “stop here”. What you read next will “destroy” the “idol” of “mother worship”).

The Proof (as we say mathematically). I asked the child again: “You say that your mother’s love is greatest because she would even “die” for “you”. Fair enough, relative to “you”, her willingness to “die” for “you” proves that her love is the greatest with respect to that possible act of “dying” for “you”.”

I continued: “Would your mother die for me or your dad who has ‘left’ her?” Almost immediately the child answered: “No!”

I explained this Empirical truth: “You see, my child, your mother’s love is as ‘great’ as her willingness to die for her “own flesh”, which is “you”, her child”.

“Your mother would certainly not “die” for “me”, a “friend”, because she has no “blood or flesh” attachment to me”.

“Finally, your mother would not “die” for your “father” who has “left”. Both their “loves” expired on the day they separated.”

“That’s why your mother’s love is ‘great’ only. It has its ‘limits’ being ‘relative’ to it being demonstrated to her “own flesh & blood” only.”

“A wife/husband may also “die” for you in the future if she/he “truly” loves you too! I consider this ‘love’ greater because a wife/husband is willing to “die” for someone who is “not” even related to you by “blood or flesh!” That’s why I said that a wife/husband’s “true love” is “greater”.”

“It doesn’t end there, The Greatest Love is God’s Love in that He not only “died” for you, but also for your “mother”, your “runaway dad”, me, the rest of your “friends” and even for the “devils” themselves.

That’s why **God’s Love is “truly” the “Greatest”**. The end.”

Here are some verses which “prove” that literally: By prophet Isaiah:

“Can a mother forget the baby at her breast and have no compassion on the child she has borne? Though she may forget, I will not forget you!” (Isaiah 49:15)

From the greatest king amongst men, king David himself:

“Though my father and mother forsake me, the LORD will receive me.” (Psalm 27:10)

My posts are not good for “business”, I mean mother’s day “business”. Anyway, happy mother’s day! ... Hehehe.

chapter 53

Neo-Platonism

Some would accuse the believers in the true Gospel to be following a “neo-platonism” philosophy. By using this “big word”, they confuse the truth seekers to keep away from “Christ - centered universalism”. Firstly, I’m not a neo-platonist.

What is neoplatonism? Most commonly, you may say that in this philosophy (to quote), “God is completely inaccessible to human beings and that man can only come to see God through a spiritual journey in which knowledge [γνῶσις] is rejected in favour of meditation”.

That explains it. Those of you who know me personally would consider me quite the opposite to what is described as a characteristic of a neoplatonist. Even your pastors have declared “I’ve never seen him (me) praying or fasting” (how much more hours in ‘meditation’) but only “reading the bible” (knowledge of the Word of God).

I prefer knowledge of the Word above “meditation, prayer & fasting” because the Word comes from God whilst the latter (meditation, prayer & fasting) is dependent on “self based works”. I don’t trust myself (meditation, prayer & fasting) but rather God (Knowledge of His Word).

Your pastor sounds more like the neoplatonist here (the opposite). They reject reading the Word if using knowledge to interpret it (i.e using non contradictory interpretations, logical deductions and reasonable doctrines).

They deduce their contradictory, violent and unreasonable doctrines after much “fasting, prayer & meditation” instead of just taking the Word “literally”.

For an example, in Matthew 25:46, even ‘all’ their Greek professors know that ‘aionion’ (in Greek) means ‘age’ when taken “literally” instead of the erroneous translation “everlasting” when it’s taken as an “idiom” (an excuse, as though God taught idioms).

Don’t believe me? Check their ‘literal’ translation, interlinear or even ask their “authority in Greek” professors.

chapter 54

Noun - Adjective Agreement

The verses below (uttered by our Blessed Saviour) are erroneously handled by many translators (non-literal translation is done).

Firstly, our eternal hell camp wrongly translates these verses as follows (non-literal):

“Truly I tell you,” Jesus said to them, “no one who has left home or wife or brothers or sisters or parents or children for the sake of the kingdom of God will fail to receive many times as much in this age (aioni) and in the age to come eternal (aionion) life.”
(Luke 18:29-30)

If they were to “say” that the Greek adjective (aionion) is eternal, then to be consistent, the noun appearing in this same verse (aioni) must also mean eternity!

So, their ‘translation’ ought to read (consistently):

“ I tell you,” Jesus said to them, “no one who has left home or wife or brothers or sisters or parents or children for the sake of the kingdom of God will fail to receive many times as much in this eternity, and in the eternity to come eternal life.” (Luke 18:29-30)

See the “absurdity”? In Greek, the noun (aioni) and adjective (aionion) talk about the “same thing”, namely, “a period of time = age”. Thus the “correct & literal” translation of the verses would be:

“Truly I tell you,” Jesus said to them, “no one who has left home or wife or brothers or sisters or parents or children for the sake of the kingdom of God will fail to receive many times as much in this age, and in the age to come, age-during life.” (Luke 18:29-30)

Lastly, to argue that the “coming age” is “eternal”, is “wrong”. Why? Consider the two points below:

1. If Christ wanted to say that the coming age is ‘eternal’, the author would have inserted the true Greek word for eternity, “aidios” or its derivations as an adjective to mean it eternal. Alternately, the author could have used other Greek words such as the ones meaning “endless” or “deathless” (immortal) to describe the ‘eternal’ duration of the “coming age”. He didn’t & thus we are not to “add to the Word” and just take it “literally” as our Lord said.
2. If the coming age (singular) is ‘eternal’ or an ‘eternal age’, then it would “contradict” another powerful verse in the Bible which “literally” states that there are “ages (aiosin; plural - more than 1) to come” implying that each of this age must be of ‘limited time’, especially the “coming age” (next age).

Here’s that verse, “literally”:

“in order that in the coming ages (aiosin) He might show the incomparable riches of His grace, expressed in His kindness to us in Christ Jesus.” (Ephesians 2:7)

Now you know why the ancient “Greek fathers” themselves such as Clement of Alexandria & Origen were all believers in the True Gospel (the real authorities in “Greek” back at that time!)

chapter 55

Only Ones

History has repeated itself. We are not the ‘only ones’ who will be saved by the Grace of God. We are not the ‘only ones’ whom Christ came to save. In the past, with the same set of Scriptures, the Jews (Pharisees & Sadducees) came up with all the ‘wrong’ teachings especially thinking that they are the ‘only ones’.

Today, Christianity has fallen into the same ‘deception’ and made the same mistake as the Jews of the past (whom they often ridicule). Christians think that they are the ‘only ones’ whom Christ came to save.

In short, the Jews thought that they were the ‘only ones’ whom God loved and wanted to save (‘salvation is of the Jews’) whilst the Christians have broadened God’s plan of salvation ‘a little’ by claiming that they are now the ‘only ones’ whom God loves and wants to save (‘Salvation is of the Christians’).

Both the Jews and Christians who hold to this line of thought are wrong and are against Christ. The Jewish error implies that ‘God is a racist’ (simply because you must be born into the Jewish race to be a Jew whom God loves/saves) while the Christian error implies that ‘God is dogmatic’ (because you must be in the ‘right denomination(s)’) and those who are ‘wrong’ will be ‘fried eternally’ in the ‘fire’ with ‘no chance’ of ‘salvation at all’.

As Clement of Alexandria echoed in the past, Christ saves ‘all’ (men or angels) but some with dignity and honour (believers) whilst others He converts through punishments (non – believers & apostate angels) implying that both sentences in the ‘Judgment’ (reward & punishment) are actually with the same One purpose of God, which is to ‘save the world’.

In the context of men, I’ll prove to you with ‘two verses’ that are very commonly erred:

“For it is by grace you have been saved, through faith - and this is not from yourselves, it is the gift of God - not by works, so that no one can boast.” (Ephesians 2:8-9)

“For the grace of God has appeared, bringing salvation to all men,” (Titus 2:11)

The first verse above (Ephesians 2:8-9) tells us that the ‘you’ (in context means ‘believers’) implying that Christians are saved by the ‘Grace of God’ through ‘faith’. They are saved through ‘faith’ simply because they are saved in this ‘temporal life’ itself ‘before they die’ and since they cannot/haven’t seen it, they receive the ‘salvation of their souls’ (which is the ‘end’ of their ‘faith’ - 1 Peter 1:9) by ‘faith’ (without seeing).

The second verse stated above (Titus 2:11) clearly states that ‘all men’ (whether believer or non-believer) will attain ‘salvation’ through the ‘Grace of God’ which we know is manifested through Christ Jesus alone.

In detail, ‘all men’ (not ‘some men’) will attain salvation (after judgment) by the ‘Grace of God’ but ‘believers’ attain it first (through faith - on earth itself - without ‘seeing’) whilst the non-believers (the

rest) attain it (without faith) but rather just by ‘God’s Grace’ alone through Lord Jesus Christ. Indeed, Amazing Grace!

Peter echoes mysteriously,

“for this also to dead men was good news proclaimed, that they may be judged, indeed, according to men in the flesh, and may live according to God in the spirit.” (1 Peter 4:6)

And Paul also does the same about the ‘spirit’ of man being ‘saved’ even after the flesh (body and soul) be destroyed by Satan (in hell):

“To deliver such a one unto Satan for the destruction of the flesh, that his spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus.” (1 Corinthians 5:5)

Note that ‘deliver to Satan’ (literally) to ‘destroy flesh’ (literally) only happens in ‘hell’ (as Lord Jesus Himself said that, “And fear not them which kill the body, but are not able to kill the soul: but rather fear him which is able to destroy both soul and body in hell.” (Matthew 10:28)).

Note also that the ‘Judgment’ (the act of delivering one to Satan to be destroyed in the flesh at hell) is with the intent of ‘salvation’ (even in the verses above) signified by the ‘outcome’ that the person who suffers it, may have his ‘spirit’ alive (true existence - life itself) - ‘live in the spirit according to God’ (1 Peter 4:6) or that ‘his spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus’ (1 Corinthians 5:5).

Indeed, **Amazing Grace!**

chapter 56

OT vs NT

Part 1 - Know your religion: Ritualistic acts in Christianity?

I remember a time when a Christian tried to influence me by stating that God intended us to be “vegetarians”. His logic: “You see, eating meat is a choice. I feel pity for the animals which have to be killed to become food for us. Hence I feel that the Lord is leading me ‘higher in the spirit’ to become a vegetarian”.

My reply: “Lol” (Laughing out Loud). Dude, get real. If God found that killing animals for food is wrong, then He wouldn’t have created the lion (for evolutionists, the argument still holds true because ‘natural selection’ made the lion 100% meat eating with no choice).

By the way, the lion doesn’t kill its prey “with care”. Anyway, don’t be deceived by such “new” teachings attempted by cunning men who have crept in to deceive the Ekklesia (Called – out ones).

I told ya, the devil will create the “dumbest” false teachings and the ‘herd’ will go straight over the cliff to believe it. You have been “bewitched” (unable to use your brains to ‘think’ and ‘reason’).

True Christianity has “no” such “don’t eat meat rules”.

Regarding food, a Christian is only prohibited to:

1. Not eat strangled animals (due to the cruel way they were killed)
2. Not eat/drink blood (for blood is the life of an animal)
3. Avoid as much as possible food offered to idols (for conscience sake, respecting the people of other religions by not partaking to their ritualistic food & also to not stumble other brothers in Christ by doing so) - See Acts 15:29 below:

“to abstain from things offered to idols, and blood, and a strangled thing, and whoredom; from which keeping yourselves, ye shall do well; be strong!” (Acts 15:29)

“Don’t let anyone judge you in food (apart from the written New Testament rules above) or even in keeping the Sabbath (e.g. “do you go to church on Sundays to keep the Sabbath?” - all such ritualistic laws are abolished together with the Old Testament) as the verse below “literally” states:

“Let no one, then, judge you in eating or in drinking, or in respect of a feast, or of a new moon, or of Sabbaths,” (Colossians 2:16)

P/S: The choice of a person to be a vegetarian or not is entirely their own but has nothing to do with True Christianity! (fact) Similarly, any “assembling of yourselves together” (Hebrews 10:25) in True Christianity is out of freewill choice and not out of a religious obligation to keep the Sabbath under the New Covenant!

Lastly, freewill offering given out to help the “poor” (regardless of whether they are Christian or not, pastor or not etc.) is Scriptural but the collection of tithes in the Name of God (taking it in vain) is entirely not Scriptural under the New Testament age’s Covenant!

Simply put here’s a list of “some” reasons why tithes (mandatory/forced giving of 10% of one’s income) is not Scriptural under the New Testament:

1. It’s not mentioned as a rule in the New Testament. The time when Christ mentions it to the Pharisees is when the Old Testament was still in force (not ended yet). On the night Christ was betrayed, the nullifying (coming to an end) of the Old Testament’s ritualistic laws began as He made the “New Covenant” (or New Testament in His Blood - Matthew 26:28) during the Last Supper.
2. Tithes was an Old Testament Covenant for the “Jews only” (e.g. God mentions that it’s equivalent to “robbing Him” when a Jew does not pay his tithes as written in the Book of Malachi). The King of Salem (Melchizedek - Hebrews 7:1) is probably the only non-Jew who paid tithes (willingly - no Mosaic Covenant yet) to Abraham (the first Jew). The Mosaic Covenant enforced or made tithing a rule for “Jews only”.
3. Here’s a verse agreeing with (1) that the Old Testament Covenant has been “abolished” (and ready to vanish away) and is replaced by the “New Covenant”:

“in the saying ‘new,’ He hath made the first old, and what doth become obsolete and is old is nigh disappearing.” (Hebrews 8:13)

4. Only Levites could collect tithes and not your pastors (who are not Levites!). By the way, the Levitical priesthood has also ended. Here’s the verse which correlates to that beautifully (hence no one is a Levite anymore with its priesthood having ended):

“If indeed, then, perfection were through the Levitical priesthood - for the people under it had received law - what further need, according to the order of Melchizedek, for another priest to arise, and not to be called according to the order of Aaron? for the priesthood being changed, of necessity also, of the law a change doth come...for a disannulling indeed doth come of the command going before because of its weakness, and unprofitableness,” (Hebrews 7:11-12, 18)

And please, the New Priesthood in the order of Melchizedek (where Christ Willingly opted for it - Hebrews 7:21-22) has only One Priest, the High Priest Himself, Lord Jesus Christ! (The phrases such as “spiritual Levites” commonly used by your pastors who claim to be priests etc are not found in New Testament Scripture!)

The commonly misinterpreted verse below actually talks about (all believers and not a select few) being kings and priests in His Kingdom (not in this age on earth - and certainly not referring to your pastor’s ordination! - a pastor and a priest are different roles!). It’s a prophecy for the coming age:

“and didst make us to our God kings and priests, and we shall reign upon the earth.” (Revelation 5:10)

In short, please don’t be deceived!

Note (abbreviations):

OT = Old Testament (Old Covenant)

NT = New Testament (New Covenant)

Part 2 – The difference between OT and NT

Regarding the OT and NT laws, it’s simple: All “ritualistic laws” (Sabbath, tithes, slaughtering sheep etc.) have “ended” because the author of Hebrews clearly writes that the “age-during Mosaic Covenant” (not an ‘eternal’ one) has been “abolished; obsolete; ready to vanish away – (Hebrews 8:13). By the way, all those “ritualistic laws” defined by the Mosaic Covenant (OT) was “only” for the Jews (not Gentiles).

That’s why circumcision (the first covenant usually fulfilled for a male child) in itself “avails to nothing” (in Christ; under the NT Covenant -

Galatians 5:6). In Colossians 2:16, we find apostle Paul stating clearly that even the “Sabbaths” are “not” a law under NT because it states that “Let no one, then, judge you in eating or in drinking, or in respect of a feast, or of a new moon, or of Sabbaths,”.

Logical flaw: To say otherwise (that since Sabbath is mentioned, thus it must be an ancient practice of the church) implies equivalently that the other things which are mentioned in Colossians 2:16 such as a feast or a new moon must also then be ritualistic acts of the ancient church - which is not true.

Note that these (Sabbaths, New Moon, Feast etc.) are mentioned not in the sense of apostle Paul exhorting true believers to keep them but rather to not do them (note the phrase: let no one judge you). Paul probably mentioned these only because it may be a case that some in the ancient church of the Colossians were indulging into these non-Biblical ritualistic acts which are against the NT Covenant!

All giving (2 Corinthians 9:7) or “assembling together” (Hebrews 10:25) under the NT Covenant is to be done “willingly” (not as a result of obeying any “ritualistic law” - having thus ended in Christ Who alone fulfilled them all Perfectly without us being required to fulfil them by ourselves anymore - Matthew 5:17; Romans 10:4).

The “Knowledge of sin” (based on the OT Law) remains because those are “non-ritualistic” in nature. Sin (missing the mark) is always sin regardless of the Covenant (OT or NT) because it’s against God’s Holiness (without which no one will see the Lord - Hebrews 12:14).

Now that’s why the NT maintains sexual immorality (whoredom, adultery or homosexuality), idolatry, etc. as against living Holy and are sins! In Acts 15:29, the authorities in the earliest church stated that the “ritualistic laws” which still needed to be adhered to (by the Gentiles - of course the believing Jews as well) are:

1. Do not eat strangled food (probably due to the cruel way these animals were killed)
2. Do not consume blood (because life is in the blood)
3. Abstain from food offered to idols (probably due to respecting 'people' in other religions by not participating in their ritualistic meals simply because we don't believe in what they believe & also so that we may not stumble a weaker brethren in Christ - the Law of Love - answer to the infamous question, "why my liberty or freedom is judged by another?" Because to Love them like Lord Jesus Christ; the only Perfect One! - 1 Corinthians 10:29).

Part 3 – FAQ

Please allow me to demonstrate how to answer some FAQs. (Other FAQs not mentioned here may be handled in like manner)

FAQ: "No verse says that I can't do them"

For example, "No verse in the Bible says you should bathe twice in a day and don't skip breakfast or that we should sleep at least 8 hours a day. No verse in the Bible says that "smoking cigarettes or weed" is a sin or even having tattoos as well (except as an OT ritualistic Law which is already nullified under the NT)".

Reasonable Answers:

- i. The Bible doesn't say the opposite too: namely, Smoking or Tattooing is right or allowed under the NT Covenant!
- ii. Another logical reason why smoking is not mentioned in the bible is simply because there were no cigarettes back then! (no point in God issuing a rule when that sin is 'non-existent' back then).

If smoking weed was present, a reason why it may have not been mentioned is because it might not have been a practice amongst any of the new believers back then.

- iii. Regarding whether you bathe twice a day, the Bible doesn't mention it (true). This means that you can choose to do it as you like (say bathe 3 or 4 times) or don't do it at all (it's your freewill choice). However, your body may stink and succumbing to such unhygienic practices, you may fall sick!
- iv. Excuses such as "God knows my heart" or "God understands my intent" are commonly used to contradict conducts which are clearly spelled out in the NT such as, "marrying after divorced is wrong" (see the Chapter on Marry - Remarry).

However, for those Christians who still choose to disobey, leave them alone. God will judge them righteously. Don't take matters into your own hands but just know that it's against NT verses and do ensure that you don't fall into this pit of sin.

No one is led by the Spirit to go against these verses aforementioned simply because, "the Voice of God does not contradict the Word of God". By the traditions of men, they make the Word of God to no effect.

- v. Drinking alcohol is a sign of immature faith. Consumption in itself is not sin but the thoughts, words and actions which often follow it lead to sin. It is not uncommon to hear one reminiscing about the reason one did or indulged in certain sins is due to moments of irrationality which arose as a result of alcohol consumption. This is what it means to being unwise as the verse below declares:

"Wine is a mocker and beer a brawler; whoever is led astray by them is not wise." (Proverbs 20:1)

We can see this requirement for a mature faith laid down with all subtlety when we compare the qualifications needed for a deacon (lower) and an overseer (higher) in the verses below (when taken "literally"):

It is written in Scripture that a deacon (ministrant) is “not given to much wine” (1 Timothy 3:8) while an overseer (elder; bishop) is “not given to wine” (1 Timothy 3:3) at all!

A different phrase used in each of the verses above means that a deacon may drink alcohol but not too much of it while an overseer cannot!

Remember, “and be not drunk with wine, in which is dissoluteness, but be filled in the Spirit,” (Ephesians 5:18)

Tattooing may be viewed in like manner. In itself, it may not be a sin since all ritualistic laws are nullified with the OT. However, it’s a sign of immature faith simply because it’s an exercise of self – indulgence without caring about other Christians who may stumble while making our bodies dirty (with vulgar pictures, non-Biblical quotes or prideful statements) which is supposed to be the Temple of the Holy Spirit of God (to be kept holy):

“Have ye not known that your body is a sanctuary of the Holy Spirit in you, which ye have from God? and ye are not your own,” (1 Corinthians 6:19)

I strongly disagree with the tattooing of Bible verses on one’s body simply because it shows no reverence for His Holy Word (which is not to make you look pretty nor for you to exhibit them in such manner - it’s not your own words - you have no right! Better to put your own quotes on thy bodies! Perfection, no tattoos at all!). By the way, please don’t twist the verse below by stating that it justifies tattooing:

“On His robe and on His thigh He (Lord Jesus) has this Name written: King of kings and Lord of lords.” (Revelation 19:16)

Lord Jesus having “King of kings and Lord of lords” written on His Thigh does not justify you and I to have a tattoo simply because it’s done in the spiritual realm and not while He was on Earth. If God sees

it fit for you to have one too, you may have it in heaven (or the spiritual realm) as the “literal” context of that verse allows!

For those who have already done it (knowingly or unknowingly), let God judge them. But I write here for your admonition so that you may not fall into this snare which retards the growth of your faith. Be wise (redeeming the time):

“But wisdom is proved right by all her children.” - Lord Jesus Christ in (Luke 7:35)

Lastly, don’t be deceived into keeping any of the religious laws of the OT because by doing so, you may actually be condemned as the verse below “literally” states:

“For whoever keeps the whole law and yet stumbles at just one point is guilty of breaking all of it.” (James 2:10)

In conclusion: *“so thoughtless are ye! having begun in the Spirit, now in the flesh do ye end?” (Galatians 3:3)*

Part 4 - The Biblical Law of Love – 1 Corinthians 10:29 (literally - by an example)

Example: Tattoos

1. My tattoos have helped me reach out to some curious onlookers as well as helped open a door for me to preach the gospel (where I described that God would roast you eternally in hell without any purpose forever and ever). He can tolerate me having tattoos but He can’t tolerate your unbelief which is why He plans to roast you without end! - Bad!
2. I’ve seen “weak” Christians stumble (or leave a Church) because the pastor who was invited to preach said “tattoos are ok with God”. Actually, I’m kinda unsure who is the “weaker” Christian,

“the tattooed pastor” or the one “who left the church”? Regardless, I guess that’s why we have both types of churches - with a pastor claiming that tattooing is right while the other that it is wrong (one may pick and choose which Jesus one agrees with - for it is impossible for the Holy Spirit of God to simultaneously state both tattooing and not tattooing are right at the “same time”)

3. No tattoo at all. I’ve never heard either of the below:
 - Because you have no tattoo, you’re stumbling me
 - Because you have no tattoo, it doesn’t bring glory to Jesus
 Don’t be deceived by “at least someone was brought to Christ because of my tattoos” (a house built on sand - for we don’t lure men by tattoos to Christ).

In other words, “the end does not justify the means, Biblically speaking”. That’s why in certain parables, Christ clearly warns of only the one who does the “Will” (of God) is “approved”.

By one who does the “Will of God”, it means that even in how the Gospel is preached or spread, it must be in accordance to His Word with all Holiness & Purity (even Perfection - to attain the house built upon the Rock).

To “win a soul by tattoo” is just as feeble as trying to use that soul as a “human shield” thinking that God will protect the “tattoo-preacher” regardless. God is not mocked. It’s obvious (even to us - this intent of trying to justify the wrongdoing of advocating tattoos in Christianity by telling testimonies of how “tattoos” were used to “win souls for Christ”).

They are “not” really won (absolutely) simply because their faith is built upon the “assumption that tattoos are ok with God” (take this assumption away and the houses of sand comes tumbling down - without which, they would’ve rejected Christ!).

In short, they have faith in Christ through tattoos (Lol). That’s why, “Truth alone is Perfect”. The Law of Love, “why is my freedom judged by the conscience of another?” holds true (they argue without consideration of others by saying, “tattooing is my right. If anyone is gonna stumble by my tattoos, it’s their problem - not mine”).

Truth alone is Perfect because, the opposite is True: “No one ever got their faith in Christ stumbled simply because a True Christian does not have a tattoo” (that’s why, stick to this principle!)
 Don’t condemn those who already have tattoos. But you, take it as an admonition and strive towards the perfection which is found in Christ alone!

God Will Judge All Righteously (including me).

P/S: No one ever “stumbled” (till they left Christ) because they saw the misdemeanour of obesity or lack of exercise. If so, please provide proof. But the opposite that a few left Christ due to this tattooing issue is true. Thus be careful of your analogies too! (Atheists leave Christ primarily due to the eternal hell lie but also add on explanations such as obesity/gluttony/lack of exercise among Christians as something silly relative to the concept of eternal hell. That’s all).

chapter 57
Pearls To Swine

Lord Jesus said: *“Don’t cast pearls to swine”* in (Matthew 7:6)

What is the pearl and who is the swine?

Two possibilities:

Possibility 1.

Pearl = Eternal hell’s “save myself” only plan.

Swine = True Gospel’s foolish “save all” plan. - believers

Possibility 2.

Pearl = True Gospel’s foolish “save all” plan.

Swine = Eternal hell’s “save myself” only plan. - believers

Who is right?

You decide for yourselves.

Clue: A swine only thinks about “itself” (e.g. analogous to “save himself” - a.k.a. personal salvation as opposed to universal salvation through Christ alone).

Can you “see” it now?

chapter 58
Perfect Fruits (Matthew 5:48)

The Bible also warned that Satan's ministers can be transformed into "*ministers of righteousness*" (2 Corinthians 11:15).

So, how do we decide "who is who"? Simple: It is determined by "to whom" one really "serves" (literally). Christ told that one either serves "God" or "Money" (Mammon) as written in (Matthew 6:24).

Thus one's "fruits" are to be judged in light of this:

1. Things of this world - these things may be used for monetary success but without deceit, extortion and sin. Hence nothing wrong in becoming rich by doing the "works" of this world, e.g. running a business or earning through a desk job.
2. Things of God - these things cannot and must never be used to make "money". That's why Christ got very angry (only time recorded such in the Bible - Matthew 21:12) in which he drove the "money" making businesses which were done "outside/around" His Temple (how much more doing business/selling inside the church in His Name?)
3. Continuation on the things of God - again, these things are to be given back to God as Lord Jesus also instructed, "render to Caesar the things which are Caesar's and to God the things which are God's" (Matthew 22:21).

4. How do we give back to God the things which are His? Our Blessed Saviour answered thus, “freely you have received, freely give” (Matthew 10:8).

In other words, the things which are God-Given (e.g. the ones which pertain to the Work of God such as Theological Teachings, Teaching Christian Music, the gift of writing/composing Christian songs, the gifts of prophecy, casting out of demons, healing etc must all be given “free” (literally) with no “hypocrisy” (meaning no freewill receiving of “money” nor “love offerings” which are all contrary to His Holy Word).

The only “wages” for a true missionary of Christ (as it is written in the Bible, literally) is “food & drinks” (in Luke 10:7 - His Rules does not change in time only lies evolve. Truth remains the same in Him).

A note: The rule is the same for everyone. God doesn’t suddenly whisper into a pastor’s ear and teach contrary to the verses stated in the Original Greek Bible. Don’t be deceived. Remember, the Voice of God does not contradict the Word of God.

I will end with an example to illustrate the “fruits” between two Christians:

1. Type 1 Christian - Gives some things of which God has given him free (taking no payment for his services or taking no salary for his servitude) but receives “love offerings, tithes, freewill monetary gifts - for the work of “god” which he thinks he has done”. Quite commonly exclaims, “all glory to god” after doing such so that everyone who hears that phrase gets duped into thinking that such acts were right and Biblical.
2. Type 2 Christian - Gives all things which is of God (e.g. Theological teachings & music) absolutely free with no strings nor hidden agendas attached. Does not collect any “love offerings,

tithes nor any freewill monetary gifts” for those Work of God done simply because it is done in Light and Truth in accordance to the Commands of Christ aforementioned.

Which Christian are you? As usual, you decide for yourselves which is “right”.

P/S: Money is the lifeblood of all false teachings in this present age (aion). Remove the money and all these false teachings together with the gods which authored them will “die”.

The True and Living God doesn’t need you, me nor money to Save the World or to do His Work in Truth. It’s just a privilege for True believers to use “our money” (relatively speaking) to give the gifts (which God gave absolutely) to do the good works (good fruits) in “honour” for Him seeking our own immortality and life too as the verse (below) says:

“to those, indeed, who in continuance of a good work, do seek glory, and honour, and incorruptibility - life age-during.” (Romans 2:7)

P/S 2: Note that in the verse above (in Romans 2:7), though we do the “good works” hoping to merit immortality (seeking it), we’re only conferred the “age-during life”, which is life in the coming age as a reward for our “obedience to Him” (as a reward to both our faith and works - literally). Note also that our “good works” does not merit “immortality” (incorruptibility) but rather just the “fair reward” of “age-during life”.

P/S 3: The Best case study for this Perfect principle in the Bible: Apostle Paul.

Apostle Paul himself did not use the “going full time for God excuse” but rather humbled himself (not abusing the authority of being the apostle to the Gentiles) by even working a simple job as a “tentmaker”

(Acts 18:3) in order to provide for his “own needs” as the verse below “literally” states:

“You yourselves know that these hands of mine (Paul) have supplied my own needs and the needs of my companions.” (Acts 20:34)

Now, the “collections” which Paul took, he states honestly that he used it to build “other churches = you” (called out groups - Ekklesia) and no hint of it being used for his “own sustenance*” (salary or wages in money) - “literally” in the Bible again:

“I robbed other churches by receiving support from them so as to serve you.” (2 Corinthians 11:8)

*If ever apostle Paul used the money collected from other churches to “feed himself”, it just proves that he is human and it does “not” contradict the “Perfect” principles which God (in Christ) has underlined for “His True Work”.

chapter 59

Prisoners

“...Who did not allow his prisoners to go home?” (Isaiah 14:17).

Who did not allow his prisoners (in hell/hades/sheol) to go ‘free’ in the verse above? Lucifer (morning star) - can you see who wants ‘hell’ to be ‘eternal’? or shall I say, can you see from whom ‘eternal hell’ theology originates from? (Implied literally from lucifer’s intention of not wanting (his will) that any should go ‘home’ (be freed!).

Good thing it doesn’t ‘end’ there...The One with the Spirit of God (Lord Jesus only) came to do the opposite:

“...Good News...to proclaim liberty to the captives, and the opening of the prison to them that are bound;” (Isaiah 61:1)

Can you ‘see’ who didn’t want to free his prisoners in ‘hell’ (Lucifer) whilst Who came to set the prisoners ‘free’ (Lord Jesus Himself)! Hope you can see that Lucifer wants “hell to be eternal” whilst Christ wants to set free those prisoners in hell (since in any vision of hell, one can clearly see that Lucifer has them in prison there - his dominion - and tortures them)...

Christ wants all to be reconciled (Colossians 1:20) and He will not ‘fail’. He doesn’t ‘try’, He is Faithful and True to finish the work bringing about the promised conclusion in ‘time’.

Conclusion:

The 'Good News' is He will set all the prisoners of Satan in hell to go free and destroy Hell!

Who is 'He'? As usual, none other than Lord Jesus Christ!
Indeed, let's sing: **"Be welcome Home!"**

chapter 60

Prosperity

Five thoughts of the day: (My favorite 'REAL' daily life verses)

"Let a man so account of us, as of the ministers of Christ, and stewards of the mysteries of God." (1 Corinthians 4:1)

"For I think that God hath set forth us the apostles last, as it were appointed to death: for we are made a spectacle unto the world, and to angels, and to men." (1 Corinthians 4:9)

"Even unto this present hour we both hunger, and thirst, and are naked, and are buffeted, and have no certain dwelling place;" (1 Corinthians 4:11)

"And labour, working with our own hands: being reviled, we bless; being persecuted, we suffer it." (1 Corinthians 4:12)

"Being defamed, we entreat: we are made as the filth of the world, and are the offscouring of all things unto this day." (1 Corinthians 4:13)

chapter 61

Protests & Demonstrations

Can a True Christian do street demonstrations/protests?

Firstly, I'm hearing the "cries" of some Christian pastors who are "requesting peaceful street protests" against "ISIS", for example. Please don't be tricked by these cunning men who will "watch from a distance" whilst you "risk your life" participating in these demonstrations. Even if they join it, it doesn't make it "right according to Christ's commands" as we shall see briefly. Before you know it, when the situation gets out of hand due to their "provoking", they'll be "praying" for "peace" instead. An irony indeed. Be "wise".

Secondly, for the record, I don't believe in any form of "resistance" (peaceful or not) even against evil in this age because the Lord commanded:

"But I (Lord Jesus) tell you, do not resist an evil person..."
(Matthew 5:39)

True Christianity has "no resistance" in the form of "street protests/demonstrations" for example. Christ only "voiced out" (spoke against evil) whilst on earth. Thus true Christians may only "speak" (in words) against evil not "fight it with force" under this New Testament age's covenant.

Now, this means that:

1. If I “live” oppressed under evil which takes advantage of my “no resistance”, it’s hard but it also becomes a glorious opportunity to practice the beatitudes such as “Love your enemies etc...”. I know, “easier said than done”.
2. If I “die” under this oppression, then I get the chance to become a “true” martyr for Christ who believed that even the enemies who murder me must one day be reconciled to God after their period of correction in the lake of fire itself.

My point is this: Even in facing evil, we can view it as an opportunity to “do good” and demonstrate with our “actions” what it “really” or “truly” means to “follow Christ” our Blessed Saviour who has already set the precedence for this when He laid down His Life on The Cross at Calvary!

Here are two “relevant” verses for your consideration:

1. *“redeeming the time, because the days are evil;” (Ephesians 5:16)*
2. *“Walk with wisdom towards them that are without, redeeming the time.” (Colossians 4:5)*

Decide for yourselves whether you want to obey your pastor or Lord Jesus Christ!

chapter 62

Punishment Of Men (aionion kolasin)

This phrase is very important to mankind because it is what describes the punishment of the wicked (non – believer) when the sentence is read out on Judgment Day. It is found in Matthew 25:46 and is uttered by Lord Jesus Himself:

“And these (wicked) will go away into age-during punishment while the righteous into age-during life.” (Matthew 25:46)

Age-during punishment in Greek above, transliterated, is the phrase ‘aionion kolasin’. Note that in Greek, for the aionion which occurs in Matthew 25:46, ‘aionion’ : (Adjective – Singular – Accusative – Feminine)

And thus it means ‘age – during’ or ‘age – abiding’, literally. I would quote below the excellent write-up on ‘aionion kolasin’ by Rev. Dr John Wesley Hanson (from his book Aion-Aionous) :

JEWISH GREEK USAGE.

Those Jews who were contemporary with Christ, but who wrote in Greek, will teach us how they understood the word. Of course when Jesus used it, he employed it as they understood it. Josephus applies the word to the imprisonment to which John the tyrant was condemned by the Romans; to the reputation of Herod; to the everlasting memorial

erected in re-building the temple, already destroyed, when he wrote; to the everlasting worship in the temple which, in the same sentence he says was destroyed; and he styles the time between the promulgation of the law and his writing a long aión.

To accuse him of attaching any other meaning than that of indefinite duration to the word, is to accuse him of stultifying himself. But when he writes to describe endless duration he employs other, and less equivocal terms.

Alluding to the Pharisees, he says: “They believe that the wicked are detained in an everlasting prison [eirgmon aidion] subject to eternal punishment” [aidios timoria]; and the Essenes [another Jewish sect] “allotted to bad souls a dark, tempestuous place, full of never-ceasing punishment [timoria adialeipton], where they suffer a deathless punishment, [athanaton timorian].”

It is true he sometimes applies aiónion to punishment, but this is not his usual custom, and he seems to have done this as one might use the word great to denote eternal duration, that is an indefinite term to describe infinity. But aidion and athanaton are his favorite terms. These are unequivocal. Were only aiónion used to define the Jewish idea of the duration of future punishment, we should have no proof that it was supposed to be endless.

Philo, who was contemporary with Christ, generally used aidion to denote endless, and always used aiónion to describe temporary duration. Dr. Mangey, in his edition of Philo, says he never used aiónion to interminable duration. He uses the exact phraseology of Matthew, xxv:46, precisely as Christ used it. “It is better not to promise than not to give prompt assistance, for no blame follows in the former case, but in the latter there is dissatisfaction from the weaker class, and a deep hatred and everlasting punishment [kolasis aiónios] from such as

are more powerful.” Here we have the exact terms employed by our Lord, to show that aiónion did not mean endless but did mean limited duration in the time of Christ.

Philo always uses athanaton, ateleuteton or aidion to denote endless, and aiónion for temporary duration. Stephens, in his Thesaurus, quotes from a Jewish work, [Solom. Parab.] “These they called aiónios, hearing that they had performed the sacred rites for three entire generations.”

This shows conclusively that the expression “three generations” was then one full equivalent of aiónion. Now these eminent scholars were Jews who wrote in Greek, and who certainly knew the meaning of the words they employed, and they give to the aionian words the meaning that we are contending for, indefinite duration, to be determined by the subject.

Thus the Jews of our Savior’s time avoided using the word aiónion to denote endless duration, for applied all through the Bible to temporary affairs, it would not teach it. If Jesus intended to teach the doctrine held by the Jews, would he not have used the terms they used? Assuredly; but he did not. He threatened age-lasting, or long-enduring discipline to the believers in endless punishment.

Aiónion was his word while theirs was aidion, adialeipton, or athanaton, -- thus rejecting their doctrines by not only not employing their phraseology, but by using always and only those words connected with punishment, that denote limited suffering.

And, still further to show that he had no sympathy with those cruel men who procured his death, Jesus said to his disciples: “Take heed and beware of the leaven [doctrine] of the Pharisees and the Sadducees” [believers in endless misery and believers in destruction].

Had aiónion been the strongest word, especially had it denoted endless duration, who does not see that it would have been in general use as applied to punishment, by the Jewish Greeks of nineteen centuries ago?

THE WORD TRANSLATED PUNISHMENT MEANS IMPROVEMENT. The word is Kolasin. It is thus authoritatively defined: Greenfield, “Chastisement, punishment.” Hedericus, “The trimming of the luzuriant branches of a tree or vine to improve it and make it fruitful.” Donnegan, “The act of clipping or pruning - restriction, restraint, reproof, check, chastisement.”

Grotius, “The kind of punishment which tends to the improvement of the criminal, is what the Greek philosophers called kolasis or chastisement.” Liddell, “Pruning, checking, punishment, chastisement, correction.” Max Muller, “Do we want to know what was uppermost in the minds of those who formed the word for punishment, the Latin pæna orpunio, to punish, the root pu in Sanscrit, which means to cleanse, to purify, tells us that the Latin derivation was originally formed, not to express mere striking or torture, but cleansing, correcting, delivering from the stain of sin.”

That it had this meaning in Greek usage we cite Plato: “For the natural or accidental evils of others, no one gets angry, or admonishes, or teaches or punishes (kolazei) them, but we pity those afflicted with such misfortunes. ** For if, O Socrates, you will consider what is the design of punishing (kolazein) the wicked, this of itself will show you that men think virtue something that may be acquired; for no one punishes (kolazei) the wicked, looking to the past only, simply for the wrong he has done,--that is, no one does this thing who does not act LIKE A WILD BEAST, desiring only revenge, without thought --hence he who seeks to punish (kolazein) with reason, does not punish for the sake of the past wrong deed, ** but for the sake of the future, that

neither the man himself who is punished, may do wrong again, nor any other who has seen him chastised. And he who entertains this thought, must believe that virtue may be taught, and he punishes (kolazei) for the purpose of deterring from wickedness.”

Like many other words this is not always used in its exact and full sense. The apocrypha employs it as the synonym of suffering, regardless of reformation. See Wis. iii:11, xvi:1; I Mac. vii:7. See also Josephus.

It is found but four times in the New Testament. Acts iv:21, the Jews let John and Peter go, “finding nothing further how they might punish them” (kolazo). Did they not aim to reform them? Was not their punishment to cause them to return to the Jewish fold? From their standpoint the word was certainly used to convey the idea of reformation. 1 John iv:18. “Fear hath torment.”

Here the word “torment” should be restraint. It is thus translated in the Emphatic Diaglot. The idea is, if we have perfect love we do not fear God, but if we fear we are restrained from loving him. “Fear hath restraint.” The word is used here with but one of its meanings. In 2 Peter ii:9, the apostle uses the word as our Lord did: the unjust are reserved unto the day of judgment to be punished (kolazomenous).

This accords exactly with the lexicography of the word, and the general usage in the Bible and in Greek literature agrees with the meaning given by the lexicographers. Now, though the word rendered punishment is sometimes used to signify suffering alone, by Josephus and others, surely Divine inspiration will use it in its exact sense.

We must therefore be certain that in the New Testament, when used by Jesus to designate divine punishment, it is generally used with its full meaning. The lexicographers and Plato, above, show us what that is, suffering, restraint, followed by correction, improvement.

From this meaning of the word, torment is by no means excluded. God does indeed torment his children when they go astray. He is a “consuming fire,” and burns with terrible severity towards us when we sin, but it is not because he hates but because he loves us. He is a refiner’s fire tormenting the immortal gold of humanity in the crucible of punishment, until the dross of sin is purged away.

Mal. 2:2,3, “But who may abide the day of his coming? and who shall stand when he appeareth? for he is like a refiner’s fire and like fuller’s soap. And he shall sit as a refiner and purifier of silver: and he shall purify the sons of Levi, and purge them as gold or silver, that they may offer unto the Lord an offering in righteousness.”

Therefore kolasis is just the word to describe his punishments. They do for the soul what pruning does for the tree, what the crucible of the refiner does for the silver ore.

chapter 63

Reality - Violence Or Non-Violence

Which is accepting Reality for a Bible Believing Christian: Violence or Non-Violence?

For example: Legendary king David was “right” in launching wars and eliminating evil men by “killing them” (being violent) solving the problem of evil “temporarily” (by defeating it at that point in time).

The Legend (God Himself in the Flesh), Lord Jesus Christ is “Perfect” in teaching absolute Love exhibited in non-violence (with no killing of others whatsoever but He, laying down His Life instead) to solve the Problem of Evil “permanently” (which will be testified into the ages to come because of His Perfect Act of Love, the World Will be Saved and all reconciled to Himself, having made Peace through His Blood on His Cross) in “Times”.

1. Right reasons - God allows it (but does not endorse it with NT Scripture/Covenant) - the better evil - war/killing for the better reasons = “right” cause for “justice” for the oppressed. It is still “evil” since we still “kill” even though they are “evil men”.
2. Perfect Reason - God endorses (Through NT Scripture) to do the “Perfect Act” of “Love your enemies” - no killing of enemies for the Reason of Love = “perfect” cause for “Love” for All, both the oppressed and the enemies. There is “no evil” done in this act since you “don’t kill” anyone, whether good or bad.

It's a choice of being "right" versus being "perfect". Note that being "right" only solves the problem of evil temporarily whilst being "perfect" solves it permanently, one soul at a time.

How? By winning a war (right), the enemy is just "defeated". They may come back with a revenge with an uprising "later" in "time". By allowing ourselves to be killed being absolutely non-violent (perfect), the enemy wins and we're killed. Eventually by us Lovingly laying down our lives (one soul at a time), two things happen:

1. Very unlikely - They realize that war and murder is not the way. They stop and coexist with us with peace based upon Love knowing that we're never a threat to them being completely non-violent Christians.
2. Likely - None of the True Christians (completely non-violent and Loving) are left being killed even in the Last Great Tribulation. The world is only full of evil men who will then turn upon each other for the similar reasons (religion to love of money at the root of it) causing the "end" of the age upon themselves with God finally pouring His Wrath upon the Earth. End of the World as we know it!

If we don't practice absolute non-violence, the Last Great Tribulation and killing of Christians is just going to be "worse" with more "terrific bloodshed" due to "provoking" by our "violent defending too".

It is only rational to practice "war" (violence) if Scripture provides a providence for it under the New Testament Covenant as a "possibility" to "end all evil" (which every Christian knows will "never happen" and that times are going to be only worse - Accepting the Fact & inevitable Reality).

chapter 64

Rebuttal

Example of Rebuttal. Claim (by an eternal hell believer):

"Confusion surrounds the Ancient Greek word "aionion" our modern translations of the Bible take to mean "eternal" or "never ending". This reading is simple enough. Aionion is used to describe the rule of God, the life experienced by those saved, and the promises God makes with his people. Since we believe God's rule, life in heaven, and the promises of God are all never-ending, we assume these things are never-ending because of the word aionion."

Rebuttal (from the universalist perspective):

"the mystery that has been kept hidden for ages and generations, but is now disclosed to the Lord's people." (Colossians 1:26)

The word translated "ages" here by your "inconsistent" translators is in Greek, "aionon". So your translators pick and choose which aion-aionion derivations to mean eternal while others as "age" or "ages" (which is the real 'literal' meaning).

Indeed your translators "confuse" you because they can "change" the meaning of the aion-aionion according to their "wish" against its "real literal" meaning of age(s).

God doesn't confuse (like the literal meaning which is 'same' throughout) while only the devil confuses (by creating various meanings for these aion-aionion derivations against its literal meaning namely, world, worlds, eternal, everlasting etc).

A word has only one meaning, literally.

As usual, you decide for yourselves. P/S: It's not a homograph.

chapter 65 Reconciliation Of The World

Simple fact (in full). We believe in The God Whose anger Will expire whilst they believe in a god whose love will expire (literally) towards the unbelievers & wicked. Who are the "we" or "they"?

Here it is:

1. His anger ends (not even to the age, not always & not even to the end) - limited. (Whoever believes against this 'literally' are the "they")

*"He will not always accuse; neither will he stay angry to the age."
(Psalm 103:9)*

*"will You be angry to the age? Will Your wrath continue to the end?"
This is how you talk, but you do all the evil you can." (Jeremiah 3:5)*

"To the ages doth the Lord cast off? Doth He add to be pleased no more?" (Psalm 77:7)

"For the Lord doth not cast off to the age." (Lamentations 3:31)

2. His Love 'never ends' or may also be translated as 'never fails' as the verse below states (literally):

“Love (His Agape) never ends (fails).” (1 Corinthians 13:8)

His Love which “never fails/ends” means it’s “forever”. Note that the same “His Love” from the root word “Agape” (in Greek) is the one used to describe “His Agape for the World” (in John 3:16) and also that “He Agapes His enemies” (in Matthew 5:44).

Thus “His Love” Agape for all (the world) including His “enemies” too alone is the reason Why He Will succeed in:

- i. Saving all men (To this ‘end’ in 1 Timothy 4:9 - 11 indicates that this the ‘end’ for ‘all men’ which is, being Saved by “God”)

*“God is the Saviour of all men especially those who believe.”
(1 Timothy 4:10)*

- ii. Saving the World (The ‘end’ in 1 Corinthians 15:24 for the ‘all’ the world/creation as described in the verse below):

“and when the all things may be subjected to him, then the Son also himself shall be subject to Him, who did subject to him the all things, that God may be the all in all.” (1 Corinthians 15:28)

He is Saviour of ‘all men’ (the subset of His redemption Purchase in 1 Timothy 4:10) and also the Saviour of the ‘world’ (The Universal set in 1 John 4:14)

Thus anybody believing all this ‘literally’ is the “we”. Remember,

“that also the creation (all in the world) itself shall be set free from the servitude of the corruption to the liberty of the glory of the children of God;” (Romans 8:21)

Lastly (but not least), He has always been with the intention of “reconciling the world to Himself” (2 Corinthians 5:19) and the verse below (Colossians 1:20) proves that He (Lord Jesus) Will succeed doing that:

“that God was reconciling the world to himself in Christ, not counting their sins against them. And he has committed to us the message of reconciliation.” (2 Corinthians 5:19)

“and through him to reconcile the all things to himself - having made peace through the blood of his cross - through him, whether the things upon the earth, whether the things in the heavens.” (Colossians 1:20)

So, are you the “they” or “we”?

P/S: Hell cannot be eternal because “His anger” itself is described to be “not forever” nor always (by Principle) in the Bible!

No verse says that His anger lasts (eternally) when translated “literally”. He does not change from “being Good”.

That’s why Christ said, **“God alone is Good!”** (literally)

chapter 66
Replacement Theology - The Error

The error of replacement theology arises chiefly from not understanding the relativity theory of time & Judgment which only the wise men of God know as Scripture states in the verse below:

“Whoso keepeth the commandment shall feel no evil thing: and a wise man’s heart discerneth both time and judgment.” (Ecclesiastes 8:5)

What does it mean “literally” that “all Israel is not all Israel”? The “not all Israel are Israel” is mentioned by Apostle Paul to be true “relative” to the current “time” (New Testament Age). It’s not an “absolute” statement. Eventually that verse where it means “all Israel” will come True (absolutely) because in the same breath Paul says not to be “ignorant of the mystery or secret” that “All Israel will be Saved” (which we know will come to pass somewhere in the “coming age” after the end of our current New Testament age).

Israel’s army is not God’s army (no Scripture in the New Testament states it and furthermore, there is no army amongst the earliest Christians who believed in Christ centered Universalism up to 500 AD. The false teaching of Christian army came out of Rome via Augustine of Hippo’s Scripture-less (NT) justification of it in his infamous “Just War” treatise).

P/S: This is why Scripture refers to the “first advent of the spiritual children of Israel being those who believe” relative to being an Israelite “believer”.

That particular chapter is describing the salvation of physical Israel (who descended from Abraham literally). The other passages of Scripture highlight that the “gentile believers” too are “children of promise” through Abraham though we are not physically descended from him!

But being an Israelite or a gentile “believer” is no distinction since later the case is built up to mean we’re all one in Christ... For there is no Jew, Greek...etc.

Why the Bible writes of the distinction between Israel (physical & spiritual) etc? Simple: to explain the “engineering” of how God removes all these “distinctions” to bring about eventually His One Plan to “gather in One all things in Christ” (step by step) and to “reconcile all things to Himself” (in the end).

chapter 67

Respect God (A Simple Note)

How many of you call your pastor by name or just call him as a brother? Almost immediately (most pastors) would ‘correct’ you and say something like, “don’t call me Rai or brother Rai but rather Pastor Rai. Have some respect.”

Isn’t it an irony that such “humble pastors” don’t practice their own “man-made teaching for respect?”

How? Haven’t you heard your pastor call our Saviour as “Jesus” and not “Lord Jesus”. Isn’t it an irony that this same pastor ‘corrected’ you by insisting that you call him “Pastor Rai” and not “Rai”. I think the point made is obvious (“by your own words you will be judged”)

Actually, Lord Jesus Christ is the most Humble One. Why? Simply because He never ‘corrected’ anybody in the Bible for calling Him “Jesus” by replying, call me “Lord Jesus” instead!

That’s why, as much as possible, I love to call Him, “Lord Jesus” instead of “Jesus” because He is The Most Humble One.

P/S: I always feel like praising Him because of His Humility (that’s one secret ‘why’ the plan of salvation of all in the Bible is not so

‘obvious’). Simply said, He never told it in a very “braggingly direct” way.

All glory to the ages of Ages (till the fulfilment of His plan to save all) be directed to the Most Humble One = Lord Jesus Christ!

chapter 68

Respect Other Religions

Truth is Truth regardless of respect.

To show respect for others, it's simple: “Don't comment on the religious beliefs of other religions”. Only comment/talk/ discuss/ correct the religious belief of “your own” (that's the right of every believer in his “own religion” only). Problem solved.

So, the best is to stick only in correcting/talking about one's own religion and problem is solved (literally).

As the Holy Spirit of God taught the principle above to Apostle Paul himself when he writes

(the true biblical way of respecting other religions - literally):

“What business is it of mine to judge those outside the church (non-Christians)? Are you not to judge those inside (Christians only)? God will judge those outside (believers of other religions)”.

*Expel the wicked person from among you (Christians).
(1 Corinthians 5:12-13)*

chapter 69
Secret (Mystery) Of His Will

To bring the “whole” (literally, all things; not some things or Christian things only) “in Christ” as the verses below “plainly” declare: (note that this takes place in the ‘dispensation’ of “times” {plural} proving again that not all are saved [gathered in Christ] at the same time):

“having made known to us the secret of His will, according to His good pleasure, that He purposed in Himself, in regard to the dispensation of the fullness of the times, to bring into one the whole (all things) in Christ, both the things in the heavens, and the things upon the earth - in Him;” (Ephesians 1:9-10)

“fullness” of the “times” tells us “literally” that all these will be fulfilled in the “ages framed by the word of God” (as declared by the author of Hebrews) in Hebrews 11:3 as follows:

“by faith we understand the ages to have been framed (prepared) by the word of God, in regard to the things seen not having come out of things appearing;” (Hebrews 11:3)

This agrees with apostle Paul’s declaration that as an apostle who speaks the “truth” about/in Christ, Paul says this “truthful” declaration (which differentiates truth versus false doctrines) in the verses below

where Christ's "ransom" (paid at the Cross) will be "testified" (its testimony come to pass) in due "times" (plural; indicating at different period of times, this will be true) for "all" (the whole; not some or Christian things only):

I repeat: to bring the "whole" (literally, all things; not some things or Christian things only) "in Christ" as the verses below "plainly" declare: (note that this takes place in the 'dispensation' of "times" {plural} proving again that not all are saved [gathered in Christ] at the same time):

"having made known to us the secret of His will, according to His good pleasure, that He purposed in Himself, in regard to the dispensation of the fullness of the times, to bring into one the whole (all things) in Christ, both the things in the heavens, and the things upon the earth - in Him;" (Ephesians 1:9-10)

"fullness" of the "times" tells us "literally" that all these will be fulfilled in the "ages framed by the word of God" (as declared by the author of Hebrews) in Hebrews 11:3 as follows:

"by faith we understand the ages to have been framed (prepared) by the word of God, in regard to the things seen not having come out of things appearing;" (Hebrews 11:3)

This agrees with apostle Paul's declaration that as an apostle who speaks the "truth" about/in Christ, Paul says this "truthful" declaration (which differentiates truth versus false doctrines) in the verses below where Christ's "ransom" (paid at the Cross) will be "testified" (its testimony come to pass) in due "times" (plural; indicating at different period of times, this will be true) for "all" (the whole; not some or

Christian things only):

"Who (Lord Jesus) did give Himself a ransom for all (not some or Christians only) the testimony in its own times - in regard to which I was set a preacher and apostle - truth I say in Christ, I do not lie - a teacher of nations, in faith and truth." (1 Timothy 2:6-7)

chapter 70
Secret To Loving God

What we say, write and do all the time reflects the things which we love the most.

As an example:

1. A person who always talks, writes and plays soccer loves it the most.
2. A person who always talks, writes and listens to rap music obviously loves it the most.
3. A person who always talks, writes and listens to financial prosperity truly loves money the most.
4. A person who always talks, writes and does ministry at a church sincerely loves his church the most.
5. A person who always talks, writes and does charitable works clearly loves his good works the most.
6. A person who always talks, writes and does great deeds for the development of his own race distinctly loves his own race the most.
7. A person who always talks, writes and does great deeds for his country without doubt loves his country the most.
8. A person who always talks, writes and does good things towards his own family unambiguously loves his family the most.

9. A person who always talks, writes and does greater deeds for his spouse definitely loves his spouse the most.
10. A person who always talks, writes and does deeds towards others regardless of their race, creed, belief, blood-relation, friendship and everything else sincerely Loves God the most because it is written (literally):

“If any one may say - ‘I love God,’ and his brother he may hate, a liar he is; for he who is not loving his brother whom he hath seen, God - whom he hath not seen - how is he able to love?”
(1 John 4:20)

chapter 71

Silence

When to keep silent?

Simple answer: Keep “silent” like Christ when your questioners are there to provoke, hurt or kill you.

As much as possible, never keep silent to:

1. One who wants to learn the Truth from you. Don’t be selfish in sharing the knowledge which has been graciously & freely given to you by God.

For knowledge pertaining to God, make no monetary profits (no money collections/fees) as Christ literally exhorted true believers to give “God given” gifts “freely” as the verse below declares truthfully:

“...Freely you have received; freely give.” (Matthew 10:8)

Apostle Paul echoes the same Truth:

“What then is my reward? Just this: that in preaching the gospel I may offer it free of charge, and so not make full use of my rights as a preacher of the gospel.” (1 Corinthians 9:18)

2. Oppression and violence. Correct with words and not violence. If they do not listen, God will judge them accordingly but “you did your part” as a true believer of Christ.

*“Speak up and judge fairly; defend the rights of the poor and needy.”
(Proverbs 31:9)*

*“Learn to do right; seek justice. Defend the oppressed. Take up the
cause of the fatherless; plead the case of the widow.” (Isaiah 1:17)*

To do otherwise is simply “Un-Christ-like”.

As usual, decide for yourselves which is right! (literally)

chapter 72
**Some Notes On The Other AC
(AntiChrist, Not Anonymous Christian)**

Firstly, here's the verse: *“let not any one deceive you in any manner,
because - if the falling away may not come first, and the man of sin be
revealed - the son of the destruction, who is opposing and is raising
himself up above all called God or worshipped, so that he in the
sanctuary of God as God hath sat down, shewing himself off that he is
God - [the day doth not come].” (2 Thessalonians 2:3-4)*

Now the “literal” explanation (God is not a trickster and that is why
He speaks “literally”):

The identification key for the Antichrist and his doctrine = “destruction”
or “perdition” in 2 Thessalonians 2:3-4 (e.g. Kill in the name of god,
kill in the name of justice, sadistic torture in an eternal hell, teaching
to sin more, lacking holy & rehabilitative doctrine of Truth, bent on
teaching and spreading hate & violence, void of True (good) knowledge
& wisdom in accordance to sound doctrine, given up to the love of
money, doing business in the name of God profaning His Church with
Mammon led false gospels etc...).

How it “may” happen?

A couple of “visions of hell” with the “antichrist” (the beast) adding

the word “eternal” to it after performing some deceiving “signs & wonders” will make “them” (whose names are not written in the Book of Life) to crawl to the lawless one. Just maybe, he will declare himself as the “gods” (or aliens) which created mankind and all the scientists will be “worshipping” him on their knees (finally).

Ever wondered how the antichrist will make himself God and deceive the masses? (2 Thessalonians 2:3-4)

One “possibility” = Theosis. Yes, it’s entirely possible that he may teach some form of Theosis which underlines a central “error” that “we are also gods” or that “we will become one with god”.

All Theosis is false and against the Bible. Please don’t be deceived! How do we know which (interpretation of the Bible or Teaching) is right?

“See, I have told you ahead of time.” - Lord Jesus Christ in (Matthew 24:25)

As apostle Paul echoed in the past:

“wherefore I take you to witness this day, that I [am] clear from the blood of all, for I did not keep back from declaring to you all the counsel of God.” (Acts 20:26-27)

chapter 73

Son

Remember, His Name means Saviour. Thus one interpretation of His 2 titles may be as follows: He is called ‘Son of Man’ because He will save all men and ‘Son of God’ because He will save the rest of the world. (verb description)

He is called ‘Son of Man’ because He is the Saviour of all men (1 Timothy 4:10) and ‘Son of God’ because He is the Saviour of the World (1 John 4:14). (noun description)

An interesting note regarding 1 John chapter 4 and 1 Timothy 4 (mentioned above): Apostle John first warns of antichrists and spirit of error while apostle Paul also does somewhat similar and warns of fables and lies before mentioning the two conclusions above as Truth.

In other words, both Paul and John warned about ‘heresies’ but they didn’t mention clearly which heresies they were but rather the Holy Spirit of God did something more astounding:

He inspired them to mention the Truth instead so that that will help them keep away from errors, lies, destructive doctrines and heresies, namely: The Truth that Christ will save all men (1 Tim 4:10) and also the whole world (1 John 4:14).

This is the Genius of God. Shocked? So was I when He revealed this Truth to me. No wonder the Bible seems mysterious to them since God hasn't unlocked their minds through His Holy Spirit. Indeed, the veil is only taken away in Christ.

No wonder Isaiah prophesied about them, 'seeing they will not see and hearing they will not understand' and Lord Jesus Himself said, 'leave them alone, for they are the blind leaders of the blind. Both will fall into the ditch'. (not the bottomless pit - even Satan when put in the bottomless pit was taken out of it after a thousand years - can you see how Merciful God really is?)

chapter 74 Specially (Malista)

"God is the Saviour of all men, especially those who believe."
(1 Timothy 4:10)

The "summary" verses such the one quoted above proves that "God Saves All Men". (note that "all men" (Full set of men) and "believers" (a subset of men) are distinctly distinguished in this one verse).

Note that it does not read: "God is the Saviour of believers from all men." (for example) By exact use of the word "specially" (Malista in Greek) and the exact grammar syntax consider:

"For there are many rebellious people, full of meaningless talk and deception, especially those of the circumcision group." (Titus 1:10)

If the first verse (as wrongly) described by our ECT brethren claim it means "only believers are saved" (in 1 Timothy 4:10), then in the second verse (in Titus 1:10 shown here), we must have too by the same "logic" that there are "only" such (rebellious, deceivers) from the "circumcision group" (if following the "bringing into" logic).

The absurdity is obvious (literally).

chapter 75

Test

Consider the logic tests below which are based on Scripture:

- i. Which one sounds like a profane fable? (The Profanity Test)

“But reject profane and old wives’ fables, and exercise yourself toward godliness.” (1 Timothy 4:7)

Eternal hell (of which the Greek mythologies to other stories found elsewhere on earth across the cultures who have their own versions of it too) versus Universal Salvation (which no one has except that it is unique to Christianity through the work of the Cross by the Immortal Son of God - Jesus). God saving ‘all’ sounds profane or that He tortures the rest with eternal fire sounds more profane?

Note that just after warning Timothy to keep away from fables, apostle Paul clearly writes three verses later (in context) that God is the Saviour of all men...(1 Timothy 4:10).

This clearly implies that Universal Salvation (with regards to men in strict context of the verse in consideration) cannot be a fable since Paul clearly exhorts Timothy to believe this (Universal Salvation of men) to keep away from those profane and old wives’ fables.

- ii. Which one sounds more like the plain revelation of the Father (i.e. Great Saviour versus Great Tormentor) by Jesus? (The Plain Test)

“These things I have spoken to you in figurative language; but the time is coming when I will no longer speak to you in figurative language, but I will tell you plainly about the Father.” (John 16:25)

If you find the Bible a mystery, that’s because you have not experienced the revelation given plainly by the Son of God – Lord Jesus. He did not lie when He said the above and that when we have Him, we are able to plainly understand the Holy Scriptures too.

- iii. Cunning or not cunning? (The Cunningness Test)

“that we should no longer be children, tossed to and fro and carried about with every wind of doctrine, by the trickery of men, in the cunning craftiness of deceitful plotting,” (Ephesians 4:14)

Which one sounds cunning? The ‘idioms excuse’ or ‘literal one meaning’ method of translation? Under the ‘idioms excuse’ – the punishments are ‘forever and ever’.

While, under the ‘literal one meaning’ method – punishments are limited in time and hence do not last ‘forever and ever’. Note that if you accept the ‘idioms excuse’, it leads you to believe in an eternal hell while if you accept the ‘literal one meaning’ method, you will end up believing universal salvation.

- iv. Do you believe the All in the Bible? (The All Test)

“But the Helper, the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will send in My name, He will teach you all things, and bring to your remembrance all things that I said to you.” (John 14:26)

Note that Lord Jesus clearly says that those who have the Holy Spirit will have an understanding of all things. This is because the Holy Spirit of God teaches you all things. (not some things or selected parts only).

This point itself is evidence enough that many who claim to be pastors, leaders, teachers etc...may not even have the Holy Spirit* at all. Not only that the Bible teachers of today seem to know ‘not all of the Word’ but also that many seem to know ‘nothing at all’ with respect to the Word of God (Bible).

*Note: Blaspheme on this point and you may find yourself in deep trouble simply because it involves the Holy Spirit.

Apart from that, the all things that are mentioned by Lord Jesus is clearly in the context (with respect to) of what is found in the Bible only. Our Lord Himself mentions this context of all things of the Bible in the verse above (John 14:26) because the all things are relative to His word as is evident when He says, ‘all things that I said to you’.

Let us consider an example of the all things that the Bible teaches. (What does Christ do with the all things?) John the Baptist declares that all things are given (delivered) to His Hand (Jesus) by the Father (in John 3:35). Christ Jesus Himself says that of the all (i.e. the all things) which have been given to Him, He will not cast them out (John 6:37) when they will all come to Him eventually (John 6:37).

Note that the all includes in context even the unbelieving ones (John 6:36). He doesn’t stop there. The same all things is said by our Lord to be raised up (made alive) by Him in the appropriate time (Last Day) in accordance to the Will (of the Father) so that Christ loses nothing (i.e. none are lost or to remain perished ‘forever and ever’ – see John 6:39).

The verses quoted in passage above are:

*“The Father loves the Son, and has given all things into His Hand.”
(John 3:35)*

“But I said to you that you have seen Me and yet do not believe. All that the Father gives Me will come to Me, and the one who comes to Me I will by no means cast out.” (John 6:36-7)

*“This is the Will of the Father who sent me, that of all He has given Me, I should lose nothing, but should raise it up at the last day.”
(John 6:39)*

Indeed, Christ reconciles all things (see Colossians 1:20) so that in the end, God may be ‘all in all’. (see 1 Corinthians 15:24, 28).

v. ‘To Test’ or ‘To not Test’? (The Test Test)

“Test all things; hold fast to what is good.” (1 Thessalonians 5:21)

Some Christians would warn you not to even dare ‘to test what the pastor’ or ‘what they say’ to be good or not. Quite the contrary, the Bible clearly teaches us to test all things – not some things but all. Therefore, we have to do what is instructed in Scripture which is to test everything in order to be one who is obedient to the Word. Hence, we don’t just blindly accept whatever a pastor or anyone (including me) says. Be like the Bereans who practised this principle and were commended for it as Scripture itself records:

“These were more fair-minded than those in Thessalonica, in that they received the word with all readiness, and searched the Scriptures daily to find out whether these things were so.” (Acts 17:11)

Note that in the case of the Bereans, they were listening to the preaching of Paul and Silas (Acts 17:10 - even the best preacher of today may

not be a match at all to them in terms of accuracy in the truth that was being shared). Even then, the Bereans checked the Scriptures for themselves daily if these things were so and were commended for it (because they were called fair minded or noble minded even more so than those of Thessalonica who did not embark such).

Let’s do the ‘Test’ test on this one thing: Does ‘eternal hell’ or ‘universal salvation’ sound good? Whatever your answer may be, hold on to it as Scripture above instructs literally. (in 1 Thessalonians 5:21)

As for me, only universal salvation sounds good. (Good News)
On the other hand, eternal hell sounds bad. (Bad News)

vi. How to be wise? (The Wisdom Test)

“Therefore do not be unwise, but understand what the will of the Lord is.” (Ephesians 5:17)

Which one sounds wise?

Creation’s will can be in contrary to God’s will ‘forever’ causing an eternal hell to exist (The Augustinian Myth) versus Creation’s will cannot be in contrary to God’s Will ‘forever’ since our God does not fail and that His Will is Supreme amongst other things.

The answer to the query above is simple: Creation cannot be in contrary to God’s Will ‘forever’ simply because God’s will itself is to save the world (John 3:17) and that He will not fail in doing so because He can and will do all His pleasure (including His Will – which gives Him pleasure; Isaiah 46:10). Thus the only logical conclusion here is that universal salvation must be true.

Note that this correlates with Ephesians 5:17 above which literally points out that being wise has to do with understanding what the Will of the Lord is (which is universal salvation to save the world - John 3:17).

In particular, consider with regards to the salvation of all Israel, the verses below:

“For I do not desire, brethren, that you should be ignorant of this mystery, lest you should be wise in your own opinion, that blindness in part has happened to Israel until the fullness of the Gentiles has come in. And ‘so all Israel will be saved’, as it is written: ‘The Deliverer will come out of Zion, And He will turn away ungodliness from Jacob;’ (Romans 11:25-26)

Apostle Paul clearly mentions in the above that if one ignores (being ignorant of) this mystery (i.e. all Israel will be saved - part of the universal salvation but focusing on men), then one has become wise in your own opinion.

vii. Whose testimony should we believe? (The Testimony Test)

“And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb and by the word of their testimony, and they did not love their lives to the death.” (Revelation 12:11)

There are two main camps claiming miraculous encounters with Christ. One is the eternal hell camp while the second is the universalist camp both of which consists of Christians. Now, who is having the right interpretation to these supernatural encounters?

Just like the case with Numbers 12 regarding the issue of interracial marriage, it is Christian versus Christian again. Moses was approved by God to be the one who understood Him plainly while Aaron (the pastor) and Miriam (the worship leader) together with the rest of the prophets at that time only saw in dark sayings consisting of both visions and dreams have thus erred greatly though all three were used by God in different periods of time for His purposes.

Some of the famous Christians in the eternal hell camp who claimed to have had mystical encounters are: Mary Baxter, Choo Nam, Angelica Zambrano, Bill Weise, Dr DGS Dhinakaran, Swedenborg* and the Korean artist who drew hell.

*Actually I cannot be certain 100% whether Swedenborg is an eternal hell believer or an universalist Christian.

Similarly, some of the famous Christians in the universalist camp who claimed to have had mystical encounters are: Julian of Norwich, Sadhu Sundar Singh.

There is an interesting link between the two camps aforementioned: Dr DGS Dhinakaran claimed to have seen Sadhu Sundar Singh in heaven while Sadhu Sundar Singh has claimed during his lifetime to have seen Emmanuel Swedenborg in heaven.

Now, if the true Christian God is:

- i. The eternal hell God, would He then allow universalists such as Sundar Singh not just to have visions about heaven/hell during their lifetimes but also that they enter into heaven with the error of universalism? I think not because it would then nullify or contradict the doctrine of eternal-hell.
- ii. The universalist God, He may then allow those with the error of eternal hell to have dark and obscure visions about heaven/hell and make wrong interpretations of it simply because it does not in any way nullify nor contradict the doctrine of Christian - universalism.

Since logic itself is a test of consistency, therefore the only one class of testimony which is not contradictory is the Universalist one. Hence even by testimony, we see that it must be that universalism is the one truth since it has no 100% self-saving concept agreeing with the did not love their lives to the death in (Revelation 12:11).

Saviour Of The World

Oppositely, people in the eternal hell camp believe primarily to save themselves only which is a 100% self-saving concept.

Remember that even Dr DGS Dhinakaran (approved by even Benny Hinn and the rest from the eternal hell camp to have the gifts of the Holy Spirit) has confirmed an undisputed Universalist in heaven, namely, Sadhu Sundar Singh.

Unknowingly, the eternal hell - believing Dr DGS Dhinakaran himself has proved that God is a Universalist simply because sundar Singh is in heaven. Many other tests may be developed to test for truth but the seven ones above would suffice to prove the case without doubt that Christian universalism is the truth regarding the final destiny of all creation.

chapter 76
The End Of Satan

This is a controversial topic but without it we would have an incomplete picture about our God. We are who we really are by the way we treat our enemies.

We serve a perfect God who demonstrated the excellent way of love: He told us to Love our enemies (Matthew 5:44) and to overcome evil by good (Romans 12:21) and we can rest assured that this is what He will do as well.

Note that the way of Love (Agape) that is described in 1 Corinthians 13 is what moved the heart of God who loved (Agape) the whole world (kosmon - including all His creatures; John 3:16) to send His Son (Jesus) in order to save it (One purpose of God revealed - John 3:17). This does not exclude His enemies for His Son (Jesus) is the One who reveals that He loves (Agape) His enemies too by the command given in Matthew 5:44.

Yes, the love in Matthew 5:44 is also from the root word (Agape) in Greek. Because His love (Agape) never fails (1 Corinthians 13:8), we can be sure that even His enemies will be overcome by His goodness based on His principle revealed in (Romans 12:21) and thus we can be sure that He will not fail to save the world. Indeed, this is the Christ, He (Lord Jesus) is truly the Saviour of the world (1 John 4:14).

That is why, **‘He saves all!’**

Satan means ‘adversary’. This enemy of God has turned what power (dominion) that God had given him prior into doing evil. Satan and his hosts are like gods (not because that they are really gods but rather because of some temporal dominion given to them). They have been given a fair chance to change their ways as well.

Asaph contemplates these gods (which I believe to be satan and his hosts of devils and demons) on how they have manipulated the power (dominion) given to them to do evil instead of good as Scripture testifies:

*“God stands in the congregation of the mighty;
He judges among the gods (elohim).
How long will you judge unjustly,
And show partiality to the wicked?
Defend the poor and the fatherless;
Do justice to the afflicted and needy.
Deliver the poor and needy;
Free them from the hand of the wicked.
They do not know, nor do they understand;
They walk about in darkness;
All the foundation of the earth are unstable.
I said, ‘You are gods (elohim) and all of you
Are children of the Most High.
But you shall die like men,
And fall like one of the princes’.
Arise O God, judge the earth;
For You shall inherit all nations.”*
(Psalm 82:1 - 8)

Satan, who is most likely the god of this age (2 Corinthians 4:4) has blinded the minds of those who do not believe. Don’t worry, they are nothing compared to our living God who created them all. Our God is the ‘God of gods’ (Deuteronomy 10:17, Daniel 2:47) who does the things that these gods were supposed to do (wondered why there is evil in this world - e.g., who is responsible for the child in Africa suffering without food? Those gods). Our true and living God does the acts such as administering justice for the fatherless and widow and also giving food and clothing to the stranger (Deuteronomy 10:18).

Can you see which ‘God’ is actually solving the problem of evil? Our God through Lord Jesus Christ. He is doing it with the excellent way of love (Agape) which is the reason it is not immediately carried out. The way of love implies that He will not force against the will or power (dominion) that He gave them. Nevertheless, even they (rulers and principalities of darkness of this age - Ephesians 6:12) didn’t see it coming as Scripture below records:

“which none of the rulers of this age knew; for had they known, they would not have crucified the Lord of glory.” (1 Corinthians 2:8)

That is with love, He (Jesus) died on the cross unjustly and thus Christ defeated completely all enemies by paying in full the penalty of sin (1 John 2:2) and thereby removing the sin of the whole world (kosmou - John 1:29). This takes times to be testified (come to pass at different times - kairos {plural} - 1 Timothy 2:6) because the way of love does not force but by His longsuffering (patience - 1 Corinthians 13:4) till salvation is produced. In other words, Peter himself declares that Paul’s writings are about how by longsuffering (a product of the way of love {agape}), God will be saving all - Salvation (2 Peter 3:15).

Peter declared these things (regarding longsuffering to salvation - of all) are hard to understand (2 Peter 3:16); And by the writings of apostle

Paul, we can rest assured that these times also includes salvation for the whole world (or all things) because other verses support this as the mystery of Christ as it is revealed in the gathering of all things done at the fullness of the times (kairon {plural} - Ephesians 1:10) in order to reconcile them all (Colossians 1:20) so that finally and eternally, God will be all in all (1 Corinthians 15:28) after filling all things (Ephesians 4:10 , Ephesians 1:23) with His love (Agape) as well as (Light, Goodness and all) till darkness (evil) which has no place in Him (1 John 1:5) will cease to exist for it is not part of His love (1 Corinthians 13:5).

By saving the church (ekklesia) first, God is showing that He is faithful and that even those in the heavenly places know that God is indeed saving the world by His hidden wisdom (Ephesians 3:9) in stages: Jewish believers - Gentiles etc. (Ephesians 3:10).

Thus it is easy to see that the Bible does teach mysteriously the salvation and restoration of all (including satan and his hosts as well). This I believe is the Mystery of Christ - Salvation of all the world.

The church is thus set as an example for the salvation of the world indicating its beginning since we (the church) are the firstfruit of His (Jesus) work of salvation and not the only fruits as fallaciously often interpreted (1 Corinthians 15:23, James 1:18).

For an example of this analogy, consider:

“For if their being cast away is the reconciling of the world, what will their acceptance be but life from the dead?” (Romans 11:15)

“For if the firstfruit is holy, the lump is also holy; and if the root is holy, so are the branches.” (Romans 11:16)

In (Romans 11:15-16) - notice that the salvation of the firstfruit is compared between the salvation of the Gentiles versus the Jews. After the operation (of justice) in casting them (jewish non-believers) away, the reconciling of the world takes place (justice).

But it doesn't end there since after they (those non-believing Jews) are accepted again (for all Israel will be saved - Romans 11:26) but only after all gentiles (fullness of the Gentiles) have come in (saved too - Romans 11:25 - Note that this is mentioned to be a mystery - in this case highlighted for the salvation of all men). Indeed all men being saved is declared as something that Jesus prophesied that He will do (John 12:32), will take place at different times (kairois {plural} - 1 Timothy 2:6) and is a fact (Titus 2:11) as well as a Universal Truth or saying (1 Timothy 4:10).

Some people (even universalists) feel that it is unfair for God to even offer reconciliation (Colossians 1:20) and restoration (Acts 3:21) to Satan, demons and the devils which are included in the all things. Yeah, I have problem with it too. But I don't let my feeling get in the way of what God's Will is as declared that He is going to do as stated in His Holy Scriptures. I let God be God literally and just accept that He desires to do it and will accomplish all His pleasures.

A Christian social worker with years of experience in both ministry and other works actually remarked to me that this doesn't feel right: He says, “How can God even show mercy to such evil creatures? I've worked with mental patients, drug addicts and even hard-core criminals all my life and even I wouldn't feel that such an action is right. It sounds demonic.

Just for you to know, young man, I have seen what these demons can do – I once saw a demon-possessed person inserting a stick into his wound and tearing it. Oh! How can such evil things be even given a chance to be saved by Christ?”

My response is:

“Firstly, God feels differently than us. Our feelings are irrelevant. I go by facts and logic of Scripture rather than my feelings. Please allow me to explain. It is commonly accepted not just in Christianity but also in all other religions that Satan (devils, demons) are incapable of good being 100% evil. Any Christian wish to say that I am wrong and that Satan is capable of any little good, say even 1%? I think not. All religious persons will agree on this point unless they are in some perverted form of Satanism.”

“Secondly, man has been given a conscience. This indicates that all men are capable of some good. There is no such thing that a man is 100% evil without the influence of Satan (devils, demons) just by himself. Agree? I think so you would.”

“Now we come to the crux of the argument. That Christian social worker who didn’t feel it right in his spirit has personally converted some pretty rough hard-core criminals to Christianity during the course of his ministry. Some of these ex-gangsters and ex-drug addicts have even visited our local churches telling their life testimonies of how God’s love has changed them. Good, all is well for them now.”

“Consider now my point: Do you know that some of these ex- criminals have raped children, tortured women, slashed men which could have been your daughter, mother, father or even any family member?” I’m bringing it close to home so that you may be able to feel something else in your spirit shortly.”

“Imagine now that your favourite loved one be it your wife, husband, mother, father, boyfriend, girlfriend, sister, brother, son, daughter or anyone else has been robbed (steal), murdered (kill) and his/her life together with yours never be the same again since the hopes, dreams as well as peace is gone and unchangeable (destroy).”

“It gets better. Imagine that the criminal that has done this evil to your loved one and you, call him Joe, has repented and became a Christian. Imagine now (for argument’s sake) that you are actually the king/queen of a country.

The law demands that Joe be punished in prison. Just because Joe is a Christian, would you grant a royal pardon (so that he may walk free) knowing that he has robbed, murdered and caused this unchangeable evil event in your life? Are you feeling better?”

“Now do you understand why punishment is still necessary? That is why God knows who to save by royal pardon (believers only - in dignity and honour) while others after they pay their due punishment to the last mite (the rest of non-believers and evil creatures through shame and chastisements). Remember, you cannot trick God.”

“Endless punishments and eternal torture with no end nor aim for the improvement of the one being punished is not just, it’s sadistic! And to claim that Lord Jesus does it, is blasphemy!. Lord Jesus punishes with righteous judgment with the presence of His Holy angels which will result in salvation in the end.”

“If Joe comes to your church, you will be certainly happy that Christ saved him. Wouldn’t you? Unless of course if it was your loved one whom he has butchered. Then, you may not like Joe hanging around even though you may have forgiven him out of a credal response of your belief taken by oath as a member in your local church. Don’t worry, I would feel the same way too.”

“So you could accept Joe’s entrance to heaven one day (personal salvation) even though he did that evil in the past to your loved one. Now here’s a point: You could accept Joe being forgiven and becoming a Christian and attaining to salvation even though you know that Joe did the evil willingly.”

“In other words, Joe (being capable of at least some little good – not born completely evil) did steal, kill and destroy a life of out his free-will choice and you could accept his salvation as fair and just when he repented and became a Christian like you.”

“Consider now Satan (devils and demons). Being bound completely by their lust (evil things), they (not capable of any good - 100% evil) do the same: steal, kill and destroy.”

“The only reason Joe’s damage doesn’t seem as bad as Satan’s is probably because Joe is not endowed with such power. Some men in history had more power and you saw what they did, right? Remember Hitler?.”

“Also, others walk the earth right now who have a manifesto to kill people based on race, creed or colour. Imagine if they were given power like what Satan has but being applied to the earth. What do you think they would do? Would they be any better than Satan? Maybe just a little, right? Would you be any better? God knows.”

“So if Joe was granted the grace of repentance (with more freewill choice needed to do evil - since he’s not 100% evil by nature), don’t you think that Satan (almost needs no freewill choice to do evil - since he’s 100% evil by nature given up to lust - a choice he made in the past), may well be granted a grace of repentance by our true God? Who actually made both Joe and Satan?”

“Who is worse, Joe or Satan? Equal?”

“Do you still feel in your spirit that it is unfair if God solves the problem of evil by healing the inventor of evil - as Gregory of Nyssa puts it?” (A Cappodician father who was an orthodox player behind the Nicene creed)

Probably why many Christians in the early church itself thought the same as Jerome (342 – 420) AD writes:

“I know that most persons understand by the story of Nineveh and its King, the ultimate forgiveness of the devil and all rational creatures.” Note that these people (Christians - for a non-believer wouldn’t know about the story of Nineveh - even some of you Christians may be clueless) were not heretics. Jerome mixed with them.

So let it be clear that the salvation of all is a Bible based deduction found at the dawn of Christianity and not from a new-age cult or Satanist movement. I’m not a Satanist nor demon-possessed.

Finally, we have an answer to the age old question:

“How come God doesn’t just completely destroy the devil till he ceases to exist and the problem is solved; right?”

Simple answer:

God is not a destroyer of life. He only destroys non-living evil things. That is why since there is no partiality with God (one principle without duality or hypocrisy), He (God) either saves all creation (including Satan and his hosts eventually) or none. That is called being Just. In other words, being just requires God to do equally to all; That is, rendering punishments according to the act but eventually redeeming (His one purpose) all in times appropriately.

Is there such a verse that declares all or whole of creation will be delivered (saved) and become children of God again? Yes. Consider the scripture below:

“For the creation was subjected to futility, not willingly, but because of Him who subjected it in hope; because the creation itself also will be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty

of the children of God. For we know that the whole creation groans and labours with birth pangs together until now.” (Romans 8:20 - 22)

Remember at the beginning of this chapter, I mentioned Psalm 82 (a psalm of Asaph)? Did you notice that the gods that are contrary to the Most High (our one true God) were also called children of the Most High? Here it is again:

“I said, ‘You are gods (elohim) and all of you are children of the Most High” (Psalm 82:6)

Shouldn't the Lord God pity His children and solve the problem of evil in those wayward ones? Yes, He will; Remember, the whole of creation will be delivered (saved) and become children of God again.

The secret of His way of love (1 Corinthians 12:31) explains out why He (God) decides to save the world this one way through Jesus and not by any other way. His one essence is love (Agape); this is the best one way to describe Him: God is love (Agape - 1 John 4:8). This how we know Him and not just know about Him.

Remember at the start of the chapter on the end of Satan, I made the statement: We are who we really are by the way we treat our enemies. Christ Jesus is revealed in the one way He treated His enemies: He loved them back. We find this one truth about Him revealed in all the four Gospels. The one event that changed everything we thought about God: His crucifixion.

This was the one purpose of God (to save the world – John 3:17). He (Jesus) came to earth moved only by the one reason (His love (Agape) - John 3:16) and that God became manifested in the flesh (John 1:14) as this is called the great controversial Mystery of Godliness (1 Timothy 3:16).

He chose the one way of love (1 Corinthians 12:31) as described in detail in (1 Corinthians 13) willingly laying down His life (John 10:17) to die (Matthew 16:21) on the cross.

This event (predicted - Matthew 20:18, fulfilled - Matthew 27:50) in order to pay the price for the sin of the whole world (John 6:33) and rise again after three days (predicted - Matthew 20:19, fulfilled - Luke 24:6).

He did this to defeat the duality called death (1 Corinthians 15:26) so that only life (His oneness - John 1:4, John 14:6) remains in the end (yielding immortality alone to the world - 1 Corinthians 15:54, 2 Timothy 1:10).

This is the result of His work on the cross (John 10:10, John 11:25, 1 John 2:2, Colossians 1:20, 1 Corinthians 15:28) will be manifested in His times (kairois {plural} - 1 Timothy 2:6; kairon {plural} - Ephesians 1:10).

Why our all - powerful one God chose this one way (death on the cross) to accomplish His one purpose (save the world)? “He could have just said it and we would have been saved what?” Simply because He doesn't force us against our will - because His one way of love declares that His love (Agape) does not seek its own way (1 Corinthians 13:5) implying that He doesn't force us against our freewill.

Again, Why our all - powerful one God chose this one way (death on the cross) to accomplish His one purpose (save the world)? Lord Jesus Himself gave the one answer for the one reason behind His one righteous act (Romans 5:18) of laying down His life once and for all for sin to bring forth salvation (Hebrews 9:28).

The one answer for this act is that it is the greatest demonstration of **His love (Agape):**

“Greater love has no one than this, than to lay down one’s life for His friends.” (John 15:13)

Too hard to believe this one beautiful truth? Lord Jesus is the one Truth. Now you know who He really is. He is the One that has all the answers.

Now you don’t have any one excuse to reject Him. (Romans 1:20)

Do you still want to reject The One who truly loves you like no other? He left us with only one command towards God and one command towards men. All other religious rules are man-made.

Here it is as Scripture records. One command towards our One God:

“Jesus said to him, ‘You shall love the LORD your God with all your heart, with all your soul, and with all your mind.’” (Matthew 22:37)

The one command towards one another is this: *“And the second is like it: ‘You shall love your neighbour as yourself.’” (Matthew 22:39)*

Both the commands are built upon love (Agape). This is the highest form of love. To the atheist: Your argument against God does not hold water anymore since it was built upon false premises of Christianity which were not true to His word. The one reason why there’s evil and looks like God is doing nothing about it is because the god/ruler of this age/world is blocking His will to be done here on earth.

Remember that they (gods) have been given some dominion and power which they have abused and are abusing till today. It is true that Christ has triumphed (defeated) them all, but since God is love, He still doesn’t force any creature (including you {atheist} and the devils {gods}) to follow Him. His power is available but only applies

if you turn to Him (repent), believe and all of us align our freewill to the living God the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ. In time, He will act justly and punish when the winepress of the wrath of God is full (Revelation 14:10).

If you think God is a Trickster (that He can allow you to be tricked), then what I’m writing may be a lie for you and vice versa. How can something as beautiful as this when revealed be a lie?

Notice that His anger is not infinite since then the winepress would never be full. The very fact His wrath is stated in a way which implies that it can be measured means that it can’t be infinite implying that it has an end (fully satisfied) and thus lake of fire (hell, hades) can’t be everlasting since God’s wrath (anger) being limited must come to an end.

In other words, since God chose (His will is to not be angry ‘forever’) to limit His wrath (anger - not that He cannot choose to be angry ‘forever’ but rather because He is better than us (creation) and not a man) implies that the Lake of fire (Hell, Hades) itself is/must have an end in time.

Is the argument above based on premises which are Scriptural? Yes, very Scriptural. Consider the premises listed together with their appropriate instructive verses below:

1. Existence of gods/rulers of this age/world and their abuse of power and dominion. (Psalm 82:6, 2 Corinthians 4:4, Ephesians 6:12, John 14:30, John 12:31, Matthew 4:9)
2. God doesn’t go against your (creation’s) will (Matthew 23:37).
3. Creation will not remain contrary to His Will ‘forever’. God’s will is supreme and will take over (overpower with love {Agape}) in time. (Colossians 1:20, Philippians 2:9-11, 1 Corinthians 15:28)

4. Is salvation testified in different times?
5. (kairois {plural} - 1 Timothy 2:6, kairon {plural} - Ephesians 1:10) Why in different times? His love (Agape) waits (longsuffering) till all other holy attributes fairness (justice) etc... have done their part (for love doesn't demand its way - 1 Corinthians 13:4-8) and salvation is produced in the end. Isn't the longsuffering of our Lord is salvation? (2 Peter 3:15)
6. God alone has immortality (1 Timothy 1:17, 1 Timothy 6:16) and has eternal power (Romans 1:20) implying that He can change everything for you (creation) but only if you believe in Him. Since only then your freewill is aligned to Him and He didn't force you to accept it (you may ask back - did I ask your help?). Also love doesn't force things on you. Apart from that being just or fair to all others (including devils; Satan will accuse you) - Revelation 12:10. Again I repeat, problem solved (for you) if you believe (Mark 9:23). God's justice will be served at the end of life. His anger is described in a manner that it implies that it is measurable and thus limited and not forever. Hence punishments can't be forever in the lake of fire (Hell or Hades) since no proof from Scripture that God remains angry endlessly or forever.
 - i. God's wrath or anger is described in a measurable manner and hence it implies that it's limited. (Revelation 14:10, Revelation 14:19, Revelation 15:7, Revelation 16:1, Revelation 16:19, Revelation 19:15).
 - ii. God's wrath or anger meant not endless nor kept to remain 'forever', even not held to the age (olam) but told to be completed implying an end in time. (Jeremiah 3:12, Psalm 103:9, Revelation 15:1)
 - iii. Only a man (creation) may be angry or retain anger to completely destroy till something ceases to exist. (Hosea 11:9)
 - iv. He doesn't willingly punish nor enjoy it. (Lamentations 3:33, Ezekiel 18:23, Ezekiel 18:32, Ezekiel 33:11)
 - v. He won't cast off any men more than an age (olam) and will end. (Jeremiah 17:4, Lamentations 3:31, Jeremiah 3:5)
 - vi. You will understand it perfectly one day. (Jeremiah 23:20)

7. His anger (even fullness of His fierce anger) is for a good purpose not sadism. Do allow me to quote one of the greatest teachers in the early Greek speaking churches; Origen of Alexandria in the first apologetic (defence of faith not apologizing work in Christianity): Listen to the words of Origen as he battles with a Greek philosopher named Celsus:

"The Stoics, indeed, hold that, when the strongest of the elements prevails, all things shall be turned into fire. But our belief is, that the Word shall prevail over the entire rational creation, and change every soul into his own perfection...for although in the diseases and wounds of the body, there are some which no medical skill can cure, yet we hold that in the mind there is no evil so strong that it may not be overcome by the Supreme Word and God".

"For stronger than all the evils in the soul is the Word, and the healing power that dwells in Him, and the healing He applies, according to the will of God to every man. The consummation of all things is the destruction of evil...to quote Zephaniah: 'My determination is to gather the nations, that I may assemble the kings, to pour upon them mine indignation, even all my fierce anger, for all the earth shall be devoured with the fire of my jealousy. For then will I turn to the people a pure language, that they may all call upon the name of the Lord, to serve Him with one consent'...consider carefully the promise, that all shall call upon the name of the Lord, and serve Him with one consent; also that all contemptuous reproach shall be taken away, and there shall be no longer any injustice, or vain speech, or a deceitful tongue" (Celsus, 6k8, ch. 72, ANF, v.4, p. 667).

The verses quoted above by Origen is from (Zephaniah 3:8-9). Sounds satanic or Godly? Worldly or Godly? Which one? Now do you understand why His name is Love? The true God doesn't have duality and that is why He only acts if you believe in Him. Since most on this earth do not believe, He being just, will not force His will on you - (lest you say I didn't ask for it).

He will only act when you believe in Him and ask for it. Until then, Satan, who is most likely the god of this age (2 Corinthians 4:4) will continue to bully you, whilst on earth and perhaps after this time as well (may God show mercy to you). If you don't repent and believe in the true God, you might as well meet Satan soon.

Trust me, Satan and his demons won't be reasoning with you (like how I am doing now). Satan's actions of torture and his words will all be illogical and against all sane reasoning. So if your doctrine sounds torturous (such as eternal torture in hell) and doesn't seem to fit in with any sane reasoning - irrationality (such as infinite punishment rendered to finite crimes), then you know from whom such doctrines come from - Satan or men, and definitely not of Lord Jesus Christ.

Satan and his cohorts will torture you (probably that is why visions of hell seem such - note that even in those visions, Satan and demons as well as his devils are doing the torturing not the true God). Why doesn't God force and save you? It's unfair (not just).

Why wouldn't the true God step in? Because the way of Love doesn't force anyone against their will. God doesn't change from His principles neither for you nor for Satan.

Even you, based on your freewill chose to deny Him and thus Satan has a dominion over you – because you chose to set yourself against the true God implying that you are in Satan's camp as well. Wondered why your pastor or experts couldn't give you these explanations? I was wondering too. Until, the Lord in His great Mercy, chose to reveal some parts of it. Trust me, He (Lord Jesus) still has the best explanations. Ask Him, and He may reveal it to you.

Why believe Him now?

Good: He (Jesus) becomes the one reason to live.

Bad: Want to try out a temporary stay with Satan in Hell?

What do I get (reward) if I follow Him now? You will get age-during life in the age to come in our resurrected bodies (Luke 14:14, John 5:28 - 29). Immortality and all that we seek will also be given to us (believers) first (Romans 2:7) for as He (Lord Jesus) promised, we will never die (John 8:51, John 10:28, John 11:26).

Immortality is implied by the second set of verses listed above not the ones that speak about the reward of age-abiding life. This correlates with the one ancient truth that in the path of righteousness, there is no death (immortality – Proverbs 12:28) but only life.

“In the way of righteousness is life, and in its pathway there is no death.” (Proverbs 12:28)

Here's is what we seek:

If we seek a way, He is the Way.

If we seek a truth, He is the Truth.

If we seek a life, He is the Life.

If we seek the Father, it's only through Him.

(John 14:6)

He (Jesus) satisfies every one of our needs.

When we come to Him only,

If we thirst, we will never thirst again. (John 4:14)

If we hunger, we will never hunger again. (John 6:35)

If we walk alone, we will never walk alone again. (Hebrews 13:5)

If we are in darkness, we will never remain in darkness. (John 8:12)

If we come to Him, He will never cast us out. (John 6:37)

If we are faithless, He remains faithful. (2 Timothy 2:13)

Even if we never believe, (John 4:48),

He remains Faithful. (Romans 3:3-4)

If we believe in Him, we will never perish. (John 10:28)

If we live in Him, we will never die. (John 11:26)

Do you believe this? (John 11:26)

Indeed, now you know the one beautiful reason for everything:
Lord Jesus Christ, King of Heaven and Saviour of the World.

Here is the one test of knowing Him versus knowing about Him:
Know love, know Him. No love, no Him. (1 John 4:8)

You can now gladly exclaim in your hearts:
“Now I’ve found not only a God worth living for, but also a God worth dying for.”

With all the background work regarding the principles of why it is just and right for God to heal and restore the devils, we are now ready to consider the direct verses which describe the end of Satan.

Personal caution and warning: I do not like to say statements like God loves Satan or God saves Satan simply because Satan is the adversary set against God and that such phrases are not found in the Bible. This may be some of the words that are not lawful for men to utter (hidden things). Make a mistake with using the wrong phrase here and you may come under serious judgment.

Satan himself may accuse you of blasphemy (being the accuser of the brethren - Revelation 12:10) and for intruding into things that you and I either do not know (Colossians 2:18) or that we do not have permission to utter (2 Corinthians 12:4). Don’t be silly in ignoring this particular warning lest you become vainly puffed up (proudful) in your mind and thus fall into the same condemnation as the devil (1 Timothy 3:6).

For an example, Satan may accuse you thus: “Who are you to talk about me?” or “Who gave you the permission to write about my stuff?” and other such accusations. I have my reasons as to why I write regarding this topic which I don’t need to tell you. It’s not your business to intrude into things which God, myself and Satan together with his hosts only know.

Don’t get into fantasies. I didn’t just wake up one day and got all loving towards Satan and start writing about this. A clue I will leave to you as to why I may have a right to write about this (that you would know who Lord Jesus really is):

“Then they went out to see what had happened, and came to Jesus, and found the man from whom the demons had departed, sitting at the feet of Jesus, clothed and in his right mind. And they were afraid.”
(Luke 8:35)

But Jesus sent him away, saying “Return to your own house and tell what great things God has done for you...” (Luke 8:39)

Let me tell you a secret: In His name (Lord Jesus), all the gods of this age fled and became powerless to even speak. I repeat, that you may know who Lord Jesus really is. Now you know why I’m so confident in the Lord: I’ve had my share of experiences with demons. I’ve avoided writing too much about it because God didn’t send me to preach demons but rather Christ, Lord of all, who defeated them all by just His name. Again I repeat, that you may know who Lord Jesus really is.

Just a little off-topic note: Remember earlier the Christian social worker with many years of experience said that he had worked with countless drug addicts, mentally ill and demon possessed? Guess what? He has only worked with them whilst I have not only been one of them but also have lived with them for some brief detached periods of time.

I guess I do understand who they are. The answers that psychology can’t provide God did provide. The very fact that psychology rejects the premise of the spirit realm from which these mental influences come as the workings of demons, they (psychologists) will continue to fail to solve these problems. The answer to these problems is with Lord Jesus literally and not in psychology at all.

The Lord Jesus Who saved me is the One that is going to save the world. He (Jesus) didn't say a word at all but rather did all the actions needed to solve my problem. Lord Jesus continues to heal and restore me even as I write till today. The prolonged healing is a weakness on my part, not His.

As the verse goes, *"Therefore if the Son makes you free, you shall be free indeed"* (John 8:36).

You don't have to believe me. I wasn't sent to preach me nor about the voices of demons, but Christ. Finally I repeat, that you may know who Lord Jesus really is.

As I usually say, I hate all God's enemies (especially His ultimate enemy, Satan, with perfect hatred – as King David echoes in Psalm 139:22). The word 'Perfect' means that the hate here is proper and not sadistic. Sadistic hate is from Satan himself.

I prefer saying: God in His Mercy and Love will utterly destroy Satan in order to annihilate all evil in him and thus proceed to heal the inventor of evil and to restore him to his appropriate position. Note that the destruction is for the evil in him and not life itself.

God doesn't destroy life. For God did not make a mistake in creating Satan. The mistakes and sins Satan does are from his own resources (John 8:44) based on the abuse of power in choosing to do evil (having a knowledge to do both evil and good – Ezekiel 28:12).

Satan creates problems while God solves them all. Note that it is commonly held that the origins of Satan stem from two sets of verses in the Bible namely:

- i. Isaiah 14:4-21
- ii. Ezekiel 28:11-19

I know that some of you will argue that the passages above are obscure since it seems to talk about a man (king of Babylon - Isaiah 14:4 and king of Tyre - Ezekiel 28:12) and other times something else since for example no man ever fell from heaven (Isaiah 14:12) nor was the anointed cherub (Ezekiel 28:14). It may be a double meaning: literal (the kings aforementioned) and allegorical (Satan).

It may be the same for the case of the Story of Nineveh and its King as Jerome (of the Vulgate) mentioned earlier stated the following allegorical interpretation of Scripture as it was held by most (majority) of Christians at that time. Here it is again: "I know that most persons understand by the story of Nineveh and its King, the ultimate forgiveness of the devil and all rational creatures."

The end of Satan is this: utterly punished. There's no escape. Satan knows that his time is short and he plans to bring as much people as possible with him to hell. Satan and his hosts are the epitome of evil. Don't be fooled by thinking otherwise.

Now we have come to the end of Satan. On judgment day, our Lord (the King) declares that men who are contrary to Him will be thrown into the age-during fire prepared for the devil and his angels. Scripture records this statement by our Lord as follows,

"Then He will also say to those on the left hand, 'Depart from Me, you cursed, into the age - during fire prepared for the devil and his angels.'" (Matthew 25:41)

Note that the 'fire' was not prepared for men but for the devil and his angels. Nevertheless since men also transgressed, they will be sent into the fire for an age. The future punishment for men who remained contrary to Him lasts for one age and not endless. This is what is meant by a just punishment.

In the book of Revelation, we see that this ‘fire’ is what is called the lake of fire because there (in Revelation), judgment day is described ‘more clearly’ than in the passage above (in Matthew).

The verse below focuses on the part of the punishment for the three most evil creatures in all the world; namely, the devil (or Satan - in context of the definition given in Revelation 12:9), the beast, and the false prophet. This is the end of punishment for Satan and his host:

“The devil, who deceived them, was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone where the beast and false prophet are. And they will be tormented day and night to the ages of Ages.” (Revelation 20:10)

The translation above is based on the literal one meaning principle. In case you think that I may have tricked you, we will first look at some of the famous interlinear (Greek-English parallels) and see what they state the literal meaning for the duration of the punishment of Satan is.

The abbreviations of the translations are as follows:

- i. TNIV – Today’s New International Version
- ii. NLT – New Living Translation
- iii. ESV – English Standard Version
- iv. KJV II – King James Version II
- v. NIV – New International Version
- vi. NRSV – New Revised Standard Version
- vii. NKJV – New King James Version

The Greek for the phrase in consideration looks like this:

αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων

The transliteration (‘romanized’ Greek – using English alphabets) is:

αἰῶνας = aionas

τῶν = ton

αἰώνων = aionon

The phrases with its literal one meaning is:

αἰῶνας = aionas = ages

τῶν = ton = of = of the

αἰώνων = aionon = Ages

Thus αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων transliterated is: aionas ton aionon;

And αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων literally means: ages of ages.

The phrase above is the strongest combination of aion/aionios because it involves two plural words for ages being combined. In other words, only the punishment of the devil has been described using the strongest possible Greek combination of aion/aionios.

Interestingly, even to describe the punishment of the devil, aidios (the only possible true Greek word to mean eternal) is never used.

Men’s punishment as it is discussed elsewhere in this book is only described with a singular age (age –during; one age only).

Let’s dive in deeper. The nature of the Greek words stated above:

- i. aionas = ages = αἰῶνας (Noun – Accusative – Masculine – Plural)
- ii. ton = of (not ‘and’) = τῶν
- iii. aionon = Ages = αἰώνων (Noun – Genitive – Masculine – Plural)

Thus, Satan’s punishment may be described more accurately (to differentiate between: subset = ages and the universal set = Ages): ages of the Ages. If you noticed, I did not use Greek classics to prove that neither aion, aionas nor aionon means ‘eternal’. This is not that the classics render the meaning as eternal there but rather because I do not want to be accused of using worldly wisdom (Greek literature) above usage in Scripture to prove our claim.

Surprising to most, the Bible’s usage itself will prove that both aionas (ages) and aionon (Ages) denote a limited period of time. Let Scripture interpret Scripture.

The usage in the Bible determines a meaning of a word and not in the fantasies elsewhere in Greek unless you acknowledge literature above the Bible as an authority of how a word is meant in the Bible. Many universalists will also prove that *aion/aionios* usage in Greek literature implies that it is not eternal. I don't want to take that path because it is unnecessary for me since Scripture itself can be used to interpret and prove that *aionas* and *aionon* are both not eternal.

We will do the proof in two parts.

Part 1 (Proof that *aionas* = ages is not eternal). We will use the context of Scripture to show that *aionas* cannot mean eternal but it's uniform in its one literal meaning of ages.

Firstly, the word ages consists of at least two singular-age in it and each one (age) together with the total (ages) has a beginning (start) and an end (finish). However, the limited time period for both the age and ages is unknown and its hidden or you may say more accurately as not revealed – undisclosed by God.

A counter – example itself would suffice to prove that *aionas* cannot mean eternal based on our premise of one literal meaning for translation of a word in Scripture – unless the word is a homograph or it doesn't make sense when substituted therein. Here's the counter example needed to prove our claim. Consider the Scriptures:

"... and He (Jesus) will reign to the ages of the Ages."
(Revelation 11:15)

"And He (Jesus) will reign over the House of Jacob to the ages, and of His kingdom there will be no end." (Luke 1:33)

Both the Scriptures above imply that Lord Jesus' reign is limited to a time period defined by the word ages (*aionas*). Does ages (*aionas*) meant here eternal?

The answer is found in an unexpected passage of Scripture written by our beloved apostle Paul himself (a Jew who was a master of both Hebrew/Greek and understood Old Testament Scriptures very well and who also wrote about 50% of the New Testament Scriptures under the inspiration of God):

"For He (Jesus) must reign till He has put all enemies under His feet."
(1 Corinthians 15:25)

The verse above clearly cements the fact that Christ's reign lasts as long as (note the word till or until above) all (not some) of His enemies have been put under His feet (defeated).

Note that if Christ's reign is eternal then Scripture above implies in context that there will be no end to His reign (contradicting the word till above) and that His enemies (all) will never be put under His feet. A double contradiction!

Christ's reign as described in Scripture is meant for the defeat of all enemies of God. The verse above (25) just described what Paul meant in the verse prior (24) to this as the end of the reign.

"Then comes the end, when He (Jesus) delivers the kingdom to God the Father, when He puts an end to all rule and all authority and power."
(1 Corinthians 15:24)

Notice that Scripture clearly distinguishes between Christ's reign and the Kingdom. In the end, the reign has ended but the Kingdom been delivered to God the Father. The verses listed above in (Revelation 11:15), (Luke 1:33) and (1 Corinthians 15:24) all (combined - in the totality of context) clearly imply the following literal one meaning: Christ Jesus (our Lord) will reign to the ages (*aionas*) and this reign will come to an end when all enemies have been defeated and reconciled (subjected - 1 Corinthians 15:28) and only then the Kingdom will continue henceforth with no end since God is all in all.

Part 2 (Proof that aionon = Ages is not eternal). This part is easier to show. We will show that by usage in Scripture, the word aionon (Ages) denotes a time period that has a beginning (start) and an end (finish). Hence this implies that the time period meant by aionon (Ages) is limited and not eternal. The premise is of course, one literal meaning in Scripture is assumed.

The Keys for the abbreviations used are as follows:

BIB: Original Greek BIBLE

NAS: New American Standard Bible

NKJV: New King James Version

NRSV: New Revised Standard Version

NIV: New International Version

ESV: English Standard Version

YLT: Young's Literal Translation

ROTH: Rotherham's Emphasized Bible

INT: Interlinear from the internet.

N-GMP: Noun – Genitive/Masculine/Plural

You will clearly see the confusion sometimes with different translations which often contradict each other with the way they have translated the exact same Greek word. Even their experts don't seem to agree on one literal meaning for the same word.

The translation is done for the same Greek word αἰώνων = aionon (Ages). The odd thing is that the real literal one meaning for the word aionon in Greek is ages and that itself is sufficient and causes no contradiction in meaning/language/grammar when translated equally into all its occurrences in Scripture.

The only reason this is not done is because the translators do not have a concept of ages in their doctrine. YLT and ROTH are both excellent literal translations.

Also take note that the error of duality is obvious amongst them. You can see that translators render a few different meanings to the exact same Greek word, aionon (αἰώνων).

Don't be fooled by their excuses. One word has only one meaning in the context of these Scriptures. The part they don't tell you when they make up these lame language/grammar excuses is that there are exact words in Greek to mean those translated words. For example, if God wanted to mean world He would have just inspired Paul to write kosmon and not aionon simply because aionon does not mean world at all.

Just for you to know, here are some exact transliterated words in Greek which mean the translated meaning not equal to aionon.

- i. If God wanted to mean world, He would have used kosmon, kosmou or oikoumene (inhabited earth) and not aionon (αἰώνων) in the original Greek Bible.
- ii. If God wanted to mean eternal, everlasting, forever, or ever, He would have used aidios or aidiois and not aionon (αἰώνων) in the original Greek Bible.
- iii. If God wanted to mean and, He would have used kai and not ton (τῶν) in the original Greek Bible.
- iv. If God wanted to mean time, He would have used kairos, chronos or even hora.

The real, literal one meaning is this:

- i. Since God used aionon (αἰώνων), He must mean literally, Ages.
- i. Since God used ton (τῶν), He must mean literally, of.

Remember, God is not the author of confusion. He didn't invent the Greek language/grammar excuses (which are actually wisdom of this world) in order for the exact same word to mean differently in different places based on those cunning excuses. Translating Ages wherever aionon occurs causes no language problem at all!

If you feel confused by their translations, (which render different meanings to the same word – the best thing is that their experts also sometimes don't agree on the same word in certain verses), the Bible has an answer. It is certainly not of God (no matter how many hours/days/weeks/months they fasted) simply because as the verse below states God is not the author of confusion:

“For God is not the author of confusion but of peace, as in all the churches of the saints.” (1 Corinthians 14:33)

Most likely they will not admit their errors. The simple reason is because they have too much at stake in it. They have built their money (Mammon - based; not God - based) empires on it. I'm not interested in changing anyone. Only God can change people. My job is to warn you of these cunning lies which have perverted the True Gospel of our Blessed Lord, Jesus Christ.

May the Lord deal with them according to their folly. As Paul warned,

“I marvel that you are turning away so soon from Him who called you in the grace of Christ, to a different gospel. Which is not another; but there are some who trouble you and want to pervert the gospel of Christ. But even if we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel to you than what we have preached to you, let him be accursed (anathema).” (Galatians 1:6-8)

It's up to you to decide which is the truth. I've done my part. Your blood be upon yourselves. Repent or perish! (Luke 13:5). If you feel like asking me, “who are you to tell these things?” Ask yourself first, “who are you to ask me these things?”

Remember, one literal meaning eliminates all confusion and is true to the Scriptures without any adding or removing from the meaning that was intended by God.

We proceed in the following proof by deduction in two steps.

Step 1. [Aionon (Ages) has a beginning (start) – in time.]

Consider the verses below which clearly indicate that aionon (Ages) has a beginning (start) – in time. (By the usage of words such as before, beforehand, beginning, from, from the, past etc.)

1 Corinthians 2:7 N-GMP

BIB: πρὸ τῶν αἰώνων εἰς δόξαν

NAS: before the Ages to our glory;

NKJV: ordained before the Ages for our glory,

NRSV: decreed before the Ages for our glory,

NIV: destined for our glory before time began,

ESV: decreed before the Ages for our glory.

YLT: foreordained before the Ages to our glory.

ROTH: marked out beforehand before the Ages for our glory,

INT: before the Ages for glory

Ephesians 3:9 N-GMP

BIB: ἀπὸ τῶν αἰώνων ἐν τῷ

NAS: of the mystery which for Ages has been hidden

NKJV: beginning of the Ages has been hidden in God

NRSV: mystery hidden for Ages in God who created all things;

NIV: this mystery, which for Ages past was kept hidden in God

ESV: mystery hidden for Ages in God who created all things,

YLT: secret that hath been hid from the Ages in God,

ROTH: secret which had been hidden away from the Ages

INT: from the Ages in

Colossians 1:26 N-GMP

BIB: ἀπὸ τῶν αἰώνων καὶ ἀπὸ

NAS: which has been hidden from the [past] Ages and generations,

NKJV: hidden from Ages and from generations,

NRSV: hidden throughout the Ages and generations but has now been revealed to His saints.

NIV: mystery that has been kept hidden from Ages and
 ESV: the mystery hidden for Ages and generations
 YLT: secret that hath been hid from the Ages and from the generations,
 but now was manifested to His saints,
 ROTH: The sacred secret which had been hidden away from the Ages
 and from the generations
 INT: from the Ages and from

Step 2. [Aionon (Ages) has an end (finish) – in time.]
 Consider the verses below which clearly indicate that aionon (Ages)
 has an end (finish) – in time. (By the usage of words such as end,
 consummation, fulfilment, conjunction etc.)
 1 Corinthians 10:11 N-GMP

BIB: τέλη τῶν αἰώνων κατήντηκεν
 NAS: the ends of the Ages have come.
 NKJV: upon whom the ends of the Ages have come.
 NRSV: on whom the ends of the Ages have come.
 NIV: on whom the fulfilment of the Ages has come.
 ESV: on whom the end of the Ages has come.
 YLT: to whom the end of the Ages did come
 ROTH: unto whom the ends of the Ages have reached along.
 INT: ends of the Ages are arrived
 Hebrews 9:26 N-GMP
 BIB: συντελεία τῶν αἰώνων εἰς ἀθέτησιν
 NAS: at the consummation of the Ages He has been manifested
 NKJV: but now, once at the end of the Ages He has
 NRSV: once for all at the end of the Age to remove sin
 NIV: once for all at the end of the Ages to do away with sin
 ESV: once for all at the end of the Ages to put away sin
 YLT: once, at the full end of the Ages for putting away of sin
 ROTH: once for all upon a conjunction of the Ages
 INT: [the] consummation of the Ages for [the] putting away

Now we are ready to summarize the proof above formally.
 Formal – Summary of proof done above.
 (Proof that aionas = ages and aionon= Ages are both not eternal).

Premise One: Our One God, when He gave the inspiration of the
 Holy Scriptures through His Holy Spirit had only one literal meaning
 intended for each of the Greek words aionas = αἰῶνας and aionon =
 αἰώνων respectively, wherever they occur in the original Greek Bible.

Premise 2: Based on the usage in Scripture (in particular; part 1 – the
 reign of Christ), we have seen that aionas = αἰῶνας there implies the
 meaning ages only for if it means eternal there, the contradictions are
 obvious.

Premise 3: Based on the usage in Scripture (in particular; part 2 – step
 1 and step 2 in the above), we have seen that aionon = αἰώνων there
 implies the meaning Ages only for by deduction, aionon had been
 shown to have both a beginning (start – as shown in step 1) and an end
 (finish – as shown in step 2).

One Conclusion:
 Premise one, 2 and 3 above, when combined imply the following
 inerrant conclusion, namely: Since the particular usages of both aionas
 (as stated in premise 2) and aionon (as stated in premise 3) have been
 shown to mean a limited period of time, together with premise one,
 this implies that in all occurrences in the Holy Bible they are deduced
 to mean ages (Ages) respectively.

Also, since if we translate (ages or Ages) for (aionas or aionon) for
 all occurrences (in the Bible respectively) we do not get any form of
 contradictions even in terms of language/literal – meaning/grammar
 thus its one literal meaning stated above may be assumed unequivocally
 without any prejudice. QED (Quod Et Demonstratum – which was to
 be demonstrated).

END OF PROOF.

Now we have come to the next interesting section. Since both aionas and aionon denote limited time periods, their combination, which is aionas ton aionon (ages of Ages) retains its one literal meaning as also to denote a limited time period. It's obvious.

To assume that aionas ton aionon (ages of Ages) means eternal (forever) would immediately lead to a contradiction based on premise one above. To see this, consider the reign of Christ as described below:

“... and He (Jesus) will reign to the ages of the Ages.”
(Revelation 11:15)

Now, if the phrase ‘ages of the Ages’ (aionas ton aionon – in Greek) above means forever and ever, then we would have a contradiction as described in premise 2 above. Being that said, we see that the following punishments listed below all denote a limited time period (because all of the punishments below are described using the exact same phrase {aionas ton aionon} - whose one literal meaning in English is ‘ages of the Ages’):

“Again they said, ‘Alleluia! Her smoke rises up to the ages of the Ages.’” (Revelation 19:3)

“The devil, who deceived them, was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone where the beast and the false prophet are. And they will be tormented day and night to the ages of the Ages.”
(Revelation 20:10)

Here we find the strongest combination of Aion/Aionon used to describe the punishments of the three most evil creatures namely, the devil (satan, the ancient serpent, dragon – in the context of the definition given in Revelation 12:9), the beast and the false prophet.

Their punishment (satan, beast and the false prophet) is a limited but an undisclosed time period of the ages – plural and not forever. Herein comes an interesting one consequence (implication) of this: “If Satan’s punishment itself is only for a limited time period, then the punishment of men as described by the weaker phrase found in Matthew 25:46 and elsewhere in the New Testament must therefore also mean a limited time period and certainly not eternal.”

This weaker phrase is ‘aionion kolasin’ where kolasin = punishment while αἰώνιον = aionion = age, literally (adjective – accusative – feminine – singular). This why the punishment of men is only for an age – singular (post judgment day sentencing – and that age for that punishment is called men’s correction age in this book).

Isn’t our God truly the Saviour of the World? Rejoice!

chapter 77
The Secret Or Mystery Of Christ

His ancient saints knew the “secret” or “mystery” of Christ (Saving All Things) having been revealed to it by His Spirit. Men of earlier ages did “not” know it.

“the secret that hath been hid from the ages and from the generations, but now was manifested to His saints,” (Colossians 1:26)

“that by revelation He made known to me the secret, according as I wrote before in few [words] - in regard to which ye are able, reading [it], to understand my knowledge in the secret of the Christ, which in other generations was not made known to the sons of men, as it was now revealed to His holy apostles and prophets in the Spirit” (Ephesians 3:3-5)

It is also called the “Purpose of the ages” (literally) found in the verses below (original Greek & literal translations only):

“and to cause all to see what [is] the fellowship of the secret that hath been hid from the ages in God, Who the all things did create by Jesus Christ, that there might be made known now to the principalities and the authorities in the heavenly [places], through the assembly, the manifold wisdom of God, according to the Purpose of the ages, which He made in Christ Jesus our Lord,” (Ephesians 3:9-11)

The “Secret” or “Mystery” of Christ centers around the “One Purpose” or “Secret Will” of His to gather in “One All Things” in Christ in the “fullness” of the “times” (ages to come - Ephesians 2:7):

“having made known to us the Secret of His will, according to His good pleasure, that He purposed in Himself, in regard to the dispensation of the fullness of the times, to bring into one the all things in the Christ, both the things in the heavens, and the things upon the earth - in Him;”
(Ephesians 1:9-10)

Where by His Spirit we can see that the “gathering of all things in One in Christ” is to “Reconcile them All”:

“and through Him to Reconcile the all things to Himself - having made Peace through the Blood of His Cross - through Him, whether the things upon the earth, whether the things in the heavens.”
(Colossians 1:20)

And finally in the “end” (of the ages when His Purposes are accomplished), God becomes “All in All”. Indeed, here’s the “ending” verse:

“and when the all things may be subjected to Him, then the Son also Himself shall be subject to Him, Who did Subject to Him the all things, that God may be the all in all.” (1 Corinthians 15:28)

chapter 78

The Sign: Gospel To Be Preached To All - The Inhabited Earth

How is it to be fulfilled if “Christ Saves All at the end of the Ages” is the essence of the “True Gospel”? The Gospel will be preached to all the inhabited earth before the end comes. I know the ‘cunning’ tricks of your pastors trying their best to suppress the spread of the True Gospel and instead preach the lie of eternal hell hoping to fulfil the verse below:

“and this good news (Gospel) of the reign shall be proclaimed in all the world, for a testimony to all the nations; and then shall the end arrive.” (Matthew 24:14)

You have been deceived to think that this verse is to be fulfilled by the “missions idea of travelling land & sea to convert to the eternal hell doctrine”. How then will it be fulfilled?

“Supernaturally” in the end time as the verses below declare “literally”:
(i) Messenger (angel)

“And I saw another messenger (angel) flying in mid-heaven, having good news age-during to proclaim to those dwelling upon the earth, and to every nation, and tribe, and tongue, and people,”
(Revelation 14:6)

Note that the verse above literally and truth-fully states that the Gospel will be preached to all dwelling upon the earth - fulfilling Christ's condition for the World to end as written in Matthew 24:14.

(ii) His Anonymous (unnamed, unrevealed) Two Witnesses

“and I will give to My two witnesses, and they shall prophesy days, a thousand, two hundred, sixty, arrayed with sackcloth; these are the two olive [trees], and the two lamp-stands that before the God of the earth do stand; and if any one may will to injure them, fire doth proceed out of their mouth, and doth devour their enemies, and if any one may will to injure them, thus it behoveth him to be killed. These have authority to shut the heaven, that it may not rain rain in the days of their prophecy, and authority they have over the waters to turn them to blood, and to smite the land with every plague, as often as they may will.” (Revelation 11:3-6)

In short, “God’s method is “not” man” (the missions’ assumption in the spreading of the eternal hell gospel - self righteous in thinking without them spreading the gospel, the verses cannot be fulfilled - self righteous claims). The participation of men in spreading the True Gospel (Good News) of the coming end of the world in order that “He Judges Righteously” and makes “All things New” even via the dreaded “Lake of Fire” (into the ages to come) is a “privilege” not a “favour one did for God”.

I told ya, “He can preach the Gospel and Save with & without us” (Biblical Fact). No one can stop Him nor nullify or try to make His Word to be “not” fulfilled (Truth). How come the other Christians didn’t see it?

“And Jesus answering said unto them, Do you not therefore err, because you know not the scriptures, neither the power of God?” (Mark 12:24) Now you know why no one can stop Him (God) - literally meant! Blessed be His Holy Name (Lord Jesus Christ) into the ages of Ages (till all things are accomplished) and beyond!

chapter 79

The Truth Regarding Hell By The Vision of Sadhu Sundar Singh

HELL by Sadhu Sundar Singh’s visions (by AJ Appasamy).

“I was also told that the love of God operates even in Hell. God does not shine in His full light, because those there could not bear it, but He gradually shows them more and more light, and by and by brings them on and moves their conscience towards something better...”

The Sadhu appears to interpret the phrase Kingdom of Heaven as equivalent to the Third Heaven.

ECSTASY AND VISION 101 though, they think that the desire is entirely their own. Thus God works on their minds from within, something in the same way, though in the opposite direction, as that in which Satan suggests temptation to us here.

Thus, what with God’s work within and the Light with- out, almost all those in Hell will ultimately be brought to Christ’s feet. It will perhaps take millions of ages, but when it is attained they will be full of joy and thankfulness towards God ; though they will still be less happy than those who have accepted Christ on earth.

Thus Hell also is a training school, a place of preparation for Home.

chapter 80
The Unchangeable God: Everlasting Chains

The answered prayer. Lord Jesus said, “Most assuredly, I say to you, he who believes in Me, the works that I do he will do also; and greater works than these he will do, because I go to My Father.

And whatever you ask in My Name, that I will do, that the Father may be glorified in the Son. If you ask anything in My Name, I will do it” (John 14:12)

We often hear that prayers are unanswered. The simple reason behind this is because we either ask amiss (not according to the will of God - that we might spend it on our pleasures) or that the timing is not right (those prayers will be answered in its appropriate time).

We don’t give instructions to God. We make requests in accordance to the will of God as the following Scripture testifies:

“You ask and do not receive, because you ask amiss, that you may spend it on your pleasures.” (James 4:3)

The next part will be based on a prayer our Lord Himself taught:

“Your kingdom come, Your will be done, On earth as it is in heaven.” (Matthew 6:10)

What is His Will? Simply put, to save the world (all of it - not just men).

“For God did not send His Son into the world to condemn the world, but that the world through Him might be saved.” (John 3:17)

All in the heavens know that God’s Will will be done in time. Creation’s will cannot hold out against God or be contrary to Him ‘forever’ as how the Augustinian myth teaches. Our God does not lose to Satan or anyone else in any way. Just one Scripture will suffice to prove this fact about our unchangeable God:

“Declaring the end from the beginning, and from ancient times things that are not yet done Saying, ‘My counsel shall stand, And I will do all My pleasure.’” (Isaiah 46:10)

Here we see clearly that though the salvation of the world is not done yet (as the verse says, things that are not yet done), we can rest assured that our God’s counsel shall stand (His Word has declared that Lord Jesus has been sent to save the world) and that it will be done in time (I will do all My pleasure).

Remember, saving the world gives our good God the pleasure and not the death of the wicked. (Ezekiel 18:23,32) The word in Greek that is unequivocally accepted to mean eternal is aidios. The derivations in Greek of this word only occurs twice (2 times) in the entire Bible; Once to describe the eternal power of God whilst the other to describe the everlasting chains which holds the apostate angels in reserve. Here are the Scriptures that mean these:

“For since the creation of the world His invisible attributes are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal (aidios - singular) power and Godhead, so that they are without excuse,” (Romans 1:20)

“And the angels who did not keep their proper domain, but left their own abode, He has reserved in everlasting (aidios - plural) chains under darkness for (till) the judgment of the great day.” (Jude 1:6)

There is no controversy about the verse found in Romans above. All agree that it beautifully describes our omnipotent (all - powerful) God. The second verse listed above (in Jude 6) however is interesting.

There’s more than what meets the eye. Some would immediately argue that since the chains are mentioned to be everlasting, thus the apostate angels must therefore be punished eternally. However, we find (as discussed in the chapter regarding The end of Satan) that the punishment even for Satan (their leader) is described in the much weaker aion/aionon derivation and not aidios. This clearly implies that the punishment of the Devil himself is not eternal but only to the ages. Is this a contradiction in the Bible? No! Do allow me to explain a hidden but yet an astounding truth about our true God (The Genius Who wrote the Bible).

Let us now indulge into some background work first. The Bible has all the answers relating to the workings of God (His principles). Atheists (and other enemies of the faith) have often falsely ridiculed our God as ‘relenting’ or ‘repenting’ from doing ‘something’ in Scripture as a contradiction to His Word in James (stated below) which clearly states that God does not change:

“Every good and perfect gift is from above, and comes down from the Father of lights, with whom there is no variation or shadow of turning.” (James 1:17)

The error of their interpretation stems from the same error held by many in the eternal hell/annihilation camp who assume that God does not change with respect to ‘anything’ (whether good or bad).

The fact is that God does not change with respect to good only. If you don't believe, try reading the verse above in James 1:17 again; Did you notice that when James writes that there is 'no variation or shadow of turning' (i.e., God does not change), he (James) mentioned first that it is with respect to 'every good and perfect gift'?

In other words, God does not change in His goodness and perfection. For example, if a judgment may be changed due to a change in the creature's will, then God may choose to change the judgment sentence simply because the change accounts to both His goodness and perfection.

It is analogous to the theory of relativity which Einstein coined. Simply put, the judgment sentence (everlasting chains) is relative to the creature's will which set itself against God. It is not an absolute will of God to do so for He doesn't desire the death of even the wicked. God's absolute will is quite the contrary, namely, to save the world (the world includes the apostate angels).

Thus the converse is also true, namely, when the creature's will has been subdued (changed) and not contrary to God anymore, the judgment sentence (everlasting chains) need not hold any longer. Does that mean that any creature can trick God? Absolutely no. It is impossible for any creature to outwit or trick God. The everlasting sentence will only be changed when there is no turning back in the part of the creature's will anymore.

This assurance is clear in Scripture for creation will never fall again because it can even be implied from James 1:17. Since salvation is a free-good-perfect gift of God, and James says that all good-perfect gift (thus includes salvation) is from the Father of lights (God) and thus it is not changeable anymore (cannot fall-out) since this is the part which says that God does not change, i.e. with regards to the perfect-good-gift such as salvation. Thus perfect logical thinking (reason) does not lead you away from Christ but rather to Christ because perfect reason is a gift from God.

Is there Scripture to back up the claim that God can change a judgment sentence based on another stronger attribute of Him arising from His goodness and perfection? Yes, and in fact James himself wrote it (talking about absolute context). Consider:

"...Mercy triumphs over judgment." (James 2:13)

Before you accuse me of taking the verse above out of context, consider the verse in full below:

"For judgment is without mercy to the one who has shown no mercy. Mercy triumphs over judgment." (James 2:13)

Thus even in the context of the verse stated above, mercy is said to triumph (win) over judgment of one (anyone) who has shown no mercy! (someone who has shown no mercy. Who can that be?... sounds also like the apostate angels or the demons themselves?)

That's what we can literally see and infer. These are hidden things which come to light only if our blessed Saviour, Lord Jesus Christ (who has all keys) unlocks our hearts and minds to be able to see it. Some would still argue and say that if that's the case, then our eternal life may change is it? This is the kind of error that probably even Origen of Alexandria (an avowed universalist Christian) made.

That is why Origen is said to have taught the error teaching of transmigration of souls. Basically this wrong teaching states that in the ages to come (Ephesians 2:7), a man that is saved, may fall (apostate himself) and rise again (be saved) again during the course of the ages in repetitive cycles. There is no such thing in Scripture!

Probably also that is why Augustine of Hippo had to conclude that the Greek word αἰώνιον = aionion (transliterated) which literally means

age (singular - one age only) which occurs for the life and punishment of men as described in Matthew 25:46 to mean eternal in order to stop such wrong teachings based on the possibility in losing the eternal life that Christ gives which stems from the concept of ages.

Just because Origen made his errors in speculating into things that are not written and neither have any basis in Scripture such as the transmigration of souls stated above, does not in any way prove universalism in Christianity to be wrong. The Bible is very clear in the universal salvation implied by Christ's work on the cross.

The chief error made in both of the claims above (be it 'can we lose eternal life' or that 'can one fall and rise again in the ages to come') Is this: There is no change in the good and perfect gift of God and the primary of such a gift is this: Salvation!

Did you get it? As the verse (in James 1:17) testifies, salvation (eternal life) will not change because it is the good and perfect gift of God while judgment (hell sentence - everlasting chains) may change because it is not a good nor a perfect and not even a gift from God at all! This is called literal context.

This is the literal one meaning which agrees with the rest of Scripture: Though God has passed a sentence (judgment) on the apostate angels to be bound in everlasting chains (Jude 1:6), yet He can and will change this eternal bounding in chains to a limited time sentence (aionas ton aionon – ages of the Ages – as stated in Revelation 20:10) on Judgment Day (Jude 1:6) as even the rest of Scriptures agree to this. The one principle which allows this change is reflected in the verse which states, *'Mercy triumphs over judgment' (James 2:13)*.

This fact may also be inferred to be correct in interpretation since the rest of the Scriptures testifies mysteriously towards this end in which

Christ gather's all things (Ephesians 1:10), reconciles them according to the Will of God which would certainly give God the pleasure or delight (intent & working - 2 Corinthians 5:19, Accomplished & fact - Colossians 1:20), and God finally becomes all in all in the end. (1 Corinthians 15:28).

Note that the all things certainly includes even the 'apostate angels' literally. Note also that in Colossians 1:20 it is clearly stated literally that Christ Jesus reconciles not only men but also everything (all) on earth or in the heavens (i.e. whatever creature that may be contrary to Him and needs reconciliation - Note that our Loving Lord does not destroy them but rather according to the Will of God has chosen to reconcile them all instead). This is who Lord Jesus is. His Will be done! Just one Scripture from the Old Testament also testifies to this truth:

"For I am the LORD, I do not change; Therefore you are not consumed, O sons of Jacob." (Malachi 3:6)

Note again that the God of the Old Testament hasn't changed at all because even then He said that He does not change with respect to not destroying. Got it? In other words, the LORD does not change as He declared in Malachi above in the strict sense of not consuming (completely destroying) because the very next line literally says, 'you are not consumed.' If suddenly in the New Testament, the same God creates/reveals eternal hell /everlasting punishment or even annihilation, then He clearly has changed from not destroying; not consuming - only inflicts limited time period destruction or punishments - into completely destroying; Which is clearly a contradiction! (against - Malachi 3:6, James 1:17 and James 2:13)

This clearly shows that our God is able to change even the everlasting chains (punishments) into limited time ones (ages) because He is love (Agape). His Mercy is so great that though He can make everlasting

sentences for punishment - (Jude 1:6) but yet He can also and will change it to limited ones in time (Revelation 20:10) without contradicting His principles as stated in Scripture (James 2:13).

Remember, God can (has the aidios – eternal power) to completely destroy (inflict everlasting punishment or eternal hell sentence) but He chooses (based on the working of His Will) not to do so due to His great Love (Agape) exhibited through His Mercy (as Scripture itself states in James 2:13) which triumphs over judgment. Maranatha!*

“Behold, I will send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the awesome and dreadful day of the LORD.” (Malachi 4:5)

*Marana-tha is an exclamation for the Lord to come in view of the coming Divine Judgment.

chapter 81 Time & Judgment

“Shall we sin more?”

The fact that the New Testament (NT) is so ‘long’ proves that there is more to God’s judgment in His plan to save the world through His Son. If there was ‘no hope after death’, then the NT should have only about a phrase or two like this, “Believe and you will live but if you don’t believe, you will burn endlessly.”

The good news is ‘there is no such phrase found in the Bible’. The ‘danger’ of knowing the Truth is this:

“What shall we say then? Shall we continue in sin that grace may abound?” (Romans 6:1)

The answer lies in the ‘next verse’: *“Certainly not!...” (Romans 6:2)*

Love is always abused by ‘evil’. That is why when mentioned about the Truth of the Gospel (that Christ saves ‘all’), evil in us immediately responds thus:

1. If that’s the case, I want to ‘sin more’. (An attitude problem contradicting Romans 6:1-2)
2. If God is like that, I don’t want to be a Christian. (An attitude problem indicating an absence of Love due to the presence of

jealousy - jealous that everyone will enter heaven eventually - Love in them is absent since Love is not jealous (envious) - 1 Corinthians 13:4; or it 'proves' that they have 'cold love'. The Bible writes that the Love of many has grown cold – Matthew 24:12).

Their common loveless justification (a reasoning that Christ would never utter nor support): “One is jealous because he never ‘enjoyed’ those sins but those who ‘enjoyed’ such will also be given a chance to return to heaven ‘much later’ - they call it ‘unfair’ - But the part they do not ‘see’ is that these unrepentant sinners do not get the same initial blessing nor inheritance in the kingdom of God (1 Corinthians 6:9-10) in the coming age.

Also, it is not easy to be ‘saved’ on the other side from hell. One may need to pay heavily for the sins for a long period of time according to their wrongs; Indeed, “God is not mocked, what a man sows he will reap!” (Galatians 6:7). This is what it means in both verses above when it warns, “Do not be deceived!”.

The warning of deception is for both the people who will ‘abuse’ the Truth (Love) of God to do more sin thinking that they will not be punished at all and also for those who think that God will torture eternally without having any purpose for His chastisements.

God is Just giving Him the right to punish but is also Love giving Him the right to forgive. Justness in God demands punishment but Love in God demands that the punishment meted out to have an ‘end’. The Bible actually teaches the ‘opposite’ to what is commonly preached in His name from the pulpits of churches. Plenty of phrases in the Bible talk and hint on limited – time judgment and the ultimate Triumph of God to save the world. With respect to men (our focus), we can see that it is written:

“Therefore, as through one man’s offense judgment came to ‘all’ men (not ‘some men’), resulting in condemnation, even so through One Man’s righteous Act the free gift came to ‘all’ men (the same ‘all’ men quoted earlier - not ‘some men or believers only’), resulting in justification of life.” (Romans 5:18)

Note that the same all men condemned in the ‘first part of the verse’ is the same all men who will be justified through Christ as recorded in the ‘second part of the same verse’ above in (Romans 5:18) - This is what correct ‘context’ really means.

God’s wisdom reveals His hidden things giving clear, correct and consistent interpretation of Scripture. As Scripture asks:

“Who is like a wise man? And who knows the interpretation of a thing? A man’s wisdom makes his face shine, And the sternness of his face is changed.” (Ecclesiastes 8:1)

Scripture answers the question (Who is a wise man?) by indicating that the wise would be someone who (because he knows God’s interpretation), it would make his face ‘shine’ and his ‘sternness’ removed. With regards to ‘judgment’, the answer should make one’s face ‘shine’ and one’s ‘sternness’ removed. Will ‘purposeless torture in eternal fire’ (interpretation 1) or ‘chastisement for betterment in age-during fire’ (interpretation 2) for the wicked result in one’s face to ‘shine’ and his ‘sternness’ removed? This is why interpretation 1 and its translation of the Bible (idiomatic translation) is wrong and interpretation 2 and its translation of the Bible (literal translation) is correct and ‘wise’.

Before accusing me of ‘taking the verse out of context’ when I related the ‘being wise - face shines - sternness removed’ with ‘judgment’, read just four verses down and you will find this ‘context of judgment’ with regards to being ‘wise’ in the same chapter (absolute context - not ‘out of context’) :

“...And a wise man’s heart discerns both time and judgment,”
(Ecclesiastes 8:5)

This is the interesting part:

We can see that the Word of God gave us a clue first that a ‘wise interpretation’ would make a person’s face ‘shine and its sternness removed’ and then it also states a few verses later that a ‘wise’ man would be able to discern ‘time’ with respect to ‘judgment’.

Indeed, time is not timelessness. Time (indicates measurability - implying limit) cannot mean ‘eternal’ or ‘endless duration’ for the latter is ‘timelessness’. Eternity is commonly held as timelessness because it has no notion of ‘time’ (limit in it).

Similarly, judgment has two sentences - reward or punishment. The reward is for the righteous while the punishment (chastisement for betterment) is for the wicked. Do take note that Lord Jesus in the gospels (especially in Matthew 25:46) used the Greek word ‘kolasin’ (transliterated - meaning ‘pruning’ - used in botany too for pruning of plants for its ‘betterment’).

Note also that Lord Jesus did not use the real Greek word for ‘torture’ which is ‘timoria’ (and its derivations) to describe the punishment of God for the wicked. Guess which contemporaries of Christ taught ‘eternal punishment’ of the wicked using the correct Greek words differing from our Blessed Saviour? The Pharisees.

Josephus (an almost contemporary Jew during the time of Christ) himself records in his writing (in Greek) the usage of those words back then in time. Josephus uses the phrase ‘kolasin aionion’ when describing the concept of punishment held by Christians agreeing perfectly ‘word for word’ with the Greek phrase found in the Bible in Matthew 25:46. However, when describing the Pharisees’ doctrine of punishment for the wicked, Josephus uses ‘their equivalent Greek

term’ (which consists of entirely different words than that of Christ), namely, ‘aidios timoria’.

Simply put, to recap:

aidios = eternal (timelessness)

timoria = torture (purposeless punishment – ‘no betterment’)

aionion = age-during (limited ‘time’)

kolasin = pruning (chastisement punishment for ‘betterment’)

Can you see how you have been deceived by translators who in English translate both phrases (aidios timoria and kolasin aionion) as ‘eternal punishment’ in Josephus’ English translations?

The fact is simple:

The Pharisees taught aidios timoria = eternal torture.

Lord Jesus taught kolasin aionion = age-during chastisement.

The very fact that our Blessed Lord did not use the ‘same’ term employed beforehand by the Pharisees to teach His notion of punishment for the wicked clearly shows that He rejected the Pharisaic doctrine of ‘eternal torture’. Had Christ intended to teach the ‘same’ concept of ‘eternal punishment’ with the Jewish Pharisees, then it is only logical that He would have used the ‘same’ terms as the prevalent ‘aidios timoria’ so that the people would be able to identify the ‘same doctrine’ with regards to the ‘end’ of the wicked using an already ‘accepted term’ in the society. The very fact that Christ didn’t employ such terms clearly indicates that He taught a more rational, reasonable, and logical concept of temporal punishment for the betterment of the person on whom it’s inflicted.

No wonder Christ never got impressed by the Pharisaic mission mentality of “warning the world of a God who will burn you eternally in fire if you don’t accept Him” as recorded in Matthew 23:15. All these Pharisees who converted their proselytes to being ‘twice the sons of hell’ would have “mocked” Christ as leading “the masses” to eternal hell because Lord Jesus taught that He will save the World!

Coming back to ‘time and judgment’, we see that ‘rewards and punishments’ (Judgment) are ‘limited to the age to come’ (time) as Matthew 25:46 states (when literally & correctly translated):

“And the wicked shall go into age-during correction while the righteous into age-during life” (Matthew 25:46)

What happens after the ‘age to come’? Christ has saved ‘all men’ (1 Timothy 4:10) highlighting His work for man has finished. Immortality through Christ awaits all men as the Gospel records in the verse below: “But is now made manifest by the appearing of our Saviour Jesus Christ, who hath abolished death, and hath brought life and immortality to light through the gospel” (2 Timothy 1:10)

Note that “immortality” (in Greek meaning ‘incorruptible, immortal’ – transliterated = aphantsian) only comes after “death has been abolished” (correlate with 1 Corinthians 15:54-55 of which the conclusion {note the word “end” in verse 24} was stated earlier as God becoming ‘all in all’ [verse 28]). The ‘end’ of men (note the word ‘end’ in 1 Timothy 4:10 happens when death has been abolished for men ‘after the punishment in the age to come’) resulting in all men being saved in 1 Timothy 4:10. Similarly, the ‘end’ for the rest of the world happens in 1 Corinthians 15:24 when all opposing “powers” are made subject through the punishment lasting for “ages” (Revelation 20:10) in the Lake of fire resulting in the world being saved (1 John 4:14), creation being set free (Romans 8:21), all reconciled (Colossians 1:20), fulfilling the restoration (apocatastasis) of all things spoken to all His holy prophets from the age (Acts 3:21), all achieved through His Grace and kindness which He will show in the coming ages (Ephesians 2:7) - God’s plan of the ages.

God is the Genius who has pre-framed the ages (time period) to bring about the salvation of the whole world. We need ‘faith’ to understand this creating & framing of the ages (Hebrews 1:2 & 11:3 respectively).

Back to the question somewhere at the beginning of this chapter:

“Who is like a wise man? And who knows the interpretation of a thing?...” (Ecclesiastes 8:1)

Scripture answers it thus: *“Evil men understand not judgment, And those seeking Jehovah understand all.” (Proverbs 28:5)*

It doesn’t get any clearer than this. Note that the “masses” in the world don’t understand “judgment” simply because they are “evil” (proven by their negative response to an all saving God: ‘jealous of others entering heaven’ {calling it unfair - the elder brother syndrome} to ‘if hell is not eternal, then I want to sin more!’).

But those truly seeking God (Jehovah), will understand ‘all’ (not some things only - especially regarding judgment - talking about context here). Who seeks Jehovah (God = Jesus)? Those who truly ‘Love’ Him as Jesus Himself said:

“If you love Me, you will keep My commandments.” (John 14:15)

Lack of ‘knowledge’ (rejecting it on the false basis that facts, logic, and reason - come from the devil! - these are actually traits of truth) causes believers (‘My people’) to be ‘destroyed’:

“My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge”. (Hosea 4:6)

They reject ‘wise men...etc’ sent by God and even ‘kill’ some of them thinking that they are ‘offering God a service’. Plenty of eternal hell believers have murdered “masses” in the name of God but almost no “universalist Christian” has ever committed murder simply because there is no “theological reason” to do so here.

Indeed the verse says:

“Because of this, lo, I send to you prophets, and wise men, and scribes, and of them ye will kill and crucify, and of them ye will scourge in your synagogues, and will pursue from city to city”. (Matthew 23:34)

Remember, this is the doctrine belonging to the kings of heaven. (such as king David etc...)

Indeed, it is written:

“It is the glory of God to conceal a matter, and it is the glory of kings to search it out!” (Proverbs 25:2)

chapter 82 Tithe - Cursing

Your pastor's list of best friends is usually the same as his list of tithers. That is, their friendship is mammon-bound. “Let not our friendship be money - bound”. P/S: Mammon = Syriac God of money = money (literally).

Why? It's simple (literally): Your pastor pronounces ‘blessings’ upon the ‘tither’ (a tithe payer) for his faithful giving of tithes which accounts to further monetary gains on the side of the tither (the real reason why our tithe payer is friends with the pastor in the first place)

In return, the tither (tithe payer) returns the ‘favour’ of blessing to the pastor directly (by giving a love offering to the pastor) or indirectly (by supporting the pastor's church or the so-called ministries run by him). This is how mammon rules them. How to do it then? Simple, look at Christ. How did He do ‘ministry’? Freely & by Free-will giving.

Clarification: You may begin by collecting money for an orphanage by doing it in the name of that ‘orphan’ (humanity), and not God. For it is not God Who is eating off your money (literally). Don't use His name in vain.

Secondly, let your collections in your church be 100% free-will giving. Don't make fake rules like tithing to get a minimum sustenance for your survival and also don't talk about money at all especially from the pulpit in church.

Thirdly, don't make it a habit to pronounce 'blessings' upon those who give you 'money' (literally). You don't even know how that money was made by your beloved 'giver'. You may not know how many people he cheated or squeezed the blood from to obtain those riches (thieves).

Fourthly, bless everyone (but not publically). Do it in your prayer in 'secret'. You see, don't just pronounce 'blessings' (monetary or otherwise) upon those who bless you (monetary or otherwise), as our Lord instructed to bless even those who 'curse us' (be it to eternal hell itself).

Fifthly, don't pronounce 'woes' upon others especially on the mislead sheep of God (you have no such authority). The 'key' to identify whether a so called 'man of God' has authority in Him or not is based on his understanding of His Word. Look at the Bible: All men of God (true) knew His Word in and out.

Sixthly, I repeat, stop cursing His Sheep. Indeed, you find those pastors (fake men of God) scaring the sheep into obedience of money (mammon) by simply extorting them in the name of 'God' by making claims such as:

1. "If you don't give, neither will God give you anything" (no such verse found in the Bible, literally. The converse verse is found, namely, "give and it will be given unto you" but remember the point made about being careful with 'converses' as it may not be true? - in the infamous logical fallacy example - with regards to 'umbrella' and 'raining'?)
2. "If you don't give willingly or tithe properly, God is going to take your job away from you. And then they will point to an unrelated example such as 'me' and say, 'look at him. He left church, went against the pastors, stopped giving money & tithes to church and thus

God made him poor, jobless, penniless etc'." As usual, you decide for yourselves which is 'truth'. A clue: Truth is not determined by monetary success.

A fact to support that statement from the New Testament: All the apostles were close to being beggars. In fact, between the rich man and the 'beggar', Lazarus, it was Lazarus who made it to 'Abraham's bosom' first (literally).

A verse (to prove those most loyal apostles of Christ were like 'beggars' in terms of money, literally speaking - The verses below literally prove the 'sign of an apostle'):

"⁸Now you are full, now you are rich, you have reigned as kings without us: and I would to God you did reign, that we also might reign with you. ⁹For I think that God has set forth us the apostles last, as appointed to death: for we are made a spectacle unto the world, and to angels, and to men. ¹⁰We are fools for Christ's sake, but you are wise in Christ; we are weak, but you are strong; you are honorable, but we are despised. ¹¹Even unto this present hour we both hunger, and thirst, and are naked, and are buffeted, and have no certain dwelling place; ¹²And labor, working with our own hands: being reviled, we bless; being persecuted, we endure it: ¹³Being defamed, we entreat: we are made as the filth of the world, and are the trash of all things unto this day." (1 Corinthians 4:8-13)

P/S 2:

This why I'm 'not' an apostle and never claimed to be one.

P/S 3:

Take the Bible "literally" (best context) and you will see the 'Truth'.

chapter 83

Titus

“For the grace of God has appeared, bringing salvation to “all” men.” (Titus 2:11)

Here Paul writes the “salvation of all men” (not some men or believers only) is a result of the “grace of God”... Note that for believers, we are saved by the “grace of God” through “faith”(because we believe in this life itself without seeing - called faith!) but Scripture doesn’t “end” there; It assures the salvation of “all men” (non-believers - the rest) through the working of “God’s Grace” only.

In short, “all men” are saved by “God’s grace” but the believers earn it here in this temporal life itself through “faith”. Interestingly, Paul clearly highlights even to “pastor Titus” here just like he did to “pastor Timothy” (in 1 Timothy 4:10) that the “true gospel” to abide by involves believing in the salvation of “all men” to avoid deception, lies and demonic doctrines.

Note that Paul never mentioned the phrase “eternal hell” once in the 50 percent or so part of the New Testament Bible which God inspired him to write but plenty of “salvation”, “reconciliation”, and “saving” of “all” men or things (rational creatures or creation itself) is clearly mentioned.

chapter 84

Toughest Questions

Someone asked: “I would like for you to take the following passages and tell us why anyone would think that they are moral, good and ethical, or even “God’s word”:

When the Israelites were in the wilderness they found a man gathering wood on the Sabbath day. Those who found him gathering wood brought him to Moses and Aaron and to the whole community. They put him in custody, because there was no clear instruction about what should be done to him.

Then the LORD said to Moses, “The man must surely be put to death; the whole community must stone him with stones outside the camp.” So the whole community took him outside the camp and stoned him to death, just as the LORD commanded Moses. (Numbers 15:32-36)

Suppose a man comes across a virgin who is not engaged and overpowers and rapes her and they are discovered. The man who has raped her must pay her father fifty shekels of silver and she must become his wife because he has violated her; he may never divorce her as long as he lives. (Deu 22:28-29 NET)

“Anyone who beats their male or female slave with a rod must be punished if the slave dies as a direct result, but they are not to be punished if the slave recovers after a day or two, since the slave is their property. (Exodus 21:20-21 NIV)

Reply: The Law: Logically. Logically: “that’s the Law”

1. For the first one in (Numbers 15:32-36), punishment is “harsh” for his transgression & we say, “Why is God so harsh for such a ‘small sin’?”. If He isn’t harsh, the Law would not be followed. A lighter punishment such as “lock him up in prison” or “beat him but not to death” actually incurs “longer suffering” for the accused sometimes carried all throughout his life. It’s better to “die”, the shortest “suffering span” (literally).
2. For the second one in (Deu 22:28-29 NET), if God meted out the “stone to death” punishment to the “rapist”, it’ll actually be a service for him since he “suffers a brief moment only”. Also, if the rapist is dead, the “raped girl” (being used) may not get married (for most men avoid marrying rape victims) plus this may result her say in becoming a prostitute to fulfil her “sexual gratification” having tasted it. Also, she “suffers longer” in that she “lives on” with the memory of “being raped” but the “rapist” died “quickly”. Now, the “rapist” marrying her is taught to “pay for his sins” by “living with her”. If he commits “another rape” (being married = not virgin = committing adultery), he might have well “been stoned to death then” leaving this girl as a “widow” (not a rape victim) and thereby another man may “marry her”.
3. For the third passage in (Exodus 21:20-21 NIV), the first part where the abuser is “punished” is clearly fair. However, for the second part where the slave recovers, the master is not punished because “if the master too is punished”, then he may “take it out on the slave maybe not by beating him out of fear of punishment but by giving horrible chores”, again where the slave ends up “suffering longer”. But by letting the master go (shown mercy), chances are he will reduce the beatings (learning mercy) or realizing out of fear that harsh disciplinary beatings may cause the slave to die & he ends up being punished.

Regarding whether it is lawful to have slaves, the bible actually clearly highlights few reasons why they become slaves in the first place:

- i. Unable to pay debt (Matthew 18:25, 2 Kings 4:1)
- ii. Willingly (Exodus 21:5)
- iii. Prisoners of war (better than locking them up in a cage). They “pay” for their desire to kill the master’s kindred. (Deuteronomy 21:10).

Please take note that these slaved were not “good men forced into slavery” but rather the very ones who wanted to “kill/destroy others & if given the opportunity, make you his slave”. Judgment is always “eye for an eye” or equivalently “the same judgment meted back to you”. But, God exercised more Mercy available only after Christ came and died on His Cross (otherwise, the logic of Law stands!).

But under Grace, we forgive though the Law has its “logic”. Logic is overcome with Love after Christ paid for the “logical requirements” of the Law. A comment if you find the above “disturbing”:

“The people 3-4 thousand years ago “needed” such “Law” to be “Civil”...It isn’t needed anymore since “men have learned” and thus the “Law was abolished by Christ fulfilling its penalties...” You see, till today people kill another in the name of “freedom” to the name of “god” all claiming to be for the “right reason”, which is “against evil”...

Any military force in the world is a good example = Don’t soldiers kill, imprison, torture etc all in the name of “protecting freedom & good”? = all legally done (I’m not talking about the ‘war criminal’ activity part).”

Herein the “secret”:

God put such a Law to show “**how humans are really like**”.

chapter 85

Translation: Which Is Correct? An Idiomatic Or Literal Translation Of The Bible

Nothing is wrong with the “original Bible” (in Hebrew, Aramaic & Greek) but there are great problems with the “idiomatic & non-literal translations”. I’ll give you an example of why I don’t use the same error filled translation as the “eternal hell translations” (idiomatic). The “eternal-hell” endorsed bible says (idiomatic):

“In a similar way, Sodom and Gomorrah and the surrounding towns gave themselves up to sexual immorality and perversion. They serve as an example of those who suffer the punishment of eternal fire.” (Jude 7)

But the “literal” bible translation says: *“as Sodom and Gomorrah, and the cities around them, in like manner to these, having given themselves to whoredom, and gone after other flesh, have been set before - an example, of fire age-during, justice suffering.” (Jude 7)*

Note, folks that in both the above, the difference is obvious. The error filled (idiomatic non-literal) translation uses the wrong phrase “eternal fire” whilst the correct translation (literal & non idiomatic) one uses the accurate phrase “age-during fire”.

How do we know the “latter” is right?

Simple, There’s no “eternal fire” burning even now in Sodom & Gomorrah till today, how much more “eternally”. It was an age-during fire which burned for a “limited time” fulfilling its purpose (folks, you can clearly see the non-literal context versus the literal context here).

It’s obvious.

chapter 86 Trinity Truth

In support of Trinity (literally taken from the Bible)

1. For starters, apostle Thomas said to him, “My Lord and my God!” (John 20:28); that is, Thomas did call Jesus God! (literally)
2. When Christ is addressed separately from the Father (say in 1 Timothy 2:5 or when He prayed to the Father), it’s simple: It just proves that Jesus being God, is a “separate Person” of the manifestation of God as the Son (for from point 1 & 3 below, we know that Jesus is God!)
3. Here’s the “literal logic”:

“In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God” (John 1:1)

“The Word became Flesh (Jesus) and made His dwelling among us.” (John 1:14)

Replace “The Word” = Jesus derived from (ii) and put it in (i) to obtain (literally): *“In the beginning was “Jesus”, and “Jesus” was with God, and “Jesus” was God” (John 1:1)*

4. Next, Paul writes: “Who (Jesus), being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal to God,” (Philippians 2:6)

Literally again, the “only One” equal to God is “God Himself”. The “separation” in ‘mentioning’ proves that they are “Two distinct Persons” (God Who is able to do all things chose to manifest Himself in such a Way)

5. Only God can be “worshiped”. All other “worship” not directed to God is “idolatry”. Jesus was “worshiped” and the Bible nor Christ or His apostles mention any “correction” to this act of “Jesus being worshiped”.
- i. Worshiped by “men”. Examples: John 9:38; Luke 24:52; Matthew 14:33; Matthew 28:9.
 - ii. Worshiped by “all” angels of God: “*And again, when God brings His firstborn into the World, He says, “Let all God’s angels worship Him.””* (Hebrews 1:6)
6. The Father, Son and Holy Spirit “separately” (distinctly mentioned) below proves “three Persons and only three Persons in which God chose to manifest Himself”:
- i. “Therefore go and make disciples of all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit,” (Matthew 28:19) - baptizing done in the name of “God” alone and cannot be in a “creation’s name”. Thus since “Father, Son & Holy Spirit” are mentioned, One God in Three Persons may be inferred “literally”.
 - ii. Similarly, apostle Paul’s benediction also mentions the Three Persons of the “GodHead” meaning that they are at the “same level” in the next verse:

“May the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, and the love of God (Father, since both Jesus & Holy Spirit are mentioned separately in this verse), and the fellowship of the Holy Spirit be with you all.”
(2 Corinthians 13:14)

7. If Jesus is not “God”, then a “divine creation/creature” died to “save us”. Wrong. Why? Only God saved us by Him making Himself flesh (this manifestation is called the Son of God = Jesus).
8. Regarding the “other points” such as the ‘titles’ of Christ being called a ‘priest’, ‘prophet’ etc... These are just His “titles” and we sharing some of it does NOT disprove that “He is God”. Just like here on earth, a “man” can have the “title” of being a “father”, “son”, “engineer” and a “husband” at the same time!
9. “Mystery of the GodHead” revealed: “*I and the Father are one.*” (John 10:30) - So the “One” here signifies “One God” has “manifested Himself as Two Persons” - all things are possible to Him. Briefly in Christ’s prayer below:

“I have given them the glory that you gave me, that they may be one as we are one -” (John 17:22)

Note that in His Prayer, Christ clearly mentions the “two” separate “ones” - namely, “creation being made one” (they may be one) just as “we are one” (The Father and Jesus being One).

10. Christ was not “created”. His “pre-existence” revealed mysteriously via the verse below too: “*Very truly I tell you,*” Jesus answered, “*before Abraham was born, I Am!*” (John 8:58) Christ reiterated that He is speaking the “Truth” (Truly) in that He “pre-existed” and that He is that “I Am” Who identified Himself to Moses at the burning bush! (literally taken)
11. Even His “enemies” knew/understood Christ’s words at that time in that “Jesus claimed to be God”:

“We are not stoning you for any good work,” they replied, “but for blasphemy, because you, a mere man, claim to be God.” (John 10:33)

chapter 87

True Zionism

“Put your sword back in its place,” Jesus said to him (apostle Peter), “for all who draw the sword will die by the sword.” (Matthew 26:52)

Let’s begin with my response to the question: “What should Israel really do if it was ever to become a Christian nation/not a Jewish one?” Simple: The answer is actually a question, “What would Lord Jesus do?” It’s not about me nor my opinions all the time.

Would Lord Jesus authorize the “defence of Israel” even though they have those excuses? No. He Will let the Palestinians have their land & let Himself be killed to prove His Love for His enemies.

We ought to do the same. That’s what “God’s Will” in this Palestinian-Israeli conflict is for a “believer in Christ”. Sounds foolish, silly & too loving? That’s Who God is. If they do that, I believe something supernatural will occur.

However, it will “never” happen since none of us are “true” Christ-like Christians to do that (even me).

Dying - Reason. What are you dying for?

1. For a belief where in the name of justice & preservation of your family, you kill your enemies?

2. How about a belief in some “god” which causes you to kill people of other religions?
3. Next, killing others in the name of racism or some form of fascism? Truth is, all the reasons above are “wrong” in the eyes of the True God, Who is not a murderer.

In fact, He exhorts True believers to go further to be peacemakers & end “wars”. However, they will not listen to the Truth but rather heed to the voices of bloodthirsty men.

So, the end has come & it might well be the “beginning of sorrows” (Matthew 24:8) as Christ said, “nation will rise up against nation, and kingdom against kingdom...” (Matthew 24:7)

Thus, it’s not about how you die or be killed but rather for “what”? If it’s for one of the reasons stated above, it’s shameful indeed under the

Light of Truth.

The Best Reason to die is “for Christ”, not resisting, cursing nor fighting back your murderers, but rather submitting as a lamb led to slaughter (true innocence). The best part is to “not hope” that your murderers “roast in hell eternally or are tortured beyond imagination by God” for “your murder”.

By True Principles of Christ (emulating Him), we have to believe & hope for the forgiveness of even our murderers in the “future”. Sounds impossible? SomeOne did it Perfectly.

Who? Lord Jesus Christ Himself.

God doesn’t ask us to do something which He Himself cannot or hasn’t or will not do. The precedence has been set by the Perfect One. Set thy eyes upon Him & finish thy race of faith, “beautifully”.

Remember, that’s why Christ our Blessed Lord cried out Truthfully somewhere between His last words after His horrific Torture and before His Beautiful Death on His Cross:

“Father, forgive them for they do not what they are doing” - Lord Jesus Christ in (Luke 23:34).

P/S: True Zionism is you dying for others to emulate Christ in demonstrating that His Love & Will to Save the World (which shall come to pass in its appropriate times into the ages to come) is True! True Zionism does “not” involve “any killing” for whatever reasons especially in the name of “God”. Blessed be His Holy Name & may it not be blasphemed further by “evil men”.

Lastly, remember that in the entire New Testament Scripture, there is “not one verse” even hinting “any providence of killing” or “war” to be launched “in the Name of Christ” even for the man-made excuse to “defend” the Gospel, such as the bloody “crusades” in the past.

Yes, not even one such verse exists. Bound by this New Testament age’s Covenant, we’re only commanded to Love & if needed, to lay down our lives for Christ in such manner (as True Martyrs of Christ).

Easier said than done? I agree. That’s why “theory” is easier than the “practical”.

“But Jesus answered them, “You are wrong, because you know neither the Scriptures nor the Power of God.” (Matthew 22:29)

chapter 88

Truth Is One

Someone said, “truth is within ourselves.” Here’s truth: If “truth is within ourselves”, then it sure is a contradiction since the “truths in each of us all” are “not” the “same”.

Truths (plural) is a contradiction but Truth (singular) is true. I guess we have to admit that the “One Truth” is “out there” and we need to find it. As for me, the “One Truth” is “Jesus”.

Unitarianism misses this “one truth” point by “tolerating” opposites & contradicting truths (plural) by just “saying” (truth is one) missing the “Oneness” point of Truth (literally).

The One God doesn’t contradict Himself by revealing “many truths” (different to each other) in different “paths”, “religions” and “opinions”. This is the one faith rule which is violated in Unitarianism.

Contradictions are not harmonious (lie of non – literal quotes) but rather are the signs of falsity (literal truth). This is the Truth about One which helped me to find the One Truth in Christ Alone. Sorry if this offends anyone.

P/S: That’s why apostle Paul declared truthfully (note the “ones”),

“There is one body and one Spirit, just as you were called to one hope when you were called; one Lord, one faith, one baptism; one God and Father of all, who is over all and through all and in all.”
(Ephesians 4:4-6)

One God? Trinity?

1. The explanation is simple (literally): God is One but Chose to manifest Himself in Three Persons Only, The Father, Son (Jesus) and the Holy Spirit, as revealed in the One Inspired Scripture (the Bible).
2. Further explanation: the One is “not defined” by “my opinions” (because they’re plenty of other opinions amongst men who differ) but rather by One Truth which is revealed by the One God alone.
3. So, if the One God revealed the Mystery of God in Trinity (without actually stating the word trinity – that’s why it’s the Mystery), then we accept this statement as our One Truth. I don’t determine what is the One truth but rather the One God determines His One Truths with respect to different areas such as faith, hope, Lord, baptism, body, church etc. This is how I accept the One Literal Truth of Scripture First.

Now you’ll understand why Lord Jesus said beautifully,

“I am the Way and the Truth and the Life. No one comes to the Father except through Me.” (John 14:6)

Can’t “see” it literally? Lord Jesus is Saying that only through Him (One) “anyone” will come to the Father, which signifies why He’s the **“Way, Truth & Life”**.

chapter 89

Unbelief

“For what if some did not believe? shall their unbelief (faithlessness) make the faithfulness of God without effect?” (Romans 3:3)

The answer to this question settles everything. Firstly, the teaching of men from the ‘eternal hell’ camp often answer, “Yes. God cannot do anything anymore because of your unbelief. That’s why if you die unbelieving, you will remain eternally in hell and though God loves you, He can’t do anything anymore due to your unbelief” (Their best answer, literally. Don’t believe me? Ask them yourself & listen to their response)

But what does the Holy Spirit say (through the Bible) with respect to that question? Surprising to most, the answer is the ‘next verse’ (to the one above); literally again:

“God forbid! yea, let God be true, but every man a liar; as it is written, That Thou (God) might be justified in Thy sayings, and might overcome when Thou art judged.” (Romans 3:4)

Can’t see it? Note the points below:

1. The phrase “God forbid!” means “God is Saying No!”. “No” to what? “No” to the fallacious implication that “unbelief” can “foil

God's faithfulness" (such as His Will especially His One declared Will in Scripture to Save the World, men & creation or just plain all!).

2. The second part of verse 4 clearly reaffirms this "No!" to the "fallacy" that "unbelief" can stand "absolutely" (that is, eternally) against His Faithfulness by Saying that "God Will be Justified by proving this" when "He is Judged" (He Will be proven Perfect because their little unbelief cannot and will not stand against Him 'eternally')
3. God Will "overcome" their "unbelief" by proving "His Faithfulness" (even for these ones since He is Good to All, literally) and by doing so, He Will prove "every man" (all men) a "liar".
4. Lastly, Why are "all men proved to be liars"? (not 'some men' but 'all men' - literally) Simple: read the above again in a literal manner. You will note that "all men are proved to be liars" because they 'think' that 'unbelief' can 'nullify the Faithfulness of God' (especially foil His One Plan which is to Save the World - the Reason Christ Jesus was sent by the Father into the World).

That is to say, at some point of our own 'thinking', 'all men' (including me) will 'doubt' or 'think' that 'unbelief' can 'stop' or 'nullify' God's Faithfulness to carry out 'His Will'; Remember, His Faithfulness has always been to 'solve the problem' or hindrances and 'to fulfil His Will' in the 'end'.

Any resistance to His Will may only last to this 'age' only. This is the reason Christ prayed that "Your Will be done on earth" (signifying that only currently, in this age, the 'freewill' of creation may be allowed to be set against Him). This is clearly temporal since Satan himself is only having his dominion as 'god of this age' (2 Corinthians 4:4) for a 'limited time period' and not 'forever'.

That is why Christ returns as King of kings at the end of this age to take over in the age to come and beyond fulfilling the Perfect Will of God to Save the World (All) as His Prayer says, "as it is in Heaven" (literally). As usual, you decide for yourselves which is 'right', clean and True (literally).

P/S:

1. In the 'literal context' (of God Saves All in the End), the verse below makes 'sense' (continued from the verses above in the same chapter)

*"...Let us do evil, that good will come? whose damnation is just."
(Romans 3:8)*

Note that the Truth that God Loves & Saves All in the end is the only Fact which suits the question in the verse above, "Let us do evil, that good may come?".

Why? Because if 'hell is 'eternal' for those who do 'evil', then the verse above makes 'no sense'. However, under the light of Truth where Christ Saves All, the 'abuse' of Truth to continue doing 'evil' proves the 'condemnation' of those who practice such to be 'just' (note that regardless, 'Good will come').

2. Here's the icing on the cake: Note that just as your pastors/leaders have falsely and 'slanderosly' accused the believers in the True Gospel of 'promoting sin since there's no eternal hell', so did the Jews do to Paul (consider 'same' verse above; stated below in 'full'):

"And not rather, (as we be slanderously reported, and as some affirm that we say,) Let us do evil, that good may come? whose damnation is just." (Romans 3:8 - in full)

3. By the way, the word ‘will’ in Romans 3:8 is not ‘may’ since it’s the same word used elsewhere such as in describing the return of Christ (Matthew 25:31; Mark 8:38; 1 Corinthians 11:26) which we all know is not a ‘may’ but a ‘will’ in ‘time’.

chapter 90

Unbelievable Truth

The most beautiful thing about Truth is that it’s ‘unbelievable’. Ironically, everybody from all religions will claim to hope for it and state that it’s their true ‘desire’ and oath to fight for it. In addition, they would claim that it’s their goal and align their ‘faith’ to reach it with their personal ‘works’.

Unfortunately, none of them would believe that ‘God is able’ to achieve it. Remember, “Faith is not believing I can achieve it but rather that God can achieve it.” The ‘it’ spoken of above is the Ultimate Good: Universal Salvation (of all).

Herein the Beauty & Wisdom of God: His Son. God has overlooked our ‘arrogance’ in the past due to ‘unbelief’ by demonstrating the ‘faith of Christ’ Who alone believed in the Will of the Father (100%) to attain ‘Universal Salvation of the whole world!’

Indeed, replace the ‘it’ above with ‘Universal Salvation’ and it will all be ‘clearer’: Ironically, everybody from all religions will claim to hope for ‘Universal Salvation’ and state that it’s their true ‘desire’ and oath to fight for ‘Universal Salvation’.

In addition, they would claim that it’s their goal and align their ‘faith’ to reach ‘Universal Salvation’ with their personal ‘works’.

Unfortunately, none of them would believe that ‘God is able’ to achieve ‘Universal Salvation’.

Remember, “Faith is not believing that I can achieve ‘Universal Salvation’ but rather that God can achieve ‘Universal Salvation’.” That’s why I believe Lord Jesus Himself said that the ‘work of God’ is ‘believing in the Son of God’ meaning ‘believing’ that ‘Lord Jesus can do the Work of God’ in the verse below:

Jesus answered them, “This is the work of God, that you believe in Him whom He has sent.” (John 6:29)

Sadly, many in Christendom itself “don’t believe” that “His Son” is able to do “God’s One Will” which is ‘Universal Salvation’ (‘to save the world’). Thus they nullify themselves from ‘really’ doing the ‘work of God’ due to their unbelief (read John 6:29 carefully).

All ‘work of God’ done without actually ‘believing in the Son’ (without limitation to His capability to fulfill God’s Will) is built on ‘sand’ or probably ‘wood’ only (if you are lucky) - truth be told, folks!

chapter 91 Unitarian Universalism

Unitarian Universalism (all religions lead to god) is WRONG because in here, “you are the hero” or that “you are god” in the end. Christ centered Universalism (Lord Jesus saves all) is CORRECT because here, “God (Jesus) is the Hero” and “we are just creation” (no theosis). Indeed, there are “many roads” imply:

In Unitarian Universalist’s perspective (WRONG): “All roads lead to god” In Christ centered Universalism’s perspective (CORRECT): “God (Lord Jesus) Himself travels all these roads to seek and find those lost in it”

As He Himself declared: *“For the Son of Man has come to seek and save that which is lost” - Lord Jesus Christ*

I’ll give you another ‘key’ reason why Unitarian Universalism is WRONG while Christ centered Universalism is CORRECT: Unitarian Universalism has duality whilst Christ centered Universalism accounts to God’s Oneness.

What? Simple, in Unitarian Universalism, we find that contradictory religions & their teachings seemingly united only under a ‘label’ but not in ‘God’. This is evident in that each of the opposite religions co-exist in some way but they are NOT ONE in agreeing to ONE GOD and ONE TEACHING.

On the other hand, Christ centered Universalism is clearly of ONE GOD (Trinity), ONE CHURCH (catholic or universal called-out ones or the Ecclesia), ONE PURPOSE (God saves all), ONE FAITH (in Lord Jesus alone), ONE WILL OF GOD (to save the world), ONE RELIGION (take care of widows & orphans), ONE WORD (the Bible), etc...

Now do you understand why it is said, “God is One” in the Bible? Indeed, because He is “literally” ONE in all sense. No “duality” exists in the True God. (Secret of God revealed - regarding His Oneness too!).

“Make every effort to keep the unity of the Spirit through the bond of peace. There is one body and one Spirit, just as you were called to one hope when you were called; one Lord, one faith, one baptism; one God and Father of all, who is over all and through all and in all.”
(Ephesians 4:3 - 6)

chapter 92

Unquenchable Fire

There’s more to be puzzled. Unquenchable fire does not mean eternal fire. The correct way to interpret the meaning of a word is by its usage (compared with the other methods of etymology and definitive lexicons). So we ask a question: Has the phrase “unquenchable fire” used before? Did it mean “eternal fire”?

The answer is that it has been used before but it did not mean eternal fire. Here is a famous excerpt proving this usage in the Bible itself (I reject any usage of the word outside the Bible because the Author is not God. God does not change from the meaning of the phrases which He inspired by His Holy Spirit. One Author Who meant One meaning)

To Quote: Unquenchable Fire

Why does the Bible say that the wicked will be destroyed with unquenchable fire? Unquenchable fire is fire that cannot be put out, but which goes out when it has turned everything to ashes.

Jeremiah 17:27 says Jerusalem was to be destroyed with unquenchable fire, and in 2 Chronicles 36:19-21 the Bible says this fire burned the city “to fulfil the word of the Lord by the mouth of Jeremiah” and left it desolate. Yet we know this fire went out, because Jerusalem is not burning today.

To quench means to extinguish or put out. No one will be able to put out the fire of hell. That is the strange fire of God. No one will be able to escape from it by extinguishing it.

Isaiah says of that fire, *“Behold, they shall be as stubble; the fire shall burn them; they shall not deliver themselves from the power of the flame: there shall not be a coal to warm at, nor a fire to sit before it”* (Isaiah 47:14).

After it has accomplished its work of destruction, that fire will go out. No one can deliver themselves from its flame by putting it out, but finally not a coal will be left. So say the Scriptures.

P/S: There’s NO verse which proves that the duration of the “lake of fire” is eternal. Only the punishment of the Devil in the Lake of fire is described to be to the “ages of Ages”. Thus we deduce that the maximum possible time (subjected to the worst sinner = Satan) itself is limited in time in the lake of fire (to the ages).

Hence, it is not eternal. That God saves all men (1 Timothy 4:10 highlights that even showing the difference between “all men” and “believers” in the same verse) and God saving the whole world can be deduced from other verses (1 John 4:14, Acts 3:21, John 3:17, Colossians 1:20 etc). This is not deviated teaching as even the earliest history of the majority of the earliest church records. Four out of six theological schools taught this (literally).

A deeper consideration: But, doesn’t the Old Testament (OT) teach everlasting (eternal) punishment for the wicked?

The answer: No!

Let’s begin with an interesting question: “What this verse means in terms of salvation of all mankind?”

“And they shall go forth, and look upon the carcasses of the men that have transgressed against me: for their worm shall not die, neither shall their fire be quenched; and they shall be an abhorring unto all flesh”...Isaiah 66:24”

An answer: It’s the same as the “fire not quenched” as Christ used to describe Gehenna in the NT verses (Mark 9:44; Mark 9:48). The key is to note that both the phrases “their worm does not die” and “fire not quenched” does “not” indicate “time” but rather that while it’s performed (carried out) nothing can stop that Judgment!

We know for sure that it’s no indicator of time from the writings in the book of Jeremiah itself (let Scripture interpret Scripture - as to whether a phrase indicates any reference to say, “time” or not especially in previous usage; see Jeremiah 4:4; Jeremiah 7:20; Jeremiah 17:27).

Indeed, in Jeremiah (see the verses mentioned above), some “earthly” Judgment was also described with the phrase “the fire shall not be quenched”. But we know now (more than 2000 years later), -- after that prophecy-- was fulfilled, that no “fire” is literally or continuously burning till today! (indicating “time” is not what is referred to by those phrases but rather that it’s fulfilment cannot be altered during when it occurs).

Note also by Christ speaking both phrases literally “together” implies that their meaning refers to the same punishment with regards to its same aspect without referring to duration or “time”. Lastly, the punishment at Gehenna (hell) is described with the word “age-during” only (1 age - limited - indicated in “time”- Matthew 25:46).

A good verse in Jude 7 or (Jude 1:7) also mentions this usage of an equivalent derivation of the root word “aion” (age) in describing the “age-during fire” (not eternal fire as many translations wrongly render) that came upon as “punishment” on Sodom & Gomorrah .

Lastly, do take note that the translations “eternal fire” in Jude are proven “wrong” since no fire is burning continuously till “today”, how much more “forever and ever” (even in verses which literally describe the duration of such divine fire in “time”!). Now let’s deal with further verses: *Psalm 9:5* “*You have rebuked the nations, You have destroyed the wicked; You have blotted out their name forever (same word in Hebrew) and ever.*”

“But the LORD abides forever; He has established His throne for judgment,” (Psalm 9:7)

Question: How can the Lord Abide forever? and also the wicked’s names be blotted out with the same forever. It literally seems like forever? How about this second verse:

“Those who hate the LORD would pretend obedience to Him, And their time of punishment would be forever.”
Psalm 81:15:

An answer: If you take the Bible “literally”, you’ll find its non-contradicting accuracy to its “last jot”. For the first verse in Psalm 9:5, even the popular literal YLT translation makes a mistake by translating it incorrectly as “to the age & for ever”. The mistake is in the translation of the second part which is supposed to be “permanently” (or always) and not “for ever”.

Thus your first verse in Psalm 9:5 is supposed to be literally translated as “to the age, permanently (always)” but not in the sense of “forever” but till the appointed time unto which it’s to hold (most likely to the wicked’s punishment ‘age’ into which it is to ‘hold’).

Why? Check out this “exact” word in Leviticus 25:46 for which almost all translations do “not” render it as “forever” simply because it would then teach “everlasting” slavery!

The LORD abides to the “age” does not mean after the age He does not abide anymore (by interpreting such we “add” our own thinking to it without taking what’s literally stated here).

So, what’s literally stated here? His presence (abiding) is highlighted (or emphasized) to the age (why an age? As long as the 1-age during Covenant is to “last”).

It’s similar and is done in Scripture say when God is said in OT Scriptures as God of Israel (almost all the time). Why? He is highlighted (or emphasized) as God for those obedient ones who kept His OT Covenant. That’s all is written. It in no way implies that He’s “not” the God of all etc (to derive such would literally mean adding to the Word because such words are “not written”).

You may also view the verse* in Psalm 9:5 with the literal phrase “to the age, permanently” to mean the punishment of the wicked is last to the “age” (agreeing with the age-during correction of Matthew 25:46 - in NT Scripture) but its carrying out and effects are “permanent” (relative to those wicked men’s punishment age) just like this same Hebrew word appears in Leviticus 25:46 to mean that a slave is “not” a slave “forever” but rather “to the age” (of him being a slave) .

The “effect” is further “stressed” upon (or emphasized) in Psalm 9:5 but not in Leviticus 25:46 which is evidenced by the slightly different phraseology used! This is probably due to the intent of highlighting it until the fulfilment of the prophecy in Revelation where “the remembrance of the former things” - which includes those wicked acts - is to be “remembered no more”- when He Who sits on the throne makes “All things New” - Isaiah 65:17; Revelation 21:4 - His Words are Faithful and True - Revelation 21:5).

For the second verse in consideration (Psalm 81:15), it’s even “easier” (due to a slightly different Hebrew phrase or word used here):

The YLT literal translation gets it “right” here:

“Those hating Jehovah feign obedience to Him, But their time is - to the age.” (Psalm 81:15)

Note: It’s both literally true and clear (agreeing to NT Scripture - e.g. Matthew 25:46) that the punishment of the wicked is to an “age” (1 singular age only) - limited - “age during chastisement/correction” - to be exact. Note that to view Judgment with no reference to Time, one is “not wise” as the verse below “literally” states too:

“Whoso is keeping a command knoweth no evil thing, and time and judgment the heart of the wise knoweth.” (Ecclesiastes 8:5)

*Note: The Hebrew word wā·‘ed (transliterated) literally means “permanently” and thus the phrase “le·‘ō·lām wā·‘ed” (example of occurrence: Psalm 9:5; Daniel 12:3) means to the “age, permanently”.

Note that “wā·‘ed “ does not mean “eternity, ever” nor “age” by itself. It just implies or stresses on the thing talked about (punishment; God’s Glory; Slavery; Brightness etc) as to being “permanent” with respect to (or “relative” to) the “age” (le·‘ō·lām - which is the word that is describing the limited age-during time duration for each of its occurrence in the phrase “le·‘ō·lām wā·‘ed”)

chapter 93

What Is The Gospel (Good News)?

Believers saved & non-believers roasted in an eternal hell? NO! Let the Bible answer: Apostle Paul concludes the Gospel in full beginning with: *“Now, brothers and sisters, I want to remind you of the gospel I preached to you, which you received and on which you have taken your stand. By this gospel you are saved, if you hold firmly to the word I preached to you. Otherwise, you have believed in vain.” (1 Corinthians 15:1-2)*

What are its key contents (which apostle Paul mentions)?

- i. All men will be saved (‘made alive’ from being ‘dead’): *“For as in Adam all die, so in Christ all will be made alive.” (1 Corinthians 15:22)*
- ii. Is that all? What will happen in the end? *“Then the end will come, when he hands over the kingdom to God the Father after he has destroyed all dominion, authority and power.” (1 Corinthians 15:24)*
- iii. All the world will be saved = God being “All in All”. *“When He has done this, then the Son himself will be made subject to Him Who put everything under Him, so that God may be all in all.” (1 Corinthians 15:28)*

- iv. What happens to “Death” and “Hell”? (the strongest forces against God) *“When the perishable has been clothed with the imperishable, and the mortal with immortality, then the saying that is written will come true: Death has been swallowed up in victory.” (1 Corinthians 15:54)*
- v. Immortality conferred upon us? How about the eternal hell for the wicked? *“Where, O Death, thy sting? where, O Hell (Hades), thy victory?” (1 Corinthians 15:55)*

What? No eternal hell mentioned as part of the Gospel which Paul preached (see verse 1-2)? Yes. Isn't it an irony that in the Gospel (Good News) which apostle Paul mentions, we find “all men” and the “world” being saved (literally) and Death & Hell (Hades) being “destroyed” completely out of existence!

P/S: Read carefully: “no eternal hell” mentioned as part of The Gospel of Truth in Christ! Warning: If you believe *‘some other Gospel’*, *“...you believed in vain”*. (1 Corinthians 15:2)

chapter 94

What Is Truth?

Pilate asked Lord Jesus, “What is Truth?” (John 18:38)

The Answer = Lord Jesus Christ is The One Truth (John 14:6)

Now, “What is the knowledge of the Truth, I mean, what is it about?”

The answer = The Father has sent His Son to Save the World (Essence of 1 John 4:14; John 3:17)

Don't be like the masses who are “truth-seekers” in their own right who are “always learning” but never able to come to the “knowledge of the Truth” (of God Reconciling the World causing it to be Saved in the End) as apostle Paul writes to Timothy:

“always learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the Truth.” (2 Timothy 3:7)

Always remember that *“God was in Christ, reconciling the World unto Himself” (2 Corinthians 5:19)*

Here is the verse above in full:

“To wit, that God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto Himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them; and hath committed unto us the word of reconciliation.” (2 Corinthians 5:19)

The Best part is, “He Will never change from this Ultimate Good of Reconciling the World or All things” (see the verse below) simply because He does “Not Change” from Being Good!

“and through Him to Reconcile the All things to Himself - having made Peace through the blood of His Cross - through Him, whether the things upon the Earth, whether the things in the Heavens.” (Colossians 1:20)

chapter 95 Which Is Of Satan?

(Think carefully)... Situation A or Situation B described below.

Situation A (Eternal hell view)

Lord Jesus: “I can’t save the whole world. But at least I’ll save a few Christians in the end”.

Satan replies: “Come on Jesus, I believe that you can literally save the world. Plus, I’m gonna invent the lie of Christian Universalism to preach just that.”

Situation B (Christian Universalism view)

Lord Jesus: “I’m going to Save the World literally in the end”.

Satan replies: “Jesus, you cannot save the world lah. Most of mankind including Christians I will sway to either not believe at all in you or if they do believe in you, I’ll feed them with my truth of eternal hell”.

Saviour Of The World

chapter 96

**Who Are The Fallen Angels Or
The Fallen Sons Of God?**

‘The sentence is by the decree of the watchers, the decision by the word of the holy ones, to the end that the living may know that the Most High rules the kingdom of men and gives it to whom He will and sets over it the lowliest of men.’ (Daniel 4:17)

Note that no matter what even the ‘watchers’ decide for men, in the ‘end’ the Most High (God) has the final Word. The verse “above” probably shows the “good watches” (still loyal to God) whilst the one below (still from the Bible) mentions probably the “bad watchers” (who went against God’s decrees):

“¹And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them, ²That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose.³And the LORD said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also is flesh: yet his days shall be a hundred and twenty years.⁴There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bore children to them, the same became mighty men which were of the age (Hebrew = ‘mê: ‘ō-w-lām’; translated in error as

'old' here or 'for ever' say in 1 Chronicles 29:10 - note that it is exactly the 'same' Hebrew word 'mê·'ō-w-lām' (transliterated) occurring in both 1 Chronicles 29:10 and Genesis 6:4), men of renown. ⁵And God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually.⁶And the LORD was sorry that he had made man on the earth, and it grieved him to his heart.⁷And the LORD said, I will destroy man whom I have created from the face of the earth; both man, and beast, and the creeping thing, and the fowls of the air; for I am sorry that I have made them. (Genesis 6:1-7)

Who are the 'watchers'? That's a mysterious story...The verse "above" probably shows the "bad watches" (in the Bible) whilst the one below (is not a verse - since it is from a 'non-canonized' ancient Book of Enoch - not included in the Bible!) mentions probably the "bad watchers" (who went against God's decrees):

I repeat, this is NOT doctrine... Here's a "well-guessed speculation": Book of Enoch (To Quote): The dating of this section of 1 Enoch is around 2nd-1st Century BCE. This book is based on one interpretation of the sons of God passage in Genesis 6, according to which angels married with human females, giving rise to a race of hybrids known as the Nephilim. The term irin is primarily applied to disobedient Watchers who numbered a total of 200, and of whom their leaders are named, but equally Aramaic iri ("watcher" singular) is also applied to the obedient archangels who chain them, such as Raphael (1 Enoch 22:6).

In the Book of Enoch, the Watchers (Aramaic. ירין, irin), are angels dispatched to Earth to watch over the humans. They soon begin to lust for human women and, at the prodding of their leader Samyaza, defect en masse to illicitly instruct humanity and procreate among them. The

offspring of these unions are the Nephilim, savage giants who pillage the earth and endanger humanity. Samyaza and his associates further taught their human charges arts and technologies such as weaponry, cosmetics, mirrors, sorcery, and other techniques that would otherwise be discovered gradually over time by humans, not foisted upon them all at once. Eventually God allows a Great Flood to rid the earth of the Nephilim, but first sends Uriel to warn Noah so as not to eradicate the human race. The Watchers are bound "in the valleys of the Earth" until Judgment Day. (Jude verse 6 says that these fallen angels are kept "in everlasting chains under darkness" until Judgment Day.)

A note:

1. These I believe were/are the 'gods of this age' who are/have deceived the whole world across the cultures...these are the "stories" of the "gods" (some may have been changed to make them look good when they are not) which is found in "religions" across the "cultures" of the "world"...I believe these stories are "not true" for the "fallen sons of God" must have "changed" these stories for them to look "good" when they are really "not" and have deceived men "greatly"...these were probably also the "demons" (spirits? etc) which "talked to me" for many months when I became "oppressed" by them (spiritual attack) after I fell into extreme sin of a "whore-monger" and heard "voices" (psychologists have erred greatly in diagnosing since they do not acknowledge the 'spirit world existence' hypothesis).
2. Only the 'Son of God' (singular - Lord Jesus Christ - God coming in that 'divine' likeness) having an absolute likeness as the 'Son of Man' too (coming in the likeness of man too) in order to 'undo' the evils done by 'paying' for the 'sin of the whole world' (all of it) on His Cross. Indeed, He saves 'all' life by destroying 'evil' willingly (by man repenting to Him) and by converting them through

punishments (disobedient men together with the fallen sons of God - all of them). The choice of repentance (as far as I know) was NOT given to these 'fallen ones' but that they will be punished with fire since I believe that the foreknowledge of God knew that these won't repent 'willingly'...The spirit of lawlessness is already at work, luring and deceiving men into being bound by 'chains of various lusts'...These 'fallen ones' bind men with the 'chains of lust' which binds them too...Only "He" can free us "all"...Mercy, O Lord, belongs to thee alone... As the prophet echoes, "*O God, in Wrath, remember Mercy!*" (*Habakkuk 3:2*)

chapter 97

Who Is Lord Jesus?

Who is Lord Jesus? The God who taught the most "maximum" type of Love: "Love your enemies sincerely in the sense hoping for them to return to heaven too and for your love, sincerely hope nothing back" (very mature level teaching: example of solid food teaching - not everyone will be able to accept it).

"But love your enemies, do good to them, and lend to them without expecting to get anything back. Then your reward will be great, and you will be sons of the Most High, because he is kind to the ungrateful and wicked." (*Luke 6:35*)

Who is Lord Jesus with respect to punishment and justice? The God who taught "just" punishment. The Bible's "true & literal" principle of punishment in hell is described analogously with an earthly example by our Lord in the verse below (note that the punishment inflicted is limited because it is not "endless beating" but rather only "till" you have paid the 'last penny', meaning the 'just payment' for those transgressions after which you will "come out").

"Settle matters quickly with your adversary who is taking you to court. Do it while you are still together on the way, or your adversary may hand you over to the judge, and the judge may hand you over to the

officer, and you may be thrown into prison. Truly I tell you, you will not get out until you have paid the last penny.” (Matthew 5:25-26)

Another “reason” and confirmation that all will go to heaven “eventually” (in His Time according to His Perfect Will to save all) is described in the verse below (literally, in the sense that those who do not obey & teach others to sin will be ‘least in the kingdom of heaven’, NOT that they will ‘never enter heaven’).

“Therefore anyone who sets aside one of the least of these commands and teaches others accordingly will be called least in the kingdom of heaven, but whoever practices and teaches these commands will be called great in the kingdom of heaven.” (Matthew 5:19)

Why then should we follow and obey such a “Loving God”? Better to sin what! (Remember in the beginning I said that this is a mature level teaching?) The answer is simple: Because “God is like that and being His Children we ought to be like Him, more & more growing towards the only Perfect One, Lord Jesus Christ!”

Yes, Who is Lord Jesus? **The Perfect One God!**

“Be perfect, therefore, as your heavenly Father is Perfect.” (Matthew 5:48)

chapter 98

Why Is God Angry? The One Purpose Of God’s Anger (Wrath)

Can’t understand God’s Anger? Simple: It’s for your “own” good – correction – even in hell (if the need be) so that one day, you too may be “restored to life” according to His Will for you!

“The anger of the LORD will not turn back until He fully accomplishes the purposes of His Heart. In days to come you will understand it clearly.” (Jeremiah 23:20)

Remember, He has only One Ruling Purpose, which is “to Save the World” (John 3:17) It’s ok. Some are “slow learners” (are only able to understand it ‘later’ during the ‘latter days’):

“The fierce anger of the LORD will not turn back Until He has performed and until He has accomplished The intent of His heart; In the latter days you will understand this.” (Jeremiah 30:24)

P/S:

Rebuttal: Before some eternal hell believing crusader or zealot “tries” to falsely accuse me of twisting Scripture (because his idea of “all anger” or “fierce anger” of God must yield the “result” of “eternal hell/eternal torture” on the inflicted and has ‘nothing’ to do with the

purpose of ‘saving the world’ as mentioned in John 3:17), here’s the ‘literal’ Biblical answer:

“Therefore, wait for Me (LORD) - an affirmation of Jehovah (LORD), For the day of My rising for prey, For My Judgment [is] to gather nations, To assemble kingdoms, To pour out on them Mine Indignation, All the heat of Mine Anger, For by the Fire of My jealousy consumed is all the earth. For then do I turn unto peoples a pure lip, To call all of them by the Name of Jehovah, To serve Him [with] one shoulder.” (Zephaniah 3:8-9)

It doesn’t get any more “clearer” or “literal” than the verse above: Note that in the last verses aforementioned, God is pouring out “All His Anger” (not ‘some’) in “Indignation” (fierceness) for being “Jealous” (because He doesn’t want you to go astray anymore - He doesn’t rejoice in you ‘burning’ or being ‘lost’ in darkness - His Jealousy is ‘Positive’ aimed at bringing you back!)

What is the “result” or “outcome” for “All” the Fierce Anger of God? Eternal hell or sadistic torture with “no rehabilitation”? No!

Quite the “opposite”, namely: The verses (literally) state that upon whom the Full Fierce Anger of God has been inflicted, these “resulted” in having a “pure lip” and even “serving Him” in “One” shoulder (All Saved in the “end” - fully rehabilitated).

This agrees with the rest of the New Testament Scriptures which Speak about The Mystery of Christ which is to “gather in One all things in Christ” (Ephesians 1:10), “to fully Reconcile all things to Himself” (Colossians 1:20), to fulfill the “Restoration of All things” which was spoken by all His Holy Prophets (Acts 3:21) being Truly the “Saviour of the World” (1 John 4:14)

How come the “other Christians” couldn’t understand all these things “literally” (even plainly)? It must be analogous to the case of Moses versus Miriam (the prophetess/worship leader/tambourine dancer) & Aaron (high priest/the no.1 pastor ordained by God too) as it was in Numbers 12 (on a different issue):

“He (The LORD) said, “Listen to My Words: “When there is a prophet among you, I, the Lord, reveal myself to them in visions, I speak to them in dreams. But this is not true of my servant Moses; he is faithful in all my house. With him I speak face to face, clearly and not in riddles; he sees the form of the Lord. Why then were you not afraid to speak against my servant Moses?” (Numbers 12: 6-8)

You see folks, it’s Christian versus Christian again:

Moses (the Christian with whom God spoke “literally” or “plainly”) Miriam and Aaron (the Christians with whom God only spoke in “visions & dreams” as well as in “riddles” - probably that’s why many of our Miriam-like-sisters and Aaron-like-brothers in Christendom see everything in “idioms”)

Which type of Christian are you? God obviously Speaks “literally” to only the Moses-Type-True-Christians.

Let Scripture interpret Scripture!

chapter 99

Wicked

Can the wicked be saved by fire & where's a proof that sin or evil (works) can be destroyed by fire: There's not one word describing punishment as eternal in the "real & literal" Greek used in the Bible. This together with the fact that God reconciles all, restores all & saves the world suffices for that.

In fact, 1 Timothy 4:10 is clear enough to prove that God saves all men but especially those who believe (contrasted clearly). All men here includes all men not believers only who are "saved" in the "end" (note the word "end" in 1 Timothy 4:10 which indicates the saving of all men not some men or believers only in the "end").

Be it after the second death. The verses for the lake of fire do not use the word "end" to indicate the final outcome for men. Only your eternal hell theology has all the "missing words". Ours is literally true whilst yours is full of fake idioms, literally.

The fire only destroys our "sinful or evil works" but not "life itself" (that evil person be saved by fire too via judgment) as literally stated in this verse:

"If any man's work shall be burned, he shall suffer loss: but he himself shall be saved; yet so as by fire." (1 Corinthians 3:15).

The lie that this verse belongs to a believer's judgment is wrong simply because any man = all men not believers only nor some men.

There's only One Judgment seat of Christ as described in the book of Revelation where we are all judged, believers & non-believers alike.

The Bible's "36":

Luke 3:6: "All flesh shall see the salvation of God."

chapter 100 Worldly Wisdom

The world teaches you the 'lie' that 'God only helps those who help themselves'. The Truth in the Bible teaches you the opposite: 'God helps all even him who does not help himself.'

Proof? Consider the verse below:

"... All those who go down to the dust shall bow before Him, even he who cannot keep himself (or his soul) alive" (Psalms 22:29).

Note that in the verse above, 'all' who go 'down to the dust' (die) shall 'bow' before Him (freewill bow - for God Who is Love does not change from the good principle of forcing no one especially to bow before Him), even he who couldn't keep himself (or his soul) alive - which was probably destroyed in Gehenna or hell for that's the only place as revealed in the New Testament which can cause the destruction of both 'body' and 'soul' but not the 'spirit' which will be 'saved' in the Day of Lord Jesus.

This proves that God helped this person who went in the wrong & broad way of destruction leading to the destruction of the age (2 Thessalonians 1:9) in the Lake of Fire (Revelation 20:15) to be 'punished' in the 'age to come' after Judgment Day sentences are read out indicating the period of 'time' for this 'chastisement' or 'correction'

for the ‘betterment’ to be lasting ‘one future age only’ (singular) as said by our Lord in (Matthew 25:46).

You see, He (Lord Jesus) fulfils the Will of the Father to save ‘all’ in the world through His Judgments too! as the ‘outcome’ prophesied in Psalm 22 mentioned above.

appendix

What Happens At Death?

Believers return to Paradise (or heaven) in spirit while the unbelieving ones endure chastisement and their spirits wander the “outer darkness” as well as end up in “Hades” (Hell). Why, don’t the “dead spirits” just sleep? No, only their “dead bodies” sleep.

Here is a Biblical explanation:

The body is dead but the spirit lives on. That’s why in the transfiguration of Lord Jesus, both Elijah & Moses were there in spirit. If the Lazarus & rich man was not a parable but a real life event (as I think it is), we find also that the spirits of the dead are either in Abraham’s bosom (often thought to mean paradise) or Hades. In another instance, king Saul brought up the dead prophet Samuel, in spirit! (note that the Bible does not correct by saying that it was not Samuel).

One last instance: Christ on His Cross told the thief that “today, you’ll be with Me in paradise” (definitely in spirit since when Lord Jesus breathed His last, He uttered prior the words, “Father, into Your Hands I commit My ‘Spirit’.” In other words, though Christ was dead, He literally told that His Spirit will go back to the Father implying that the thief’s spirit will go with His spirit to paradise, “today” (probably after Returning to the Father, Christ descended into Hades & preached to the spirits (again it’s spirits of the dead men who are there) – a little speculative but strong conjecture — speculative since it’s not

explicitly written like this very clearly - probably to keep it hidden). Hence the Resurrection of the dead is “coming alive” with a “body” (not spirit).

That’s the difference. The body sleeps without knowing anything (its senses are all dead literally). Look at the passage in revelation where it quotes that the “sea gave up the dead in it” (dead bodies more likely) etc. Again, I repeat that the resurrection of the dead refers to the “new body” which will be endowed to us as Paul’s writings also describe in absolute accuracy to the last word - removing all confusion.

The dead refers to the “bodily dead” (literally) - Isaiah 26:19 explains the “body” being referred to in the Resurrection of the Dead (the ‘rising’) allowing translations of such to hold for 1 Corinthians 15:42.

P/S:

1. When Christ our Blessed Lord Resurrected (in His “Body” – literally), He said that He hasn’t ascended yet to the Father (meaning in His Resurrected “Bodily” form, not Spirit – the verses do not contradict each other when taken both literally and accurately to the last word).
2. King David doesn’t say that he will go to hell but rather that even “if” he was to make his bed in sheol (Hades; Hell), God is omnipresent (King David is just making a truthful point of God being present everywhere – even if He is hidden for cases where they can’t see Him in Sheol and not the other way around – refuting false claims such as “God is not present in hell & thus He cannot save you”).
3. The Bible doesn’t say that “all go to sheol” but rather that “all go to the dust” (the same place to which they return – the earth – as dead “bodies” in their “graves” — all literally as mentioned in Ecclesiastes 3:20; Genesis 3:19; Psalm 103:14; Psalm 104:29; Daniel 12:2 – the “body” sleeps in the dust).

4. Ecclesiastes 12:7 makes a clear distinction between “body & spirit” in a man. The spirit (which returned to God) then most likely “sentences itself” to move towards the “darkness” even of “Hell” (Sheol or Hades) because it couldn’t stand the “light of God” even after God Who is Love allowed it to “return to Him”, if “unbelieving”.

The above can be conjectured strongly regarding these hidden things because many visions (even of the late Sadhu Sundar Singh agrees in principle as well as literally to this).

In conclusion, that’s why some spirits of dead men go to Sheol (unbelieving) while others remain in paradise (believing).

There is no such thing of a “real good” done without Christ (by believing in Him first – abiding in Him). The rest of seemingly good works are just self-seeking and belong to the “better evils”. That’s all (literally).

P/S 2:

Someone asked: That covers spirit and body, but says nothing of the soul. Good question. The Bible does not directly nor explicitly give a definition for a soul. My best “guess”: soul = spirit + “attachments to it” What’s “attachments to it”? Again, by best “guess”:

“attachments to it” = from emotions to principles of “sin” which are ingrained in it (in short, the “evil” which is “attached” to the spirit).

Only Christ’s Soul had its “attachments to it” in being “100% Good” with “no evil” nor tainted with sin. Thus the “spirit” may be thought of the “life force” (the essence of life – Breathed or Given by God) Note also that: Lord Jesus said to fear Him Who is able to destroy both body and soul in hell (Gehenna).

If the soul consists of body, then the verse above makes no sense in body being mentioned “separately”. Hence, body and soul are distinct. Soul is more likely just spirit + attachments (emotion and will etc) as described prior.

Further: If it’s such a combination, no need to mention “body” separately then. Only need to say destroy “soul in Gehenna” (under the assumption that soul includes the body). It isn’t written so and hence doesn’t suggest that meaning.

The non-overlapping distinction between “body” and “soul” is clear by Christ’s literal yet most accurate words.

Conclusion of the “chastisement” in the “afterlife”: Soul is chastised till the evil attached to it is burned & destroyed by fire (evil – sin ceases its grip/attachment onto the spirit)..By Christ’s Healing Power of the Resurrection, He Heals these spirits of the “wounds” incurred due to those “attachments” (of sin/evil) being destroyed...

Resurrecting each in their appointed time when they shall be made alive with a “resurrected body” (replacing the old body which became dust) – in which no sinfulness can ever occur again – also differs in glory from person to person according to their deeds relative to their past sins as well...

That’s how I understand body, soul & spirit:

Body = made of dust/earth

Spirit = breath of God which yields our “life force” (due to lack of better words in language to comprehend it)

Soul = Spirit + attachments to it (emotion/will/evil/sin or anything else which we don’t know yet).

Note also that when these chastisements are complete, the ‘spirit’ (all

that remains of the soul whose attachments are destroyed) returns to God Who gave it... And we know from NT Scripture that Christ’s Resurrection made it possible for it too to obtain a “new body” in its appointed Resurrection, a “new creation” indeed!

The times for which these occur are hidden (definitely not immediate – but according to one’s true repentance of own freewill to call upon the Saviour truthfully and by submitting to Him, being Saved by His Name!). The above explains the “soul” being burnt with fire to destroy sin/evil in it (in Gehenna = burning dump refuse = may be called hell in English)

How about Christ’s words which also mention the destroying of the body in Gehenna?

Taking Christ’s Words literally, I believe that it means the very “dust” (to which our body itself is made up & returns to in substance) will also be burned with Gehenna-fire (literal spiritual fire) when the whole ‘earth’ (highlighted) will also be in ‘fire’ as apostle Peter states below:

“and it will come - the day of the Lord - as a thief in the night, in which the heavens with a rushing noise will pass away, and the elements with burning heat be dissolved, and earth and the works in it shall be burnt up.” (2 Peter 3:10)

There's no place like home

They have travelled far and wide but for some Malaysians who have worked abroad, absence makes the heart grow fonder.

By CHRISTINE CHEAH
christinecheah@thestar.com.my

They say the grass is always greener on the other side but for some Malaysians who have worked abroad, there is no place like home.

Jonathan Ramachandran (pic), 31, is one man who had so many things going for him.

Jonathan is an acturist who worked with an oil and gas company in the United Kingdom, the United States, Dubai and Algeria for five years as a seismic data processing engineer.

"I may have earned quite a considerable amount of money for a desk job while working overseas, but I did not find satisfaction. I had to sacrifice my family, friends and things which I was once passionate about," said the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia graduate.

Making a crucial career change five years ago, Jonathan chose to stay permanently in Malaysia to teach Mathematics and his other passion, music.

Now he helps young Malaysian students by coaching the National Mathematical Olympiad team and runs an education centre in Setapak, Kuala Lumpur.

"Today, I am proud to say: 'I'm glad I came home!'. Returning to Malaysia has helped me grow in many ways.

"I look at life with a new perspective, having struck a balance in chasing my dreams," said Jonathan, whose favourite musical instrument is the guitar.

Eden Wong, 41, also had the time of his life spending a dozen years in Arizona, the United States, as an information architect.

"One year at a Thanksgiving dinner with a friend's family, I just had this epiphany - I had been missing out on family gatherings for so many years," said Wong, who is now an IT designer in Petaling Jaya, Selangor.

Wong added that many of his family members and friends frowned upon his decision to return, but he has no regrets. He eventually met his wife while working in Penang and is even running a few businesses of his own.

"Running your own side business isn't that easy in the US. Here, I get the flexibility of using my own property as an office," said the father of three.

Another successful person who chose to return is 35-year-old Aina Zahari.

Aina was the only Malaysian female in her year to have been admitted to the undergraduate programme at the prestigious Massachusetts Institute of Technology in the United States.

She earned a degree in chemical engineering, secured a job with a




specialty chemical company and worked there for seven years - all while pursuing her Masters in Business Administration.

After a dozen years abroad, Aina decided it was time to come home.

"I always knew I wanted to come back. My intention was to work for a few years to gain experience abroad and then return to serve the country.

Since her return five years ago, Aina has worked with two different companies. She is now with a boutique consultancy as a director, providing guidance and know-how to companies.

One advantage she sees work-



"More than that, I count all things to be loss in view of the surpassing value of knowing **Christ Jesus my Lord**, for whom I have suffered the loss of all things, and count them but rubbish so that I may gain Christ,"

Apostle Paul to the Ekklesia (called out ones) in Philippi (Philippians 3:8)

There's No Place Like Home

Excerpt from *The Star*, 31 August 2014

They say the grass is always greener on the other side but for some Malaysians who have worked abroad, there is no place like home. Jonathan Ramachandran is one man who had so many things going for him. Jonathan is an acturist who worked in the United Kingdom, the United States, Dubai and Algeria for five years as a seismic data processing engineer.

"I may have earned quite a considerable amount of money for a desk job while working overseas but I did not find the satisfaction. I had to sacrifice my family, friends and things which I was once passionate about," said the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia graduate.

Making a crucial career change five years ago, Jonathan chose to stay permanently in Malaysia to teach Mathematics and his other passion, music. Now he helps young Malaysian students by coaching the National Mathematical Olympiad team and runs an education centre in Setapak, Kuala Lumpur.

"Today, I am proud to say: 'I'm glad I came home!'. Returning to Malaysia has helped me grow in many ways. I look at life with a new perspective, having struck a balance in chasing my dreams," said Jonathan, whose favourite musical instrument is the guitar.